

# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

August 19, 2011

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested noahm@readymixusa.com

Mr. Noah McBride Operations Manager Pensacola Ready Mix USA Post Office Box 7142 Pensacola, Florida 32534

Dear Mr. McBride:

On August 16, 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 1130028. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or e-mail jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Carre Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

CM/jw/c

Enclosure

c: Sue Cummings, Ready Mix USA: suecu@readymixusa.com
David Snyder, Ready Mix USA: davidsnyder@readymixusa.com



## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		DISCOVERY (CI)					
AIRS ID#: 1130028 DATE: 8/16/11	ARRIVE:	DEPART:					
FACILITY NAME: MIDWAY PLANT							
FACILITY LOCATION: 1623 OCEAN BR	EEZE LN						
GULF BREEZE	32561						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: NoahM@readymixusa.com CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/14/2010 / 1/14 (effective date) (end decomposition)	4/2015	PHONE: (850)477-2899 Mobile: (850)554-5017 PHONE: Mobile:					
Facility Section							
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN	I <u>G</u>		(check <b>☑</b> only one				
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>David Sny</u>	der, Operations		box for each question)				
Brief Notes:							
2. Is the Authorized Representative still NOAH MO If no, who is?:	CBRIDE?		⊠ Yes □No				
If different, did the facility provide an administra  3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:			☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least			Yes				

# Emissions Unit Section 4 - Cement Concrete Batch Plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1. 2.	Date of last inspection: 3/23/10 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:  a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?  b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?  c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	box for each  Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes hour Yes	only one question)  No No No No No No No No
	If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PA	RT II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins	ate pection. No
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ ) and go to	$\bowtie$ Yes $h$ .	☐ No
	<ol> <li>Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?</li> <li>During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate.</li> </ol>	Yes	⊠ No
	duration?	- 🗌 Yes	⊠ No
	<ul> <li>3) What was the batching rate?tons/hour. What was the batching duration?minuth.</li> <li>1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> </ul>	n is separate lector ?	☐ No
2.	2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?		⊠ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		☐ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?  d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	Yes	□ No

### **Facility Section (continued)**

ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		only one h question)
b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or		⊠ No
		⊠ No
b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fulls of gallons discontinuous gallons of gasoline?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No
Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	mption Yes	□ No
ENERAL CONDITIONS		only one
Does the owner or operator:	Yes	⊠ No
	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?  Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4,040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?  b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?  If YES, what other general permit units or activities?  Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:  a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?  b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?  c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?  d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?  e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fully and the company of the past of	box for each daintning the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary $\boxtimes$ ; relocatable $\square$ ; or consisting		question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing	plants? (If only stationary, skip the following question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cer		
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c		∐ No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Depart	rtment or Local Air Program by telephone,	
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one bus b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocati		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than	Fig. business (See Ellowing a relocation? Yes	☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation	[DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	
to the appropriate Department of Local Air Addition	Last five business days prior to relocation? Yes	∐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a		
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emis a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-ro		□ No
If YES, what was the purpose?	outine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage).	
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate he		□ Na
co-located at the permitted facility?  If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in du		□ No □ No
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check ☑ c	
	1 6 1	. • ` `
Administrative Changes:	box for each of	question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n	umber of the facility or authorized representative not	question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n associated with a change in ownership or with a physical	umber of the facility or authorized representative not relocation of the facility or any emissions units or	question)
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar m</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within</li> </ol>	umber of the facility or authorized representative not relocation of the facility or any emissions units or inor administrative change at the facility? Yes n 30 days of the change? Yes	
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar m</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh</li> </ol>	umber of the facility or authorized representative not relocation of the facility or any emissions units or inor administrative change at the facility? Yes n 30 days of the change? Yes	No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar m</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	umber of the facility or authorized representative not relocation of the facility or any emissions units or inor administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change? Yes ip:	No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar m</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without rep.</li> </ol>	umber of the facility or authorized representative not relocation of the facility or any emissions units or almost administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change? Yes ip:  Yes lacement?	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar m</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without report.</li> <li>Replacement of existing equipment with equipment the</li> </ol>	umber of the facility or authorized representative not relocation of the facility or any emissions units or almor administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change?	⊠ No □ No □ No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar m</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	umber of the facility or authorized representative not relocation of the facility or any emissions units or almost administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change? Yes ip:	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar m</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	umber of the facility or authorized representative not relocation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change? Yes ip:  Yes lacement?	No No No No No No No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar m</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	umber of the facility or authorized representative not relocation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change? Yes ip:  Yes lacement?	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar m</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	umber of the facility or authorized representative not relocation of the facility or any emissions units or almost administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change? Yes ip:	No No No No No No No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar m</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	umber of the facility or authorized representative not relocation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change? Yes ip:  Yes lacement?	No No No No No No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar m</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	umber of the facility or authorized representative not relocation of the facility or any emissions units or almost administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change? Yes ip:	No No No No No No No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar mega. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh.</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replic. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment the d. A change in ownership?</li></ol>	umber of the facility or authorized representative not relocation of the facility or any emissions units or almost administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change? Yes ip:	No No No No No No No

**COMMENTS:** A Department representative conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection on August 16, 2011 at Pensacola Ready Mix-Midway located in Santa Rosa County. Mr. David Snyder was present to assist during the inspection.

To prevent fugitive emissions, most of the site is paved and the yard is swept or watered down as needed. Aggregate is stored in three-sided concrete wind breaks with sprinklers attached. The facility operates on electricity, so there are no fuel requirements. The facility consists of three silos and a truck loading area attached to a centralized dust collector.

The 2011 visible emissions test was performed during the inspection. No emissions were noted. The requirement to record the batching rate during the emissions test on the back plant was discussed with Mr. Noah McBride prior to the inspection and the

visible emissions test. The previous VE test did not include that information. As a reminder, Rule 62-296.414(3)(c), Florida Administrative Code, states if emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are also controlled by the silo dust collector, the batching operation shall be in operation during the visible emissions test. The batching rate during the emissions test shall be representative of the normal batching rate and duration. Each test report shall state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing and, if applicable, whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing. Mr. McBride indicated that there were no trucks available to load for the test. This plant only operates on an as-needed basis.