

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}} \frac{\textbf{PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RE-	NUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY	(CI) [
	-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0530017 DATE: <u>09072007</u> ARRIVE: <u>1027</u> DEPART: <u>1336</u>				
FACILITY NAME: E.R. JAHNA INDUSTRIES, INC MILLS MINE				
FACILITY LOCATION:	35553 Cortez Boulevard	d		
	WEBSTER 33597			
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL:	: RONALD JOHNSON	PHONE:	(863)676-9431	
CONTACT NAME: Mike I	DelPilar	PHONE:	PHONE: (863)676-9431	
REMITTANCE YEAR: 199	e ENTITL	LEMENT PERIOD: 9/8/2007 (effective date)	/ 9/8/2012 (end date)	
		(circuite date)	(eliù uaic)	
PART I: INSPECTION COL	M <u>PLIANCE STATUS</u> (ch	neck 🗹 only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMI		Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: <u>DETERMINATION</u> <u>OF FACILITY TYPE/APPLICABILITY</u>				
(check ✓ only <u>one</u> box)				
(check ☑ only <u>one</u> box)	<u> MOF FACILITY TITE</u>	/APPLICABILITY		
(check ✓ only <u>one</u> box) ☐ FOR FACILTIES SUBJ	ECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60	7. APPLICABILITY 10. Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1)) 11. Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1)	h **.)	
(check ✓ only one box) FOR FACILTIES SUBJ (If you have checked ✓ t Subject Facilities: (applied elevator, belt conveyor, both	ECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60 this category, answer all quicable fixed or portable fa agging operation, storage to treduce the size of non-ref	O, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1)) questions INCLUDING those wit acilities include each crusher, gribin, enclosed truck or railcar load mettalic minerals embedded in re	h **.) nding mill, screening operation, bucket ing station, crushers & grinding mills at ecycled asphalt pavement & subsequent	
(check ✓ only one box) FOR FACILTIES SUBJ (If you have checked ✓ t Subject Facilities: (applied elevator, belt conveyor, but hot mix asphalt facilities that affected facilities up to, but no FOR FACILITIES NOT	ECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60 this category, answer all quicable fixed or portable far agging operation, storage but reduce the size of non-not including the first storage	O, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1)) questions INCLUDING those wit acilities include each crusher, gribin, enclosed truck or railcar load mettalic minerals embedded in re	nding mill, screening operation, bucket ing station, crushers & grinding mills at ecycled asphalt pavement & subsequent (2), (b), (c), and (d))	

PART III: EMISSION STANDARDS - Chapter 62-210.300(4)(c)5., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.			
**1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)?			
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on			
belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other			
affected emission point:			
**a) exceed 7% percent opacity?			
**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of <u>0.05</u> grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)? Yes No			
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage			
bin exceed 7% percent opacity?			
<u>Visible Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.			
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,			
Appendix A)?			
**2. Do visible emissions from any:			
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,			
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed <u>10</u> % percent opacity?			
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?			
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding,			
screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin,			
enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point NOT subject to 40 CFR Part 60,			
Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than 20% percent opacity?			
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C			
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging			
operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed			
in a building? (If answer to question #4 is YES, then proceed to #4.a))			
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (If			
answer to this question is \underline{NO} , then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If \underline{YES} skip to #4.c).) \square Yes \square No			
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is:			
1) the particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?			
2) the opacity greater than 7% percent?			
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed 7/2% percent opacity? Yes No			
**5. Do visible emissions from any:			
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,			
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed <u>10</u> % percent opacity?			
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?			
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:			
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening			
operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to			
the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin? Yes No			
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors			
in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket			
elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin			
in the production line? \square Yes \boxtimes No			

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.) 1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.) Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.) 2. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of Pulle (2.210.200(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)	
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,: a) initial compliance prior to beginning commercial operation?	
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.) 3. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,: a) compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form? Yes No	
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification form submittal date?	
incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C. 4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9?	
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and 40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]	
Facility and/or Equipment Replacement **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facility and/or equipment:	
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?	
**b) for a Screening Operation, **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation? Yes No	
**c) for a Conveyor Belt, **1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt? **d) for a Storage Bin, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated	
capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?	
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate?	
test?	

