

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 Governmental Center, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Michael W. Sole Secretary

September 10, 2009

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL HBelcher@preferredmaterials.com

Mr. Hank Belcher Environmental Health and Safety Manager Preferred Materials, Inc. 6250 Da Lisa Road Milton, Florida 32570

Dear Mr. Belcher:

On July 28, 2009, Department representatives with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 1310010. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those sources specifically listed on the inspection report.

The current permit reflects Mr. David Guillaume as the authorized representative for this facility. Please ensure that you have contacted Dick Dibble at 850/921-9586 or at dickson.dibble@dep.state.fl.us to update the permit to reflect the current owner/authorized representative for this facility.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-8300, extension 1222 or jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Erica Mitchell

Air Compliance Supervisor

in Mitchell

EM/jw/c

Enclosure

c: Robert F. Duke, President; Preferred Materials, Inc. (RDuke@preferredmaterials.com)



## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/D	ISCOVERY (CI)	
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLA	AINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 1310010 DATE: 7/28/09 ARRIVE: 3:15 P	M DEPART: 3:16 PM	
FACILITY NAME: PREFERRED MATERIALS-POINT WASHINGTON		
FACILITY LOCATION: 570 COOCHEE RD		
SANTA ROSA BEACH 32459		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DAVID GUILLAUME PHONE: (770)392-5300		
CONTACT NAME: Hank Belcher	<b>PHONE:</b> (813)384-3030	
<b>ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:</b> 12/15/2007 / 12/15/2012		
(effective date) (end date)		
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box	)	
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIG	NIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-29	6.414. F.A.C.	
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-29 (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	6.414, F.A.C.	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to 62-297, F.A.C.)?	EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter □Yes ⊠ No	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to 62-297, F.A.C.)?	EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ul>	DEPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Stack Emissions <ol> <li>Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent</li> <li>During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points w at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least a unless such rate is unachievable in practice?</li></ol></li></ul>	DEPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ul>	DEPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ul>	DEPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Stack Emissions</li> <li>1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ul>	DEPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Stack Emissions</li> <li>1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ul>	DEPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Stack Emissions</li> <li>1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ul>	DEPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	e ⊠Yes □ No	
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form	□Yes □ No	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	⊠Yes □ No	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?	e ⊠Yes □ No	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable [concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	g   Yes    No   Yes    No	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable [ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i> ), <i>thru 2.d</i> ), <i>below.</i> )————————————————————————————————————	g ]Yes ⊠ No	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<b>!</b>	
<ul> <li><u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)</li> <li>1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plan emissions by:</li> </ul>	nt take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	
<ul> <li>a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, a</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe du emissions?</li> </ul>	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: as, stock piles, and yards?	
<ul><li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and or re-entrainment, and from building or work area</li><li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of</li></ul>	other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to as to reduce airborne particulate matter?	
	igate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \overline{\overline{\text{Y}}} Yes \overline{\text{I}} No	
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?		
Jennifer Waltrip	July 28, 2009	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Cennile & Walting	July 2010	
Inspector's signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
2009 at the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in one was on site. The visible portion of the inspection was concern.	•	
To aid in controlling fugitive emissions from the yard portion	as of the site are payed and others are covered in gravel and speed limit	

To aid in controlling fugitive emissions from the yard, portions of the site are paved and others are covered in gravel and speed limit signs were posted at the entrance to the plant. Aggregate is stored in three-sided concrete wind breaks. Emissions from the batcher are controlled by a partial enclosure and spray bar. There is also a fly ash silo and a cement silo, each with their own dust collector. The annual visible emissions (VE) test was conducted by Arlington Environmental Services, Inc. on March 4, 2009. No emissions were noted during the tests.

Following the inspection, I contacted Mr. Hank Belcher, Environmental Health and Safety Coordinator. He stated that the plant has been placed in idle mode since December 31, 2007. All weekly and monthly maintenance records show the plant as being idle and no one has been on site to operate it. They have continued to conduct the annual VE tests so the plant can come on line immediately if needed.

The current permit reflects Mr. David Guillaume as the authorized representative for this facility. Please ensure that you have contacted Dick Dibble at 850/921-9586 or at dickson.dibble@dep.state.fl.us to update the permit to reflect the current owner/authorized representative for this facility.