	WHENTAL PROTECTION
Mar	an 1 Cana
DENUTIN	
1	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPL	/DISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 1050108 DATE: <u>5/24/11</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:00</u>	<u>D</u> DEPART: <u>11:45</u>	
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK IND LAKE W.	ALES		
FACILITY LOCATION: 807 NORTH SCENIC H	IIGHWAY		
LAKE WALES 33853	1		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JAM Email: CONTACT NAME: MARK SHEFFER	ES JOHNSON	<b>PHONE:</b> (407)298-1900 <b>Mobile:</b> <b>PHONE:</b> (863)676-2416	
Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/8/2006 / 10/8/2011 (effective date) (end date)		Mobile: (863)676-2416	
F	acility Section		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	eck 🗹 only one boy	) ))	
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMP	'LIANCE SIC	IGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
Г <u></u>			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING         1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):		(check $\square$ box for each of	•
Brief Notes: <u>Met with Jeff. Normal operator, Mark, is</u>	s off on vacation.		
2. In the Authorized Depresentative still LAMES JOUNSC	0110	V vas	

2.	Is the Authorized Representative still JAMES JOHNSON?	Yes Yes	No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still MARK SHEFFER?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No

### **Emissions Unit Section** <u>1 –Batcher and Truck loading operation subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>1/26/10</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?  N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.         Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and         Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by:</li> </ol>	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> </ul>	- Xes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		No No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>		☐ No ☐ No

### **Emissions Unit Section** <u>2 -CEMENT SILO, WEIGH HOPPER & MIXER subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>1/26/10</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?  N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigentiations by:</li> </ol>	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>		🗌 No
<ul> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> <li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the</li> </ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>		☐ No ☐ No

### **Emissions Unit Section** <u>3 – Fly Ash SILO subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>1/26/10</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	🗍 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconf emissions by:</li> </ol>	ined	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		🗌 No
<ul><li>control emissions?</li></ul>	Xes	🗌 No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		🗌 No
particulate matter from stock piles?		🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Xes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No

### **Emissions Unit Section** <u>4 –Slag Storage silo subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>1/26/10</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(alta alta 🔽	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by:</li> </ol>	ied	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or application of water or application.</li> </ul>		🗌 No
<ul><li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li></ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	· Xes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No

#### **Emissions Unit Section**

5 - Cement Silo AT Block Plant w/ Baghouse on top subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check $\square$ only one box for each question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>12/19/06</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	🗍 Yes 🗍 No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹 only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	box for each question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control und emissions by:</li> </ol>	confined
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary</li> </ul>	Yes 🗌 No
<ul><li>control emissions?</li></ul>	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	
particulate matter from stock piles?	Xes No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck	k? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	Yes No Yes No

# Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(1.1.1.1	
	box for each	only one
		r question)
<ol> <li>Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:         <ul> <li>a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?</li> <li>b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	- 🛛 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
<ol> <li>Does this facility include:         <ul> <li>a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	_	🔀 No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gen permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		🛛 No
<ul> <li>3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:</li> <li>a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?</li> <li>b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?</li></ul>	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	No     No     No     No     No     No     No     No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yr+MM gal propaga275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propaga		0?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consu for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
<ul><li>2. Does the owner or operator:</li><li>a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li></ul>		No
<ul><li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?</li><li>3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces</li></ul>		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both st concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If</i>			• ′
<ul> <li>2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?</li></ul>		🗌 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica</li> </ul>	prior to changing location?		🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busines c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificat	ss days following a relocation? ion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(	[] Yes 6)]	No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five b 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate ai	r construction or air operation pe		L No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit is a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose?	ose (i.e, there is no repeated usag	e)? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it w co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES		(check 🗹 box for each	•
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> </ul>	of the facility or any emissions u istrative change at the facility?	box for each ative not nits or Yes	•
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days or a second s</li></ul>	of the facility or any emissions u istrative change at the facility? if the change?	box for each ative not nits or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of th associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days o <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>:</li> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ul>	of the facility or any emissions u istrative change at the facility? if the change?	box for each ative not nits or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	a question) □ No □ No ○ No ○ No ○ No ○ No
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ul>	of the facility or any emissions u istrative change at the facility? of the change?	box for each ative not nits or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes bmitted	n question)
Administrative Changes:         1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin         2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:         3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions u istrative change at the facility? if the change?	box for each ative not nits or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes bmitted	n question)
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ul>	of the facility or any emissions u istrative change at the facility? of the change?	box for each ative not nits or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes bmitted	n question)

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** Inspection conducted coninciding with annual VE test audit. The block plant (EU 005) still has not operated and is still in long term reserve shutdown. The paved lot appeared clean of debris, and no VE was observed while on site. I observed approximately 30 minutes of the compliance test for the slag silo (EU 004) and the cement silo (EU 005). I observed tank pressure on both trucks during silo loading for the tests. Minimum PSI observed was 9, which is in line with typical silo loading practices. VE tests were conducted by Kevett Mickle of Grove Scientific. I did not observe any VE from EU 004 and 005. I left before testing commenced on the flyash silo (EU 003) and the ready mix truck loadout station dust collector (EU 001). The shroud on the truck loading station is in good condition.