

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DI ARMS COMPLA	SCOVERY (CI)		
ΑI	AIRS ID#: 0830019 DATE: <u>12/23/13</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:35</u> DEPART: <u>10:37</u>					
FA	ACILITY NAME: DU	NNELLON READY-MIX PL	ANT			
FA	ACILITY LOCATION	11423 N Williams St				
		DUNNELLON 3443	32-8370			
CO	OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SIG BO Email: sigurdm.bo@cemex.com CONTACT NAME: SIG BO Email: sigurdm.bo@cemex.com Email: sigurdm.bo@cemex.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/2/2013 / 8/2/2018 (effective date) (end date)					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
	Name(s) of facility rep Brief Notes:	presentative(s):			(check 🗹 box for each	•
2.	Is the Authorized Reprise If no, who is?:	resentative still SIG BO?			⊠ Yes	□No
3.		ility provide an administrative			☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducted If yes, was the compliant	eting VE test(s) during today's ance authority notified at least	inspection?15 days in advance?		Yes Yes	□No □No

Emissions Unit Section 2 -CCB Plant-silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: F	ILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of l 2. Did the e If not: a b	ast inspection: emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Tyes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: 1	FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
	Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying	Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the emission	owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfirs by:	ned	
1) 2)	gement of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to atrol emissions?	Yes Yes	□ No
3) ow	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the ner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
4)	ticulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of ticulate matter from stock piles?	<u> </u>	□ No
b. Use o	f spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
a. Did thb. If test	able precautions <u>not</u> being taken: ne inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ed: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 3 -CCB Plant-silo (flyash/slag) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION				
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- 🔲 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.				
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards				
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned			
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	-	□ No□ No□ No□ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- Yes	☐ No		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Yes - Yes	□ No □ No		

Emissions Unit Section 4 -CCB Plant-batching/truck loadout w/central baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION				
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards				
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the fo 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	ollowing:	□ No□ No		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	_	□ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		

Facility Section (continued)

C	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑	only one	
		box for each		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		□ No	
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□ No	
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	☐ No	
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propane/yr ≤ 1.00? 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr			
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		□ No	
G	GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ✓ only one box for each question)			
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	□ Yes	□ No	
2.	Does the owner or operator:			
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- Yes	∐ No	
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No	
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	T Yes	□ No	

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ; relocatable ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable	(check ☑ box for each	-	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i>)			
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)	Yes	☐ No	
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] 		□ No	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	Yes 6)] _	☐ No	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pe			
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag If YES, what was the purpose?	e)? Yes	☐ No	
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		☐ No ☐ No	
CHANCES			
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each		
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:			
Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?	Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No	
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sul 30 days prior to the change?	bmitted 	☐ No	
Daniel K. Hall December 23, 2013			
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection			
Janist KThell			
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next In	spection		

COMMENTS: Facility is closed and all associated equipment has been removed from the site.