

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 1310011 DA	TE: <u>6/12/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:48 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>2:51 PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: DEFUNIAK SPRINGS BLOCK PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 91 Gene Hurley Road				
	DE FUNIAK SPRINGS	32433			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ALLEN FAULK PHONE: (334)673-8233					
CONTACT NAME: Ji	m McNeely	PHONE			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/1/2005 / 8/1/2010					
	(effective date) (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	eck 🗹 only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
DADT H. TECTING/BECODD/JEEDING DEOLIDEMENTS D. l. 42 204 414 E A C					
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Stack Emissions					
1. Were visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?-	sions tests conducted during this	site visit according to EPA Met	hod 9 (Ref.: Chapter 		
2. Are emissions fro	m silos, weigh hoppers (batchers)), and other enclosed storage an	d conveying equipment		
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?					
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?					
	m the weigh hopper (batcher) ope "Yes", then continue on to quest				
skip 4.a) and 4.b)	and continue on to question 5.)				
b) During the visi	ible emissions test, was the batch	ing rate representative of the no	rmal batching rate and		
	the weigh hopper (batcher) opera		Yes No No llector, which is separate		
	collector, are the visible emission patching at a rate that is represent		tcher) dust collector e and duration?		
1	8				

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	o ⊠Yes □ No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	the ⊠Yes □ No
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ng □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ng □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIR	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)	
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plan	nt take reasonable precautions to control unconfined
emissions by:	
	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking area	as, stock piles, and yards? Yes No
2) application of water or environmentally safe d	dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
emissions?	⊠Yes □ No
	other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
	eas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of	
	\Begin{align*} Yes \Boxed{\Boxed} No
	tigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \[Yes \] No
b) use of spray par, chute, of partial enclosure to find	tigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?
DARWAY CRECIAL COMPUTANCIAND BROCERUID	EG . D . / A A40 A00/A/D/A . E . A. G
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE	ES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	
1. Since the last inspection has there been	
 a) installation of any new process equipment? 	
b) alterations to existing process equipment witho	out replacement?Yes 🛛 No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially	
recent notification form?	Yes No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did t	
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-	4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or
local program office?	
Jennifer Waltrip	June 12, 2009
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
A	•
Λ Λ .	June 2010
Vinnelia 1 la Mittier	Julio 2010
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
U Inspector is Signature	Approximate Date of Next inspection
	unced annual air program compliance inspection on June 12, 2009 at
the Ready Mix USA DeFuniak Springs Block Plant located in	
	Walton County. Facility personnel also refer to this facility as the
Block USA Block Plant. Mr. Rusty Craig and Mr. Jim McNe	
Block USA Block Plant. Mr. Rusty Craig and Mr. Jim McNe	eely were present to assist during the inspection.
Block USA Block Plant. Mr. Rusty Craig and Mr. Jim McNe The facility was in operation at the time of the inpsection and	bely were present to assist during the inspection. no emissions were apparent. One storage silo is located on site with a
Block USA Block Plant. Mr. Rusty Craig and Mr. Jim McNe The facility was in operation at the time of the inpsection and baghouse to control emissions. According to site representative	eely were present to assist during the inspection.
Block USA Block Plant. Mr. Rusty Craig and Mr. Jim McNe The facility was in operation at the time of the inpsection and	bely were present to assist during the inspection. no emissions were apparent. One storage silo is located on site with a
Block USA Block Plant. Mr. Rusty Craig and Mr. Jim McNe The facility was in operation at the time of the inpsection and baghouse to control emissions. According to site representative quarterly basis to inspect and maintain the bag houses.	no emissions were apparent. One storage silo is located on site with a wes, Filter Kleen Environmental Services visits the facility on a
Block USA Block Plant. Mr. Rusty Craig and Mr. Jim McNe The facility was in operation at the time of the inpsection and baghouse to control emissions. According to site representative quarterly basis to inspect and maintain the bag houses. Pensacola P.O.C., Inc., conducted the annual visible emission	bely were present to assist during the inspection. no emissions were apparent. One storage silo is located on site with a
Block USA Block Plant. Mr. Rusty Craig and Mr. Jim McNe The facility was in operation at the time of the inpsection and baghouse to control emissions. According to site representative quarterly basis to inspect and maintain the bag houses.	no emissions were apparent. One storage silo is located on site with a wes, Filter Kleen Environmental Services visits the facility on a
Block USA Block Plant. Mr. Rusty Craig and Mr. Jim McNe The facility was in operation at the time of the inpsection and baghouse to control emissions. According to site representative quarterly basis to inspect and maintain the bag houses. Pensacola P.O.C., Inc., conducted the annual visible emission	no emissions were apparent. One storage silo is located on site with a wes, Filter Kleen Environmental Services visits the facility on a
Block USA Block Plant. Mr. Rusty Craig and Mr. Jim McNe The facility was in operation at the time of the inpsection and baghouse to control emissions. According to site representative quarterly basis to inspect and maintain the bag houses. Pensacola P.O.C., Inc., conducted the annual visible emission during the 30-minute test.	sely were present to assist during the inspection. In one emissions were apparent. One storage silo is located on site with a wes, Filter Kleen Environmental Services visits the facility on a last test for the baghouse on May 20, 2009. No emissions were observed
Block USA Block Plant. Mr. Rusty Craig and Mr. Jim McNe The facility was in operation at the time of the inpsection and baghouse to control emissions. According to site representative quarterly basis to inspect and maintain the bag houses. Pensacola P.O.C., Inc., conducted the annual visible emission	sely were present to assist during the inspection. In one emissions were apparent. One storage silo is located on site with a wes, Filter Kleen Environmental Services visits the facility on a last test for the baghouse on May 20, 2009. No emissions were observed
Block USA Block Plant. Mr. Rusty Craig and Mr. Jim McNe The facility was in operation at the time of the inpsection and baghouse to control emissions. According to site representative quarterly basis to inspect and maintain the bag houses. Pensacola P.O.C., Inc., conducted the annual visible emission during the 30-minute test. All batching occurs in an indoor, enclosed area. The interior of the state of	no emissions were apparent. One storage silo is located on site with a wes, Filter Kleen Environmental Services visits the facility on a stest for the baghouse on May 20, 2009. No emissions were observed was clean with no excessive dust present.
Block USA Block Plant. Mr. Rusty Craig and Mr. Jim McNe The facility was in operation at the time of the inpsection and baghouse to control emissions. According to site representative quarterly basis to inspect and maintain the bag houses. Pensacola P.O.C., Inc., conducted the annual visible emission during the 30-minute test. All batching occurs in an indoor, enclosed area. The interior of Outside, speed limit signs were posted at the entrance to the factories.	reely were present to assist during the inspection. In no emissions were apparent. One storage silo is located on site with a wes, Filter Kleen Environmental Services visits the facility on a last test for the baghouse on May 20, 2009. No emissions were observed was clean with no excessive dust present. Facility to aid in controlling fugitive emissions from the yard. As a
Block USA Block Plant. Mr. Rusty Craig and Mr. Jim McNe The facility was in operation at the time of the inpsection and baghouse to control emissions. According to site representative quarterly basis to inspect and maintain the bag houses. Pensacola P.O.C., Inc., conducted the annual visible emission during the 30-minute test. All batching occurs in an indoor, enclosed area. The interior of the further precaution, the yard is wet down daily. To prevent with the property of the present of the further precaution, the yard is wet down daily.	no emissions were apparent. One storage silo is located on site with a wes, Filter Kleen Environmental Services visits the facility on a stest for the baghouse on May 20, 2009. No emissions were observed was clean with no excessive dust present.