

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 Governmental Center Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794 Governor Jeff Kottkamp

Lt. Governor

Charlie Crist

Michael W. Sole Secretary

May 29, 2009

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL
HBelcher@preferredmaterials.com

Mr. Hank Belcher Environmental Health and Safety Manager Preferred Materials, Inc. 6250 Da Lisa Road Milton, Florida 32570

Dear Mr. Belcher:

On May 19, 2009, Department representatives with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 1130024. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

Please note that the permit lists Mr. David Guillaume as the authorized representative for this facility. Please contact Dick Dibble at 850/921-9586 or at <u>Dickson.Dibble@dep.state.fl.us</u> to update the permit to reflect the current owner/authorized representative.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-8300, extension 1222 or via e-mail at Jennifer.Waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Erica Mitchell

Air Compliance Supervisor

EM/jw/c

Enclosure

c: Robert F. Duke, President, Preferred Materials, Inc. (RDuke@preferredmaterials.com)



## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RE	-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	· / <u> </u>
AIRS ID#: 1130024 DATE:	5/19/09	ARRIVE: <u>1:39 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>1:53 PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: PREFE	RRED MATERIALS-MILTO	ON	
FACILITY LOCATION:	6250 DA LISA RD		
	MILTON 32570		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED R	EPRESENTATIVE: DAV	ID GUILLAUME PHON	<b>E:</b> (770)392-5300
CONTACT NAME: Dan S	wafford, Batch Plant Operato	or PHON	Е:
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:	12/15/2007 / 12/15/201 (effective date) (end date)	2	
PART I: <u>INSPECTION CO</u> IN COMPLIANCE	MPLIANCE STATUS (che	<u> </u>	NT Non-COMPLIANCE
<ul> <li>62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>2. Are emissions from sicontrolled to the exten</li> <li>3. During visible emission at a rate that is represe unless such rate is una</li> <li>4. Are emissions from th</li> </ul>	s tests conducted during this s los, weigh hoppers (batchers) at necessary to limit visible en ons tests of the silo dust collect entative of the normal silo load	and other enclosed storage a missions to 5 percent opacity? etor exhaust points was the loding rate, or at least at the mission controlled by the silo of the controlled by the controlled by the controlled by the silo of the controlled by the controlled by the controlled by th	Tethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)
1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the
annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
N. F. 1944 (
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?
submittal date? LYes LNo
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to
the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? \BYES \B
the 1101 Pothleadon form salomission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date.
<b>Test Reports</b> – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the
test was completed? \bigsymbol{\times} Yes \bigsymbol{\times} No
DA DEL MIL ODED ATTING DE CODDIZEEDING DE OVIDENTENTES. D. L. (2.210.200/AV.) 2. D. L. G.
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))
<ul> <li>(check  appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary  ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable</li> </ul>
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))
<ul> <li>(check  appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary  ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check  only one box.</i>)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>(check  appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary  ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check  only one box.</i>)</li> <li>2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>,</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)</li></ol>
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)</li></ol>
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>
<ol> <li>(check  papropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary  ; 2) a relocatable  ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable  concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Donly one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)</li></ol>
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	- Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)	11 Control of the Landon English
<ol> <li>Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reas emissions by:</li> </ol>	sonable precautions to control uncommed
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards,	
1) paying and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock pi	
<ol><li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppre emissions?</li></ol>	essant chemicals when necessary to control  Yes No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved	d areas under control of the owner/operator to
	ce airborne particulate matter?   Yes  No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind bre particulate matter from stock piles?	eaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emis	
	77 770 700/D/D/ 71 M
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule ( A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.
Since the last inspection has there been     a) installation of any new process equipment?	□v <sub>as</sub> ⊠ N <sub>a</sub>
