

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		AINT/DISCOVE		
ΑI	RS ID#: 1050120 DA	ГЕ: 10/13/2010	ARRIVE:	1:15 p.m.	DEPART: <u>1:45 p.m.</u>	
FA	CILITY NAME: CE	MENT PRODUCTS & SUP	PLY CO., INC.			
FA	CILITY LOCATION	: 716 W MAIN ST				
		LAKELAND 3380)2			
CC	WNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: DNTACT NAME: JO Email: WIITLEMENT PERIC		1	Mobile:	: E: (863)682-1096	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PA	ART II: <u>ONSITE INT</u> E	RODUCTORY MEETING	:		(check	•
1.	Name(s) of facility rep	resentative(s): Barry Zimme	<u>erman</u>		box for ea	ch question)
	Brief Notes:					
2.	Is the Authorized Repril If no, who is?:	esentative still BARRY ZIM	IMERMAN?		X Yes	□No
3.		ility provide an administrativitil JOHN OLIVER?				□No □No
4.		ting VE test(s) during today ance authority notified at least				⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section 4 -Central Baghouse System for two cement silos subject to 5% Opacity Limit

 Date of last inspection: 3/30/2007 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? d. Date of last VE test: 8/18/2010 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 25/25 tons/hour h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? j. What was the actual batching rate? 	box for each of ☐ Yes	No No No No No No No No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	⊠ Yes	∐ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each o	only one question)
 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	ed during insp Yes Yes Yes Yes and Yes e and Yes es is separate	

Facility Section (continued)

_			
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNo
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal prop 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + 1.3 MM gal propar Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ne/yr)? □ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	\ Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:	_	
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- ⊠ Yes	∐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only only only only only only only only			-	
1.	Is the facility: stationary \(\subseteq \); relocatable \(\subseteq \); or consisting of both sconcrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (ch question)
	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		- Yes	⊠ No
	 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific 	prior to changing location?		☐ No
	to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica	ess days following a relocation?	Yes	☐ No
	to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	business days prior to relocation? -	Yes	☐ No
3.	If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit		nit,	
	a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pur If YES, what was the purpose?		? Yes	☐ No
	b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it			
	co-located at the permitted facility?			∐ No □ No
	CHANGES (check ☑ only one box for each question)			
	lministrative Changes:Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of	the facility or authorized representa	tive not	
	associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation			
2	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days			⊠ No □ No
	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	of the change:	- 🔲 168	No
	Since the last registration form submittal has there been			
	a. Installation of any new process equipment?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		- Yes	No No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substituted. A change in ownership?	tantially different?	- Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No
4.	If the answer to any question $3a$. $-d$. is YES, was a new registration			
	30 days prior to the change?		mitted - Yes	☐ No
				☐ No
				□ No
	30 days prior to the change?			□ No
	James Burkholder	10/13/2010		□ No

COMMENTS: The facility keeps daily long hand throughput records, which can be recalculated out for 12- month rolling averages if necessary. An inventory of each tanker that offloads product into each cement, which silo is receiving the product, number of batches for each silo, and material used with amount of blocks produced. The CDC looks to be in good condition, but the plant was not operating at the time of inspection. A street sweeper is run through the facility grounds and also wetted down as needed. The facility appeared to be in compliance.