	WENTAL PROTECTION	Sec.
A OFFIC	V	a frage
FL	ORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1 RE-INSPECTIO		T/DISCOVERY (CI) 🛛 PLAINT NO: 12899				
AIRS ID#: 1150016 DATE: <u>11/2/12</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:4</u>	15 DEPART: <u>14:00</u>	2			
FACILITY NAME: MCINTOSH RM AN	D BLOCK FACILITY					
FACILITY LOCATION: 5505 McI	ntosh Rd					
SARASO	TA 34233-3456					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JASON JONES* PHONE: (813)269-1240 Email: jasonp.jones@cemex.com Mobile: (813)363-6112 CONTACT NAME: Carl PHONE: (941)923-0506 Email: Mobile: (941)232-8642 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013 (end date)						
	Facility Section					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE	STATUS (check I only one b	pox)				
IN COMPLIANCE MINO	OR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANC	Έ			
L						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY M 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):			eck 🗹 only one or each question)			
Brief Notes:						
 Is the Authorized Representative still JA If no, who is?: 	SON JONES*?	🛛	YesNo			
If different, did the facility provide an ad 3. Is the facility contact still ?			Yes □No Yes □No			

	If no, who is?:		
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes	🖾No
	If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?	Yes	No

Emissions Unit Section <u>7 – cement silo at batch plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection:	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If fort year of computing ways a VE test performed within 20 days of companying 	YesYes	□ No □ No
 c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: 	Yes	🗌 No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	Yes Yes	□ No □ No
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes Yes	D No No
 k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	Yes	🗌 No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	🗌 No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contract $x = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1 - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1 - g.3$ and go to a	Hes Yes	🗌 No
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes	🗌 No
 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	Yes	🗌 No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	is separate	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute	Yes	🗌 No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	No No
 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. 	☐ Yes	☐ No

Emissions Unit Section <u>8 –slag silo at batch plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection:	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)		
 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? 	YesYes	□ No □ No		
 c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? d. Date of last VE test: 	Yes	🗌 No		
 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour 	Yes Yes	□ No □ No		
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour 	Yes Yes	□ No □ No		
 k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	Yes	🗌 No		
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check \blacksquare box for each c	only one question)		
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🗌 No		
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	🗌 No		
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	Yes	🗌 No		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate				
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		ection.		
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour				
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ and go to		∐ No		
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate 		∐ No		
 duration?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	n is separate			
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute	? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No		
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	□ No □ No		
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. 	Yes	🗌 No		

Emissions Unit Section <u>9 –weigh hopper subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 only one	
	box for each question)	
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	Yes No	
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u>	(check 🗹 only one	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, a</u>	box for each question) and <u>Yards</u>	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to emissions by:	o control unconfined	
 Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include or 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 	Yes No	
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals whe control emissions?	Yes No	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce air particulate matter?	rborne 🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind particulate matter from stock piles?		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point	t to the truck? Yes No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		

Emissions Unit Section <u>11 – flyash silo at batch plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit</u>

<u>11 ityasii sub at baten plant subject to 5 /0 Opacity Linit</u>				
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>				
 Date of last inspection: Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: 	_			
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	Yes Yes	∐ No □ No		
operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test:	Yes	🗌 No		
 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour b. If weigh here are (here are an experimental additional actual and the selector did the report state) 	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No		
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour 	Yes Yes	□ No □ No		
 k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	Yes	🗌 No		
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment				
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🗌 No		
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	Yes	🗌 No		
 c. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	Yes	🗌 No		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo cor that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A - silo not load				
 e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour 		No		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1 - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1 - g.3$ and go to R	Pres In.	🗌 No		
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rat 	Yes	🗌 No		
duration?	Yes	🗌 No		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is separate				
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute	Yes	🗌 No		
 Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? 	Yes Yes	□ No □ No		
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	🗌 No		

Emissions Unit Section <u>12 –loadout central dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	🗌 Yes	No
control emissions?		∐ No
particulate matter?4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	_	□ No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? Yes No b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? Yes No c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? Yes No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? Yes No If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?
	 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? Yes No If YES, what other general permit units or activities?
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes No b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes No c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes No d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes No e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes No
	$\frac{\text{gal diesel/yr}}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} + \frac{\text{gal gasoline/yr}}{23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM gal propane/yr}}{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}} \le 1.00?$
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes No

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
	devices?	Yes	□ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:	—	_
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	Yes	🗌 No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access		
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		_
	permit and Department rules?	Yes	└ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary]; relocatable]; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable] concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the follow)	box for each	•
 Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.90] 		🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? - c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation	(6)]	☐ No ☐ No
 If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation p and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usa If YES, what was the purpose? 		🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)

<u>A(</u>	<u>dministrative Changes</u> :	
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not	
	associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or	
	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 🗌 Yes	No No
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? 🗌 Yes	No No
Ne	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been	
	a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes	No No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	No No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? 🗌 Yes	No No
	d. A change in ownership? Yes	No No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted	
	30 days prior to the change? Yes	No No

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: