

# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

470 Harrison Avenue Panama City, Florida 32401 RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR

CARLOS LOPEZ-CANTERA LT. GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. SECRETARY

April 11, 2014

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL davidr@readymixusa.com

Mr. David Rabold Environmental Manager Ready Mix USA, LLC 1801 Martin Luther King Blouvard Panama City, Florida 32401

Dear Mr. Rabold:

Department personnel conducted a compliance inspection of the above-referenced facility on April 10, 2014. Based on the information provided during the inspection, the facility was determined to be in compliance with the Department's rules and regulations. A copy of the inspection report is attached for your records.

The Department appreciates your efforts to maintain this facility in compliance with state and federal rules. Should you have any questions or comments, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046 or by e-mail at mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

C. Mark Sumner

**Environmental Specialist** 

Mark Sen

**CMS** 

#### **Enclosure**

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>)

Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us)

Mr. Wiley Willoughby, Operations Manager (wileyw@readymixusa.com)



## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<b>INSPECTION TYPE:</b> ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	OVERY (CI)				
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	Г NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0050039 DATE: 4/10/2014	DEPART: <u>10:30</u>					
FACILITY NAME: PANAMA CITY MAIN						
FACILITY LOCATION: 1810 MARTIN LUTHER KING JR BLV						
PANAMA CITY	32401					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: davidr@readymixusa.com CONTACT NAME: WILEY WILLOUGHBY Email: wileyw@readymixusa.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/9/2010 / 7/9/2 (effective date) (end of	Mol PHO Mol	ONE: (205)986-4800 bile: (205)936-3572 ONE: (850)785-1934 bile: (850)258-1634				
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN	<u>VG</u>	(check	only one			
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Mike Bedy	<u>well</u>	box for eac	ch question)			
Brief Notes: <u>HS&amp;E Resources conducted a Met</u>	thod 9 VE test at the time of this	inspection.				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still Davd Rabo If no, who is?: NA	old?	Yes	□No			
If different, did the facility provide an administra  3. Is the facility contact still WILEY WILLOUGHI If no, who is?: NA			□No			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during tod If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least			□No □No			

#### **Emissions Unit Section**

#### $\underline{1-CCB\ Plant-RMPlt2cement\&1flyashsilos\&batch/loadingw/baghouses\ subject\ to\ Reasonable\ Precautions}$

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: 3/30/2012</li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?         If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?         b. If tested: (NA)% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?         C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? NA</li> </ol>	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗸 only one

PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards			question)
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		☐ No
	control emissions?		□ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	_	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- X Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions $\underline{not}$ being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ( $\underline{NA}$ )% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? $\underline{NA}$		

### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each of	•
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	⊠ Yes	No No No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? NA		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
	$\frac{0 \text{ gal diesel/yr} + 0 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} + 0 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} + \frac{0 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr}} + \frac{0 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}} + \frac{0 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}}{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/gas/yr}} \leq 1.00?$	·	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each o	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	□ Vas	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		⊠ No □ No
3	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
J.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ only one	
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plan	both stationary and relocatable box for each question)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	ow. )  nt or Local Air Program by telephone, s day prior to changing location?   N/A	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least	business days following a relocation? N/A otification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separand the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routing If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how leading to the purpose.	s unit in that separate permit: ne purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? N/A	
co-located at the permitted facility?  If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration	N/A	
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check <b>☑</b> only one	
Administrative Changes:	box for each question)	
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor	ocation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes No	
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	days of the change? 🔀 N/A	
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?     b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replaces	Yes No No nent? Yes No	
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is	s substantially different? Yes No	
d. A change in ownership?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new regi		
C. Mark Sumner	4/10/2014	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Mark Sen		
	1/2019	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

**COMMENTS:** Mike Bedwell, Plant Manager was on site., HS&E Resources conducted an EPA Method 9 visual emission test of the plants two cement silos, fly ash silo, and weigh hopper (batcher) at the time of this inspection. No visual emissions were observed at the time of this inspection. During this test 27.31 tons was loaded in the fly ash silo and 13.4 tons cement was loaded in each cement silo. Two trucks were loaded with full loads during the test of the weigh hopper. The results of this VE test must be submitted to the Departmentwith in 45 days.

This facility has a C&W pulse jet bag house for each silo and a separate Vince Hagen dust collector for the weigh hopper. The metal frame supporting the weighhopper shroud has been repaired to ensure the dust from batching is collected by the dust collector. However, the curtain from the shroud has been torn, please have this repaired to assist with the proper function of the dust collector. Each dust collecter vent was observed at the time of this inspection, and no signs of excessive emissions were observed. The facility has well maintained records for fuel consumption by the vehicles (the plant is powered by the electricity power grid), and material batched on a monthly basis.

Dust control logs, bag house inspections logs, and BMP daily inspection logs were also available for review. The facility has wind breaks/binblock enclosures for the aggregate, and all stockpiles were stacked below the height of the enclosures to prevent wind erosion/entrainment of aggregate material. There is a closed block plant adjacent to this facility. According the the facility personnel it has not operated since the last inspection or in the last five years..