<ul><li>a) installation of any new process equipment?</li><li>b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacer</li></ul>	
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different	than that noted on the most
recent notification form?	
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner so notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAG	
local program office?	
1 5	
Jennifer Waltrip	5/19/09
Jennifer Waltrip	
Jennifer Waltrip  Inspector's Name (Please Print)	5/19/09  Date of Inspection
	Date of Inspection  May 2010
	Date of Inspection
	Date of Inspection  May 2010  Approximate Date of Next Inspection
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Inspector's Signature  COMMENTS: Department representatives conducted an unannounced at the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials control of the Preferred M	Date of Inspection  May 2010  Approximate Date of Next Inspection  I annual air program compliance inspection on May 19, 2009
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Unlike  Inspector's Signature  COMMENTS: Department representatives conducted an unannounced	Date of Inspection  May 2010  Approximate Date of Next Inspection  I annual air program compliance inspection on May 19, 2009
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Inspector's Signature  COMMENTS: Department representatives conducted an unannounced at the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Control of the Preferred Materials control of the Preferred M	Date of Inspection  May 2010  Approximate Date of Next Inspection  d annual air program compliance inspection on May 19, 2009 unty. The facility was not in operation at the time, but Dan
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Inspector's Signature  COMMENTS: Department representatives conducted an unannounced at the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Consumption Swafford, plant operator, was present to assist during the inspection.	Date of Inspection  May 2010  Approximate Date of Next Inspection  d annual air program compliance inspection on May 19, 2009 unty. The facility was not in operation at the time, but Dan
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Inspector's Signature  COMMENTS: Department representatives conducted an unannounced at the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Cos Swafford, plant operator, was present to assist during the inspection.  A majority of the yard is paved. Areas left unpaved are covered in grave controlling fugitive emissions.	Date of Inspection  May 2010  Approximate Date of Next Inspection  I annual air program compliance inspection on May 19, 2009 unty. The facility was not in operation at the time, but Dan el and the yard is watered down as needed to aid in
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Inspector's Signature  COMMENTS: Department representatives conducted an unannounced at the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Cos Swafford, plant operator, was present to assist during the inspection.  A majority of the yard is paved. Areas left unpaved are covered in grave controlling fugitive emissions.  Aggregate is stored in three-sided concrete wind breaks which are 10-12	Date of Inspection  May 2010  Approximate Date of Next Inspection  d annual air program compliance inspection on May 19, 2009 punty. The facility was not in operation at the time, but Dan el and the yard is watered down as needed to aid in 2 feet high and equipped with sprinklers.
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Inspector's Signature  COMMENTS: Department representatives conducted an unannounced at the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Cos Swafford, plant operator, was present to assist during the inspection.  A majority of the yard is paved. Areas left unpaved are covered in grave controlling fugitive emissions.	Date of Inspection  May 2010  Approximate Date of Next Inspection  d annual air program compliance inspection on May 19, 2009 punty. The facility was not in operation at the time, but Dan el and the yard is watered down as needed to aid in 2 feet high and equipped with sprinklers.
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Inspector's Signature  COMMENTS: Department representatives conducted an unannounced at the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Cos Swafford, plant operator, was present to assist during the inspection.  A majority of the yard is paved. Areas left unpaved are covered in grave controlling fugitive emissions.  Aggregate is stored in three-sided concrete wind breaks which are 10-12	Date of Inspection  May 2010  Approximate Date of Next Inspection  d annual air program compliance inspection on May 19, 2009 unty. The facility was not in operation at the time, but Dan el and the yard is watered down as needed to aid in 2 feet high and equipped with sprinklers.
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  COMMENTS: Department representatives conducted an unannounced at the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Cor Swafford, plant operator, was present to assist during the inspection.  A majority of the yard is paved. Areas left unpaved are covered in grave controlling fugitive emissions.  Aggregate is stored in three-sided concrete wind breaks which are 10-12 Emissions from the weigh hopper are controlled by a partial enclosure at There is also a fly ash silo and a cement silo, each with its own baghouse The annual visible emissions (VE) test was conducted by Arlington Env	Date of Inspection  May 2010  Approximate Date of Next Inspection  I annual air program compliance inspection on May 19, 2009 unty. The facility was not in operation at the time, but Dan el and the yard is watered down as needed to aid in 2 feet high and equipped with sprinklers.  Ind dust collector.  e.
