

# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

May 7, 2012

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL suecu@readymixusa.com

Ms. Sue Cummings Environmental Manager Ready Mix USA, LLC Post Office Box 101868 Birmingham, Alabama 35210

Dear Ms. Cummings:

On March 30, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Ready Mix USA MLK Concrete Batch Plant ID 0050039. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or by email at <a href="mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us">mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us</a>.

Sincerely,

Clifford D. Wilson III, P.E.

Panama City Branch Administrator

CDW/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>)

Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us)

Mr. Wiley Willoughby, Operations Manager (wileyw@readymixusa.com)



## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<b>INSPECTION TYPE</b> :	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/E	DISCOVERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPL	AINT NO:		
					1
AIRS ID#: 0050039 DA	TE: <u>3/30/2012</u>	<b>ARRIVE: 9:44</b>	DEPA	ART: <u>10;55</u>	
FACILITY NAME: PA	NAMA CITY MAIN				
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 1810 MARTIN LUTHE	ER KING JR BLV			
	PANAMA CITY 324	01-			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: suecu@ready CONTACT NAME: V Email: wileyw@reade ENTITLEMENT PERI	VILEY WILLOUGHBY dymixusa.com	e Cummings	PHONE: (205)98 Mobile: (205)93 PHONE: (850)78 Mobile: (850)25	6-3572 5-1934	
PART I: INSPECTION  ☑ IN COMPLIAN	N COMPLIANCE STATUS (c		:) GNIFICANT Non-CC	OMPLIANCE	
	RODUCTORY MEETING			(check ☑ box for each	•
•	presentative(s): <u>Steve Castro</u>				
Brief Notes: <u>HS&amp;E I</u>	Resources conducted a Method 9	9 VE test at the time of	f this inspection.		
2. Is the Authorized Rep If no, who is?: <u>NA</u>	resentative still SUE CUMMIN	GS?		X Yes	□No
	cility provide an administrative still WILEY WILLOUGHBY?				□No □No
4. Will facility be condu If yes, was the compli	cting VE test(s) during today's is ance authority notified at least 1	inspection?5 days in advance?			□No □No

#### **Emissions Unit Section**

#### 1 -CCB Plant-RMPlt2cement&1flyashsilos&batch/loadingw/baghouses subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: 11/17/2010</li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	Yes	□ No ⊠ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards  1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	ned	
emissions by:	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		☐ No
control emissions?	· X Yes	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- X Yes	☐ No
If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:     a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?     b. If tested: ( <u>NA</u> )% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?     N/A     c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? <u>NA</u>	Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No

#### **Emissions Unit Section**

#### 3 - CCB Plant-Block Plt, 1 cementsilo w/ baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
		Yes □ No Yes □ No Yes □ No	O
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Co	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:		
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>		0
	control emissions? \(\sime \) You 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	es No	0
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 🔀 Ye	es No	0
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	es No	0
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Y	es No	0
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? $\square$ You b. If tested: (NA)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? $\square$ N/A $\square$ You. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? NA	res ⊠ No res □ No	

## **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	∑ Yes     ∑ Yes	No No No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? NA		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propare		?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ption - Yes	☐ No
	Note: Permit Eligibility Part 3. $(a)(b)(c)(d)(e)$ and Part 4 are not applicable for this facility at this	; time.	
_			
GH	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	2
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?  b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	☐ No
	permit and Department rules?	- Xes	☐ No

		only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of bo	th stationary and relocatable \int \text{box for each}	n question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants		)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement at		
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		☐ No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below		
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department		□ 3.7
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business of		∐ No
<ul> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Not to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five bu</li> </ul>		□ No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Noti		NO
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least to		☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separa	ate air construction or air operation permit,	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions u		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine	purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?  Yes	☐ No
If YES, what was the purpose?		
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how lon	g it was	
co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	∐ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration	? \( \text{Yes}	∐ No
<u>CHANGES</u>		only one
Administrative Changes:	box for each	n question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number	of the facility or authorized representative not	
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloca		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor a	ation of the facility of any chinssions units of	
	dministrative change at the facility? Yes	⊠ No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 da	dministrative change at the facility? Yes	⊠ No □ No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 da New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	dministrative change at the facility? Yes	=
<ol> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 da</li> <li>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been</li> </ol>	dministrative change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? N/A Yes	☐ No
<ol> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 da New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	dministrative change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? N/A Yes	□ No □ No
<ol> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 da New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement.</li> </ol>	dministrative change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? N/A Yes Yes ent? Yes	□ No □ No □ No
<ol> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 da New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	dministrative change at the facility?       Yes         ays of the change?       N/A       Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 da New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replaceme c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is st d. A change in ownership?	dministrative change at the facility?       Yes         ays of the change?       N/A       Yes	□ No □ No □ No
<ol> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 da New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	dministrative change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? N/A Yes  Yes and Yes Arrive change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? Yes arrive change? Yes abstantially different? Yes arrive change?	No No No No No No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 da New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replaceme c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is st d. A change in ownership?	dministrative change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? N/A Yes  Yes and Yes Arrive change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? Yes arrive change? Yes abstantially different? Yes arrive change?	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
<ol> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 da New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	dministrative change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? N/A Yes  Yes and Yes Arrive change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? Yes arrive change? Yes abstantially different? Yes arrive change?	No No No No No No
<ol> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 da New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	dministrative change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? N/A Yes  Yes and Yes Arrive change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? Yes arrive change? Yes abstantially different? Yes arrive change?	No No No No No No
<ol> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 da New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	dministrative change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? N/A Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes	No No No No No No
<ol> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 da New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	dministrative change at the facility?  Yes ays of the change? Yes N/A Yes	No No No No No No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 da New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	dministrative change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? N/A Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes	No No No No No No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 da New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	dministrative change at the facility?  Yes ays of the change?  Yes  N/A  Yes   Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes	No No No No No No
<ol> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 da New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	dministrative change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? N/A Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes	No No No No No No

**COMMENTS:** Steve Castro, Plant Manager was on site. Lisa Swain, environmental consultant conducted an EPA Method 9 visual emission test of the plants two cement silos, fly ash silo, and weigh hopper (batcher) at the time of this inspection. No visual emissions were observed at the time of this inspection. During this test 26.77 tons of fly ash and 26.16 tons of type I and 26.87 tons of type II cement were loaded into the facilities three silos, and one truck was loaded with a full load at the weigh hopper. The results of this VE test must be submitted to the Department by May 14, 2012.

This facility has a C&W pulse jet bag house for each silo and a separate Vince Hagen dust collector for the weigh hopper. The metal frame supporting the weighhopper shroud has been repaired to ensure the dust from batching is collected by the dust collector. Each dust collecter vent was observed at the time of this inspection, and no signs of excessive emissions were observed. The facility has well maintained records for fuel consumption by the vehicles (the plant is powered by the electricity power grid), and material batched on a monthly basis.

Dust control logs, bag house inspections logs, and BMP daily inspection logs were also available for review. The facility has wind breaks/binblock enclosures for the aggregate, and all stockpiles were stacked at the height of the enclosures to prevent wind erosion/entrainment of aggregate material. There is a closed block plant adjacent to this facility. According the the facility personnel it has not operated since the last inspection or in the last four years.