

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DI ARMS COMPLA	SCOVERY (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 1150016 DAT	E: <u>10/26/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>08:50</u>	DEPART	: <u>09:30</u>	
FACILITY NAME: MCI	NTOSH RM AND BLOCK FA	ACILITY			
FACILITY LOCATION:	5505 McIntosh Rd				
	SARASOTA 34233-3	456			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED Email: jasonp.jones@c CONTACT NAME: Nic Email: nmascorro@cer ENTITLEMENT PERIO	k Mascorro mexusa.com		PHONE: (813)269-12 Mobile: (813)363-61 PHONE: (941)923-05 Mobile: (863)287-29	12	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRO 1. Name(s) of facility representations are supported by the support of th	-			(check ✓ box for each	•
2. Is the Authorized Repre-	sentative still JASON JONES*	*?		Yes	□No
If different, did the facil 3. Is the facility contact sti If no, who is?:	ity provide an administrative u ll ?	npdate within 30 days?		Yes Yes	□No ⊠No
4. Will facility be conducti	ng VE test(s) during today's in ce authority notified at least 1:				□No □No

Emissions Unit Section 7 –cement silo at batch plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit

	No No No No No No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment (check ☑ only or box for each question)	
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	No No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	No No No

Emissions Unit Section 8 -slag silo at batch plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	(check 🗹 box for each	
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	☐ Yes☐ Yes	∐ No □ No
	operation?	Yes	□ No
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	☐ Yes☐ Yes	∐ No □ No
	h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		□ No □ No
	k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	∐ Yes	∐ No
PA	RT II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one
		m	question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	☐ Yes	☐ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	☐ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \square Yes \square No \square N/A – silo not load that is representative of the normal silo loading rate?	ded during ins	pection.
	e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	_	∐ No
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to	 Yesh.	∐ No
	 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate 	Yes and	☐ No
	duration? 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minu		☐ No
	h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector.	n is separate	
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut	? Yes	□ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		☐ No ☐ No
	 c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? > 25 tons/hour. 	∑ Yes	□ No

Emissions Unit Section 9 -weigh hopper subject to Reasonable Precautions

9 – weigh hopper subject to Reasonable Precautions		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
DADT II. EIEI D ODSEDWATIONS Dulo 62 206 414(2) E A C		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Ya	box for each	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to contremissions by:	rol unconfined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or r 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary 	X Yes	☐ No
control emissions?	Yes	⊠ No
particulate matter?	Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the	e truck? X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 11 –flyash silo at batch plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection:		
Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		No No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		110
operation?	□ N/A □ Yes □	No
d. Date of last VE test:		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after	the test? Yes	No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?		No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour		_
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the repo	ort state	
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?		No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes [No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		_
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the	last VE test? Yes	No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
DADEN CELON ENTERIORONG & TOUR AND A COLOR		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other		
enclosed storage and conveying equipment		
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site	visit?	⊠ No
		_
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minu c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?		No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		NO
if not, what was the problem (if known):		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading	ng of the silo conducted at a rate	2
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No No		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust	collector? Yes	No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1$) -		
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? -		No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the nor		
duration?	∐ Yes [☐ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (be	· —	□ No
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching ra 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration		No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this sit		☐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute av		
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?		No
d. What was the process rate? ≥ 25 tons/hour.		

Emissions Unit Section 12 –loadout central dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check b ox for each o	•
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Tes	 No No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	box for each of	only one question)
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage a Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Pil</u>		-
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautio emissions by:	ons to control unconfined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall included in paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals 	X Yes	☐ No
control emissions?	Yes	⊠ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce particulate matter?	Yes	☐ No
reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop p	point to the truck? X Yes	☐ No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			ļ
				ļ
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	□ '	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception o units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	☐ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane.		<u>≤</u> 1.00?	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	tion	Yes	☐ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	_		
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
2	devices? Does the owner or operator:	. 🔲 .	Yes	⊠ No
∠.	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	\boxtimes .	Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No
3.				□ No
	permit and Department rules.		103	L 110

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ box for each	
1. Is the facility: stationary \(\sigma\); relocatable \(\sigma\); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \(\sigma\) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the followin</i>		,
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] 		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	- Yes	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per		∐ No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?		☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		☐ No ☐ No
CWA NOTES		
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check ☑ box for each	
Administrative Changes:		
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	nits or Yes	⊠ No □ No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	rits or	=
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	rits or	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	rits or Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	rits or Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	itis or	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No