

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	COMPLAINT/DISCO ARMS COMPLAINT	, ,
E: <u>5/18/10</u>	ARRIVE: <u>14:20</u>	DEPART: <u>15:20</u>
ASOTA PRECAST PRODU	CTS	
1415 MYRTLE ST		
SARASOTA 34234-	4723	
REPRESENTATIVE: MI	CHAEL MCCARTHY PH C	DNE: (941)355-4380
hael McCarthy	РНО	ONE:
(effective date) (end date)		
		CANT Non-COMPLIANCE
	<u>EMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414	, F.A.C.
silos, weigh hoppers (batcher ent necessary to limit visible sions tests of the silo dust col sentative of the normal silo le nachievable in practice? the weigh hopper (batcher) of Yes", then continue on to que d continue on to question 5.)	ers), and other enclosed storage emissions to 5 percent opacit llector exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the experience controlled by the silestions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If	Yes No No No No No No No N
	SARASOTA 34234- REPRESENTATIVE: MI hael McCarthy D: / (effective date) (end date) COMPLIANCE STATUS (end date) ORDKEPING REQUIRED box(es)) ORDKEPING REQUIRED box(es)) Ons tests conducted during the silon, weigh hoppers (batche ent necessary to limit visible sions tests of the silo dust coles entative of the normal silo lanachievable in practice?	ARRIVE: 14:20 ASOTA PRECAST PRODUCTS 1415 MYRTLE ST SARASOTA 34234-4723 REPRESENTATIVE: MICHAEL MCCARTHY PHO hael McCarthy PHO O: / (effective date) (end date) COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box) E MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFIC

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?———————————————————————————————————	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processin plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.</i>)————————————————————————————————————	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
take reasonable precautions to control unconfined and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: s, stock piles, and yards?			
S – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. Yes No treplacement?			
18 MAY 2010			
Date of Inspection			
~ 2011			
Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
ons in the last year. Both Silo's are in operation and being used/tested. In a silo same in operation and being used/tested. In a silo same in operation and being used/tested. In a silo same in operation and being used/tested. In a silo same in operation and being used/tested. In a silo same in operation and being used/tested.			