

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0050042 DATE: <u>1/15/2009</u> ARRIVE: <u>9:00am</u> DEPART: <u>10:00am</u>			
FACILITY NAME: 1013 COX GRADE ROAD Plant 630			
FACILITY LOCATION: 1013 COX GRADE RD			
PANAMA CITY BEACH 32407			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MARC TYSON PHONE: (205)986-4800			
CONTACT NAME: Troy Simpson PHONE: (850)258-1634			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/11/2005 / 9/11/2010			
(effective date) (end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)			
IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
DADE H. TESTING/DECORD/JEEDING DECHIDEMENTS. DL. (A 30/ 414 E A C			
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
Stack Emissions			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? Yes No			
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment			
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?			
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?			
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then			
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)			
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)			
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)			
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)			

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)			
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No		
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?			
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?			
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
	e 🗌		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No		

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)			
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant tak	e reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by:	Productions to control uncommed		
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and y			
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, sto			
application of water or environmentally safe dust-su emissions?			
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other			
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of win	d breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles?			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate	emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes ∐ No	
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - I	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.		
A. New or Modified Process Equipment			
1. Since the last inspection has there been			
a) installation of any new process equipment?		□Yes ⊠ No	
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		□Yes ⊠ No	
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most			
recent notification form? \square Yes \square No d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete			
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050			
local program office?		□Yes □ No	
Constitution of the state of th	1/15/2000		
Gerald Sheehan	1/15/2009		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_	
Gerald Sheehan			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	-	

COMMENTS: Mr. Troy Simpson met me at the facility and provided me with access to all requested records. The facility was not in operation at the time of my inspection. This plant is presently used if there is overflow work from plant 639. It has operated only four times since June of 2008. Dust control logs, baghouse inspection logs and BWP daily inspections logs were available for review. H, S & E Resources, Inc. performed the most recent Visible Emissions (VE) testing on July 6, 2008. The results of this test indicate that the facilities dust control equipment is operating correctly.