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  COMMENTS: Department representatives conducted an unannounced at the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Cor Swafford, plant operator, was present to assist during the inspection.  A majority of the yard is paved. Areas left unpaved are covered in grave controlling fugitive emissions.  Aggregate is stored in three-sided concrete wind breaks which are 10-12 Emissions from the weigh hopper are controlled by a partial enclosure at There is also a fly ash silo and a cement silo, each with its own baghouse The annual visible emissions (VE) test was conducted by Arlington Env 2009. Both tests were in compliance with the permit limits.	Date of Inspection  May 2010  Approximate Date of Next Inspection  d annual air program compliance inspection on May 19, 2009 unty. The facility was not in operation at the time, but Dan el and the yard is watered down as needed to aid in 2 feet high and equipped with sprinklers.  Ind dust collector.  e.  Fironmental Services for the two baghouses on March 6,
Inspector's Signature  COMMENTS: Department representatives conducted an unannounced at the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Cor Swafford, plant operator, was present to assist during the inspection.  A majority of the yard is paved. Areas left unpaved are covered in grave controlling fugitive emissions.  Aggregate is stored in three-sided concrete wind breaks which are 10-12 Emissions from the weigh hopper are controlled by a partial enclosure at There is also a fly ash silo and a cement silo, each with its own baghouse The annual visible emissions (VE) test was conducted by Arlington Env 2009. Both tests were in compliance with the permit limits. The 2009 VE test for the truck loading dust collector has not yet occurred.	Date of Inspection  May 2010  Approximate Date of Next Inspection  diannual air program compliance inspection on May 19, 2009 nunty. The facility was not in operation at the time, but Dan el and the yard is watered down as needed to aid in  2 feet high and equipped with sprinklers.  Indidust collector.  e.  Pironmental Services for the two baghouses on March 6, ed.
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  COMMENTS: Department representatives conducted an unannounced at the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Cor Swafford, plant operator, was present to assist during the inspection.  A majority of the yard is paved. Areas left unpaved are covered in grave controlling fugitive emissions.  Aggregate is stored in three-sided concrete wind breaks which are 10-12 Emissions from the weigh hopper are controlled by a partial enclosure at There is also a fly ash silo and a cement silo, each with its own baghouse The annual visible emissions (VE) test was conducted by Arlington Env 2009. Both tests were in compliance with the permit limits.	Date of Inspection  May 2010  Approximate Date of Next Inspection  diannual air program compliance inspection on May 19, 2009 nunty. The facility was not in operation at the time, but Dan el and the yard is watered down as needed to aid in  2 feet high and equipped with sprinklers.  Indidust collector.  e.  Pironmental Services for the two baghouses on March 6, ed.
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Inspector's Signature  COMMENTS: Department representatives conducted an unannounced at the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Con Swafford, plant operator, was present to assist during the inspection.  A majority of the yard is paved. Areas left unpaved are covered in grave controlling fugitive emissions.  Aggregate is stored in three-sided concrete wind breaks which are 10-12 Emissions from the weigh hopper are controlled by a partial enclosure at There is also a fly ash silo and a cement silo, each with its own baghouse The annual visible emissions (VE) test was conducted by Arlington Env 2009. Both tests were in compliance with the permit limits. The 2009 VE test for the truck loading dust collector has not yet occurre Please remember to schedule the VE test for the dust collector prior to E	Date of Inspection  May 2010  Approximate Date of Next Inspection  diannual air program compliance inspection on May 19, 2009 punty. The facility was not in operation at the time, but Dan el and the yard is watered down as needed to aid in 2 feet high and equipped with sprinklers.  Indicate the diagram of the two baghouses on March 6, ed.  December 31, 2009 and ensure the Department receives

The permit reflects David Guillaume as the authorized representative for the facility. However, Department representatives were informed that Mr. Guillaume is no longer with the facility and that the current President of Preferred Materials, Inc. is Robert F. Duke and the Environmental Health and Safety Manager is Hank Belcher.

Please note that, in accordance with Rule 62-210.310(2)(d), Florida Administrative Code, regarding general procedures for administrative corrections, within 30 days of any minor changes requiring corrections to information contained in the registration form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Such changes shall include:

- 1. Any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or
- 2. Any other similar minor administrative change at the facility.

Please notify the Department in writing of the change in authorized representative for the permitted facility and identify the current authorized representative.