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)				
**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(e))?	es 🗌 No			
Process Changes				
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then answer either a)1) or a)2) below.</i>)	es 🗌 No			
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:				
**1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (<i>Note: The unsaturated</i>				
material handling processes would now be subject to the 10% opacity limit in 40 CFR 60.672(b)	D No			
**2) and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart OOO.)	es 📙 No			
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(h).	1			
(If answer to 1) or 2) above is \underline{YES} then proceed to question b) below.) $\square Y \in \underline{YES}$				
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the	.о <u> </u>			
change? \(\sum Y \)	es 🗌 No			
Notification Requirements				
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities	□ N			
	es 🗌 No			
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial number of the equipment, if available?	es 🗌 No			
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also	S 🔲 NO			
	es 🗌 No			
	<u>-</u>			
PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
1. Is this facility a: 1) relocatable ☐; 2) stationary ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☒only one box above.) (NOTE: If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the box f stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer all relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.) a) If this is a relocatable facility was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a Facility Relocation Notification form submitted within 1 business day following the relocation? ☐ Yes b) If this is a relocatable facility, is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from onsite deposits? (If your answer to this question is NO, please proceed to question 1) below.) ☐ Yes 1) Does the owner or operator of this relocatable facility have a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points? ☐ Yes 2. If this is a stationary facility, does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s),	No No			
the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?	No No			

	V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Control of the Control o	tinued)
(cl	neck ☑ appropriate box(es))	
**2.	Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OC adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.)	to
**		
	**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	∏Yes ∏ No
	**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	
**	b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	□Yes □ No
	**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?	
	**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	
3.	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with a stationary concrete batching plant using	
	individual concrete batching plant air general permit at the same location? (If your answer to this question)	
	is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 3.a), thru 3.d),) below. If <u>NO</u> , proceed to question #4.)	☐Yes ⊠ No
	a) Is there more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant in operation at this location?	□Yes □ No
	b) If there is more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant at this location, do they all operate under	er
	a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit?	□Yes □ No
	c) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	□Yes □ No
	d) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
4.	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with one or more relocatable concrete	
	batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this	_
	question is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 4.a), thru 4.b) below. If <u>NO</u> , then proceed to question 5.)	□Yes ⊠ No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
	b) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
5.	Does the owner or operator of this facility operate multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing	
	plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits at this location?	Yes No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	□Yes ⊠ No
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
	calendar year?	⊠Yes ☐ No
	c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	⊠Yes ☐ No
_	d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	⊠Yes ☐ No
6.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
	a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	⊠Yes ☐ No
	b) material processed on a monthly basis?	⊠Yes ☐ No
7	c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	⊠Yes □ No
/.	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (no)t
	a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt	
	plant?	☐Yes ☐ No
	a) If <u>YES</u> , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for the	□x □ x.
o	operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?	☐Yes ☐ No
δ.	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (<i>not a Title V source</i>)?	□Vag □ Ng
	a) If YES , does it operate under the authority of its air general permit?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
	a) It <u>1123</u> , does it operate under the authority of its all general permit?	☐ 1 €2 ☐ 1NO

PART VI: REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-				
210.300(4)(c)5.d.(i) and (ii), F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral premissions by: a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor b) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, a 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking area 2) application of water or environmentally safe demissions?	r drop points?			
 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of particulate matter from stock piles? 5) landscaping and/or the planting of vegetation? 6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equipmatter? 				
PART VII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE A. New or Modified Process Equipment	ES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?				
Joseph V. Panetta / Max Grondahl	09072007			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	2008			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			

COMMENTS: This inspection was attended by Joe Panetta and Max Grondahl, as part of this inspection we were to check and see if the CALCIUM CARBONATE FINE SCREENING SYSTEM and the LIMEROCK DRYER were not operating and to verify they were inoperarble. E.R. Jahna has applied and received a GP in place of the previous AO based on the information that E.R. Jahna supplied the equipment listed above is inoperable and require thousands of dollars to repair and they do not want to incur that expense. Records were checked. Facility seemed to be in compliance at time of inspection. 1 Records show last operation of the above mentioned equipment was August 2005. Does not appear to be used recently.