

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 7770016 DATE: <u>6/16/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:41 AM</u> DEPART: <u>12:47 PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: NICEVILLE PLANT	
FACILITY LOCATION: 16042 Highway 20 West	
NICEVILLE 32578	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MAR	PHONE: (205)986-4800
CONTACT NAME: Richard Fragale	PHONE: (850)259-589
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/14/2005 / 8/14/2010 (effective date) (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (che	eck 🗹 only one box)
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMP	LIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREM (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u>IENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u>IENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this s 62-297, F.A.C.)?	site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes No , and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this s 62-297, F.A.C.)?	site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this s 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers) controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible en 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collect at a rate that is representative of the normal silo load unless such rate is unachievable in practice?	site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this s 62-297, F.A.C.)?	site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions Were visible emissions tests conducted during this seed 62-297, F.A.C.)?	site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this seed 2-297, F.A.C.)?	site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this s 62-297, F.A.C.)?	site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this s 62-297, F.A.C.)?	site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	o	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?		
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes \sum No		
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? Yes □ No		
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check of appropriate box(es))		
 (check appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ 		

	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)					
 Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: b) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 					
			2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control		
				orthor payed gross under control of the owner/operator to	
			3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of					
	\Begin{align*} Yes \Boxed No				
	igate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \(\sum \text{Yes} \square \text{No}\)				
 b) alterations to existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4) 					
Jennifer Waltrip	6/16/09				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
Cennika Waltis	June 2010				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS: Department personnel conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection on June 16, 2009 at the Ready Mix USA relocatable facility currently located in Villa Tasso. Mr. Richard Fragale, plant superintendent, was present to assist during the inspection.					
To prevent fugitive emissions from truck traffic, speed limit signs are posted at the entrance of the facility. To prevent wind blown emissions, aggregate is stored in 3-sided concrete wind breaks and aggregate piles are maintained below the tops of the walls, which are equipped with sprinklers. The plant has a separate dust collector for each of the three silos and a spraybar to control emissions during loading of the trucks. According to facility personnel and on-site records, fugitive emissions from the yard are controlled by a sweeper and wetting the yard as needed.					
Records were available for inspection detailing weekly yard maintenance, weekly baghouse inspections, baghouse maintenance and materials delivered to the site.					
During the inspection, Lisa Swain with HS&E Resources, Inc., conducted the annual visible emissions tests for each of the baghouses.					