OWERTAL PROTECTION	
Ser Vare	
FLORIDA	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:       ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)       COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)         RE-INSPECTION (FUI)       ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 1010007 DATE: 11/16/2009       ARRIVE: 9:00am       DEPART: 12         FACILITY NAME: LAND O' LAKES RM FACILITY         FACILITY LOCATION:       1714 DALE MABRY HWY         LUTZ       33548-3013	<u>:10pm</u>
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JASON JONES       PHONE: (813)269-1240         CONTACT NAME: Clyde Fortner       PHONE: (813)949-3628         ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/20/2009 / 2/20/2014 (effective date) (end date)       2/20/2014	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)         ☑ IN COMPLIANCE       ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE       ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	NCE
<ul> <li>PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li><u>Stack Emissions</u> <ol> <li>Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ol></li></ul>	⊠Yes □ No nent ⊠Yes □ No hucted our rate, ⊠Yes □ No wer □Yes □ No and ⊠Yes □ No parate r

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) <ol> <li>Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) ∑Yes ∑Yes</li> </ol>
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?  [Yes ] No
<ul> <li>Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)</li> <li>3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes No</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)</li> <li>4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero</li></ul>

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i> )	e 🗌
<ul> <li>2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processi plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.</i>)</li></ul>	ing ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
<ul> <li>3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:</li> <li>a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?</li> <li>b) material processed on a monthly basis?</li></ul>	□Yes ⊠ No ⊠Yes □ No □Yes ⊠ No

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes N	0
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	1	
		emissions?	$\forall$ Yes $\Box$ N	0
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operate	or to	
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	$\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ N	0
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
		particulate matter from stock piles?	$\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ N	0
)	use	of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	$\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ N	0

## PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?----- B) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- C) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?------ C) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?-----

Wendy D. Simmons

b

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

11/01/2011

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

11/16/2009

**COMMENTS:** Pre-inspection review: In January of this year, this facility suffered an act of vandalism. Inspection Findings: Visible Emissions Testing was conducted on Flyash silo today. Flyash silo received 27.42 tons in 50 minutes...this indicates a TPH rate above minimum required 25TPH. Cement silo received 27.42 tons in 45 minutes...this indicates a TPH rate above minimum required 25TPH. Witnessed end of flyash and cement silo loading. Conducted a concurrent VE test on cement silo, EU06. The Slag silo was not loaded on this day. The new plant manager, Mr. Fortner, answered checklist questions. I discussed air general permit requirements for non-compliance issues and offered a copy of Concrete Batch Plant Registration information for his reference. Sprinklers were in operation upon my departure from the facility. Copies of fuel delivery receipts and truck contents (cement & flyash) were provided upon request. Facility receives approximately 7500 gallons of diesel fuel per month. A records review conducted in conjunction with the review of Visible Emissions test results for testing conducted on 11/16/2009, revealed that the facility failed to test Emission Unit No. 7(Slag silo) in 2009. A note on the 2009 VE test report cover letter states that the Slag silo is not in use at this time and will be scheduled for testing when it is back in operation. On January 22, 2010, the Department receive a letter from Mr. Jason Jones of CEMEX stating the slag silo was shutdown on July 8, 2009. It appears the weigh hopper dust collector (CDC). Therefore, emissions from the weigh hopper may have been routed via hose to piping for CDC and EU09 can be inactivated. Facility responsible official could not confirm this information.