

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 1050114 DATE: <u>11/04/08</u> ARRIVE: <u>9:10am</u> DEPART: <u>11:52 am</u>
FACILITY NAME: INTERWEST RM FACILITY
FACILITY LOCATION: 801 MCCUE RD
LAKELAND 33815
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JASON JONES PHONE: (813)269-1240
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013
(effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.
(check ☐ appropriate box(es))
Stack Emissions
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? ⊠Yes □ No
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,
unless such rate is unachievable in practice?
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?
5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?  Yes No

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	he ⊠Yes □ No	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?		
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?		
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
	e 🗌	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>		
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	ng □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
<ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking are application of water or environmentally safe emissions?</li> <li>removal of particulate matter from roads and re-entrainment, and from building or work are reduction of stock pile height, or installation particulate matter from stock piles?</li> </ol>	ant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined  , and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: eas, stock piles, and yards?	
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?		
Wendy D. Simmons  Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
.,	11/04/2011	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: Pre-inpsection Review: Testing of new dust collectors will occur on this day at 10am. New silo top units have been pre-registered. Inspection Findings: This facility now has 3 EU's: a cement silo, flyash silo, and CDC for truck load out & weigh hopper. According to facility contact the ground mounted Central Dust Collector bags and baghouse are checked weekly to see if they need replacing or emptying, they use paper bags in the silo top units, the silo top units are shaken automatically, and bags are checked monthly. According to Mr. Jim Twiggs, District Operations Manager the life expectancy on paper bags was stated as 1 year. There is a manual shaker on the ground mounted Central Dust Collector that controls the truck load out. Fuel delivery receipt, monthly material processed records, and fuel consumption records were provided upon request and are attached to this report. Sprinklers were in operation upon my arrival at facility. According to facility representative, a sweeper truck comes every Wednesday. Facility did have Air and IW permits posted in control tower. Flyash testing began at 10:45 am because the truck arrived late. Ryan Peterson of Arlington conducted testing. Cement silo loading started just a few minutes after the fly ash silo loading started. Testing on both silo units were well under way at about 11am, when truck load out began operating. Mr. Jim Twiggs responded to the checklist questions above. New silo top units were initially loaded on 10/03/2008, but were not tested until 11/04/2008. This does not meet the requirement set forth in Rule 62-296.414(4)(a) of the Florida Administrative Code. Photos were taken of new units and are attached to this report. Copies of photos taken during 09/23/2008 inspection are also attached. Test results were received by the Department on November 24, 2008.