

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

June 20, 2012

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested dfales@preferredmaterials.com

Mr. Darryl Fales, President Preferred Materials, Inc. 6250 Da Lisa Road Milton, Florida 32570

Dear Mr. Fales:

On June 19, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Program conducted a compliance assistance visit at your facility, ID 1310010. A report of the visit is enclosed.

Please note that authority to operate this facility expires on <u>December 15, 2012</u>. To avoid lapse of authority to operate, an owner or operator intending to continue to use an air general permit must submit the proper registration form and processing fee at least 30 days prior to expiration of the facility's existing air general permit. You can obtain air general permit forms and information at the following web address: http://www.dep.state.fl.us/air/emission/air_gp.htm.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or e-mail jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

Carre Melton

CM/jw/c

Enclosure

c: Hank Belcher, Preferred Materials: habelcher@preferredmaterials.com
Erin Zimmet, Preferred Materials: ezimmet@pregerredmaterials.com
Kevin Harrington, Preferred Materials: kharrington@preferredmaterials.com



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

FACILITY LOCATION: 570 COOCHEE RD									
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DARRYL FALES* Email: dfales@preferredmaterials.com CONTACT NAME: ERIN ZIMMET Email: erin.zimmet@oldcastlematerials.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/15/2007 / 12/15/2012 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (888)992-1400 Mobile: PHONE: (386)257-5504 Mobile:									
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE									
SANTA ROSA BEACH 32459 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DARRYL FALES* Email: dfales@preferredmaterials.com CONTACT NAME: ERIN ZIMMET Email: erin.zimmet@oldcastlematerials.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/15/2007 / 12/15/2012 (effective date) (end date) Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)									

Emissions Unit Section 1 -Cement Concrete Batch Plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit

 Date of last inspection: 8/24/11 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	box for each	•			
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)			
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	- Yes	⊠ No			
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No			
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	☐ No			
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate					
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not loe. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievaste for the normal silo loaded.		Spection. No			
		□ No			
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (barther 17) answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go t 1) Was the weigh hopper (batch per ation carring the visible emissions test? ————————————————————————————————————	o h.				
 Was the weigh hopper (batching peration during the visible emissions test?	- Yes rate and	∐ No			
duration?3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? mir	Yes	☐ No			
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	ch is separate				
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust co- conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration		□ No			
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minu	ites	_			
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		No No			
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	_	□ No			
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.					

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	\boxtimes	Yes Yes		on) No No No		
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		Yes	1 🖂	No		
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	1	No		
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	- [] - [] - []	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		No No No No No		
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared 1.5 MM g		<u>r</u> ≤1.	00?			
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?				No		
<u>G</u> I	GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ☑ only one box for each question)						
2.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	- 🗵 - 🗵		_	No No No		
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🔲	Yes		No		

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	box for	ck only one each question)					
1. Is the facility: stationary \(\sigma\); relocatable \(\sigma\); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \(\sigma\) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary</i> , <i>skip the following question 2</i> .)							
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.		es No					
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifi 	prior to changing location? Y	es 🗌 No					
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five to c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Post of the appropriate Department of the appr	wing a relocation? V	es No					
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate	air construction or air operation permit,	es [] 140					
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions uni a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pu If YES, what was the purpose?	rpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Y	es No					
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long is co-located at the permitted facility?	Y	es No					
CHANGES (check ☑ only one box for each question)							
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:							
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subd. A change in ownership?	? Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	fes \(\sum \) No (es \(\sum \) No (es \(\sum \) No (es \(\sum \) No					
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registrat 30 days prior to the change?	ion form and the appropriate fee submitted	es 🗌 No					
Jennifer Waltrip	June 19, 2012						
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_					
	June 2013						

COMMENTS: On June 19, 2012, the Department conducted a compliance assistance visit at Preferred Materials in Santa Rosa County on Coochee Road. The facility was not in operation at the time of the inspection and no one was onsite to assist.

A visual inspection of the yard indicated the site is being maintained and no fugitive emissions were noted from the yard or storage areas. A review of Department records indicate the facility is in compliance with testing requirements at this time. A visible emissions test has not yet been conducted for calendar year 2012. Testing shall be completed prior to December 31, 2012.