

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)	
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 1330004 DATE: <u>05/25/2007</u>	ARRIVE: <u>3:00</u> DEPART: <u>3:00</u>	
FACILITY NAME: JERKINS, INC.		
FACILITY LOCATION: 1571 W. Highway 9	90	
CHIPLEY 32428-	-	
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: SHAY MCCORMIC	PHONE: (850)547-3651	
CONTACT NAME: Pete Balkom	PHONE: (850)638-1833	
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENT	FITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/10/2005 / 4/10/2010 (effective date) (end date)	
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATU</u>	S (check ✓ only one box)	
☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-C	COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
Stack Emissions		
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? Yes No		
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?		
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?		
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batche to this question is "Yes", then continue on to	er) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then a 5.) Yes No	
a) Was the batching operation in operation d	luring the visible emissions test? Yes No batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and	
duration? 5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher)	Yes No operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate nissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector	
	resentative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No	

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
(check is appropriate box(cs)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)		
william compliance action (-1111- 22 22 14 (17(4)) 1 1 1 1 1		
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate:		
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?	□Yes □ No	
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form		
submittal date?	Yes No	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?————————————————————————————————————		
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?		
DARTHE OPERATING/DECORD/ZERBING DECUIDEMENTS DI. (2.210.200/A)(a)2 E A C		
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
	le 🗌	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, 	ing	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	Yes	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	Yes	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREM (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)	
paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, application of water or environmentally safe dust emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and oth re-entrainment, and from building or work areas reduction of stock pile height, or installation of particulate matter from stock piles?	ad yards, which shall include one or more of the following: , stock piles, and yards? st-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control her paved areas under control of the owner/operator to sto reduce airborne particulate matter?	
 b) alterations to existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially described recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.4) 		
Michael Gordon	05/25/2007	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
/s/	5/2008	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS: This plant includes one cement silo with a ground-level baghouse for loading the silo. A spray bar system with four nozzles is established for controlling dust leaving the truck loading drop point. The facility is located at 1571 Highway 90 which is a re-designation from the old address of 1085 West Highway 90. No concrete batching occurred at the time of the inspection. The facility appears to be maintained appropriately. The spray bar system was intact and in a post-inspection phone call, Mr. Balkom, Plant Manager, certified that it is functioning properly. The yard had some waste materials and garbage located next to the plant and this may be blocking the wastewater drain area.		
Aggregate bins are still not established, but the existing aggrega small pile heights are maintained to mitigate the potential for fu stated that much of the batching is being done at the Bonifay factories. Overall, the plant appears to be in good working order. RECOMMENDATIONS:	ing maintained appropriately to prevent excessive fugitive emissions. In the piles were low to the ground. According to a previous inspection, gitive dust. In a post-inspection phone conversation, Mr. Balkom cility, thus the Chipley facility was operating only a few times a little batch plant if it has not been performed already, to ensure that the and that potential work hazards are minimized. Dust control is in	