

## **HUMAN CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:							
AIRS ID#: 1150023 DATE: 12/31/2012 ARRIVE: 11:00 DEPART	Γ: 12:00						
FACILITY NAME: GENDRON CREMATION SVCS-SARASOTA							
FACILITY LOCATION: 135 N LIME AVE							
SARASOTA 34237-6121							
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: PAUL GENDRON Email: gendronfuneral@verizon.net CONTACT NAME: MICHAEL GENDRON Email: gendronfuneral@verizon.net ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/11/2011 / 9/11/2016 (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (941)365-1 Mobile: (239)340-64 (239)340-64	764 767						
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):  Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)						
2. Is the Authorized Representative still PAUL GENDRON?	- ⊠ Yes □No						
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still MICHAEL GENDRON?  If no, who is?:	YesNo YesNo						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?  If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?							

## Emissions Unit Section 1 – Human Crematory-prim/2ndarychmbrNGfiredtempM&RopacM150lbs/hr

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b>	only one	
			box for each question)	
		box for each	question)	
1.	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or	_	_	
	after August 30, 1989?	∐ Yes	⊠No	
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the			
	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	□ x7		
_	at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	∐ Yes	∐No	
	Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	∐ Yes	⊠No	
	Date of last inspection: 1/5/2010			
4.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	□ xz		
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	∐ Yes	⊠No ⊠No	
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	☐ Yes	⊠N0	
	operation? N/A	☐ Yes	□No	
	d. Date of last VE test: 8/16/2011		No	
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	⊠ Yes	□No	
	f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		□No	
	If no, what was the problem (if known)?	Z ICS		
	ii no, what was the problem (ii known):			
PA	RT II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹	only one	
		box for each		
1	XX		□ N.	
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?		∐No ∏No	
	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver?b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		=	
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	- 🛚 Yes	∐No	
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average.			
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	⊠ Yes	□No	
	(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes			
	(e/o opacity) shi illiniate a retage, encope and ristore composition not encocoming 10% opacity shall be allowed for up to shi illiniate.	in any one nour,		
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	Yes	⊠No	
	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver?		□No	
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		□No	
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.		_	
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	- Yes	□No	
3.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standa	rds?		
		Yes	⊠No	
	If yes, what reason?			
PA	RT III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹	only one	
		box for each	•	
		box for each		
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	☐ Yes	⊠No	
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:			
	Downwind odor level detected-1 Wind direction - NE Upwind odor level detected-1 (1-	10)		
^				
	Continuous Monitoring Systems –			
a				
	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the			
L	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠ Yes	□No	
b	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?			
b	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	<ul><li>⋉ Yes</li><li>⋉ Yes</li></ul>	□No	

PA	PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)					
c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?	K-71 **	_ ,,			
	<ol> <li>All temperature measurements</li></ol>	⊠ Yes	∐No			
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	Yes	⊠No			
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on ( )	Yes	⊠No ⊠ No			
	4) Adjustments 5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	∐ Yes □ Yes	⊠No ⊠No			
	6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	⊠No			
d.	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of					
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	Yes Yes	□No			
e.	Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$ ? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	Yes	⊠No			
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	Yes	□No			
l	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity		_ 			
	exceeds 15% opacity?(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in	∐ Yes	∐No			
_	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	Yes	□No			
_						
P/	ART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check	-			
		box for each	h question)			
1.	If the application to construct was <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:					
	a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F					
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1400°F</b> before the crematic		□No			
	process begins in the primary chamber?	OH.	17			
ì		⊠ Yes	□No			
2.			□No			
2.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1600°F</b>	⊠ Yes				
2.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:  a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1600°F</b> throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes     Yes     Yes     ✓	□No			
2.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1600°F</b>	Yes     Yes     Yes     ✓				
2.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:  a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ———————————b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	∑ Yes  ☐ Yes	□No			
2.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:  a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ———————————b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	∑ Yes  ☐ Yes	□No			
	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:  a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ———————————b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic		□No □No			
	If the application to construct ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:  a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?  b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes	□No □No			
PA	If the application to construct ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:  a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?  b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes  Yes  Yes  On  Yes  (check  box for each	NoNo only one h question)			
PA	If the application to construct ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:  a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ————————————————————————————————————		□No □No			
<b>P</b> A 1.	If the application to construct ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:  a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ————————————————————————————————————	Yes  Yes  Yes  On  Yes  (check  box for each	NoNo only one h question)			
<b>P</b> A	If the application to construct ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:  a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?  b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes  Yes  Yes  (check ✓ box for eac	NoNo only one h question)			

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE		(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacture	's specifications?	Yes	□No		
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedure shutdown and malfunction?		⊠ Yes	□No		
3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristic If no, skip a. – b.	tics?	⊠ Yes	□No		
a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?			□No □No		
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check	only one box)				
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLI	IANCE			
Facility Section (continued)					
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		(check <b>v</b> box for each	only one question)		
<ul> <li>Administrative Changes:</li> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration.</li> <li>If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the same of t</li></ul>	f the facility or any emissions unit strative change at the facility?	s or Yes	⊠No □No		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:					
<ul> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been</li></ul>	nt? sbstantially different?	☐ Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>		
submitted 30 days prior to the change?		Yes	□No		
//s//Michael Storino	12/28/2012				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	ection			

**COMMENTS:** No record of a VE Test completed for 2009. Facility was sold in 2010 and is under new ownership Michael Storino conducted an inspection on 12/28/12, during warmup the cremation unit experienced erratic behavior of the afterburner, cutting off multiple times during the process. The facility contacted their service contractor, Matthew's, and elected to reschedule the VE test until the unit could be diagnosed.. Matthew's mobilized to the site that afternoon and completed diagnostics and calibration of the system. On 12/31/12 the facility completed the VE test without incident.

Michael Storino found that the maintenance and repair records were not being maintained on site by the new owner. The facility is contacting their contractor to obtain all reports and records for the past 2 years to store on file. Will send the latest report by email or fax to MS for review.