

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0490010 DATE: <u>04/07/2009</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:30 am</u> DEPART: <u>12:03pm</u>		
FACILITY NAME: JAHNA CONCRETE, INCZOLFO SPRINGS		
FACILITY LOCATION: 6TH AVE & MAGNOLIA ST		
ZOLFO SPRINGS 33890		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: FREDERIC JAHNA PHONE: (863)453-4353		
CONTACT NAME: Noel Jahna PHONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/6/2008 / 9/6/2013 (effective date) (end date)		
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)		
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?		
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	to ⊠Yes □ No	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?		
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.)	le 🗌	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	ing ☐Yes ☑ No ☐Yes ☐ No	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?		
Wendy D. Simmons Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
hispector's tvame (Please Pfilit)	04/07/2012	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: Pre-inspection review: No potential enforcement issues were found during pre-inspection file review. Inspection findings: Sprinklers were in operation upon my arrival. Visible Emissions (VE) testing was conducted during my site visit and I performed a 15 minute concurrent VE test on emission unit #1 during the loading of the cement silos. The facility does not own a water truck, but does use water hoses to wet yard as needed. This facility also has spray bars on the truck load out instead of a dust collector. All three emission units were tested on this day. The facility did not have a copy of the Air General Permit on site that could be found. Mr. Noel Jahna stated the original is likely at the home office. I helped Mr. Jahna navigate to the web site where he was able to print out a copy of the Concrete Batch Plant General Permit Registration form. I provided Mr. Jahna with the expiration date of the current permit which became active on 09/08/2008. This facility does not have a fueling tank on site, all their trucks for this location use regular gas station fueling locations. Therefore, this facility receives no fuel and keeps no fuel records as noted above, I also explained the new VE testing requirements guidance to Mr. Jahna, and he then stated his facility would likely continue to schedule and conduct their VE testing early in the year most likely in April or May. This facility did have some short puffs of fugitive particulate from the truck load out, but it did not go off site and was partially controlled by the spray bars in place at the truck load out. Photos were taken during my visit to this site and are attached to this report. I committed to faxing a copy of the facility's current permit to Mr. Noel Jahna to have on hand at the Zolfo Springs site. Fax was sent on 04/07/2009 at 4:58pm containing 13 pages. Visible Emissions Testing was submitted to the Department on 04/25/2009 and according to test reports were conducted above 25 TPH.