

## <u>HUMAN CREMATORY</u> COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



IN	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)  COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)					
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
FA	CILITY: Eternal Rest Memories Par	DISTRICT:				
DB	A/Site Name: Suncoast Cremator	Southwest				
ΑI	<b>DDRESS:</b> 2966 Belcher Road N	orth	CONTACT PHON	E:		
	Dunedin, FL		727-733-2300			
AR	RMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	<b>Expiration Date:</b>	10/25/2017		
1030217 001		1030217-005-AG	Renewal Date: Test Date:	9/25/2017 11/16/2000		
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Incinerator, Human: Industrial & Engineering Company, Model IE43-PPII Cremator, 300 Lb. Maximum Batch Load with a 1600 degree F Minimum Secondary Chamber Temperature						
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check \( \precedef \) only one box)				
7	7/24/13	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compl	iance; Significant	Non-Compliance		
		PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review			⊠Yes □ No		
2.	Introduction and Entry			⊠Yes □ No		
	<i>Comments:</i> Facility was closed on 7/23/13. On 7/24/13, I met with Tracey Scalisi who answered my questions, supplied documentation, and contacted operator to answer questions.					
3.	Is the Authorized Representative st	ill: Charles Scalisi?		⊠Yes □ No		
	Comments:					
	The e-mail address is: ts@eternalrest.com					
4.	Is the facility contact still: Charles Scalisi?					
	The e-mail address is: ts@eternalres	st.com				
5.						
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)						
Compliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)(h), F.A.C.]  1. New Facility / New Process Equipment—  Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No						
2.	⊠ Existing Facilities  Was an annual visible emissions compliance test conducted on each crematory unit for each calendar year: ⊠ Yes □ No					
	Test Reports  Does the submitted visible emission test(s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity, sixminute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour period? [62-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C.]					
2.	Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver? [62-296.401(5)(g)] $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No					
3.	Was the department notified at least 15 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C.] 🖂 Yes 🔲 No					
4.	Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? $[62-297.310(8)(b)$ $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No					

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
5.			□ No	
6.	a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.			
	b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the opacity standard?			
7.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?	- Yes	⊠ No	_
	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS			
	(check $\square$ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance			
1.	Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	- Yes	⊠ No	
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:  Downwind odor level detected- 0; Wind direction - NW Upwind odor level detected- 0 (1-10)			
2	Continuous Monitoring System – [62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.]			
۷.	a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the			
	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	- 🛛 Yes	☐ No	
	b) Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence	<b>►</b> 7		
	time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1$ $\square 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	- ⊠ Yes	∐ No	
	recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports and records?			
	1) All temperature measurements	- 🛛 Yes	☐ No	
	2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	$\nabla V_{as}$	$\bigcap$ No	
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on <u>(11/19/09</u> )	- M Ies	$\square$ No No	
	4) Adjustments	- 🛛 Yes	$\square$ No	
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	- Yes		
	6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	- 🔀 Yes	☐ No	
	7) Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings (SC)	- X Yes	$\bigcap$ No	
	8) Are all the above records available for at least 2 years?			
	a) Date range for records reviewed: From:3/3/12 To:7/22/13			
	9) Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$ ? If yes, go to 9) a) – c)	- Yes	$\bowtie$ No	
	a) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	- 🖂 Yes	$\square$ No	
	b) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity			
	exceeds 15% opacity?	- X Yes	☐ No	
	c) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	□ Vas	$\nabla M_{\rm o}$	
	· ·	- <u>  1es</u>	ĭ NO	
~	1 – Application received on or after 8/30/89; 2 – Application received prior to 8/30/89			
3.	Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(5)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one □ box) a) □ BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5) b) ☑ ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5)			
4.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	_		
	a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	- Yes	☐ No	
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	- □ Yes	$\square$ No	
	c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	- 🗀 105		
	is equal to or greater than <b>1400°F</b> ?	- Yes	☐ No	
5.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:			
	a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time  @ 1800° F?	N V		
	(a) 1800° F?	- 🖂 res	No	
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	- 🛛 Yes	$\square$ No	
	c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than $1600^{\circ}F$ before the cremation			

2 of 3 Revised 05/08

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> (check   appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
	process begins in the primary chamber?		
6.	Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet?[62-296.401(5)(d), F.A.C.]		
	<ul> <li>a) If the answer to question 6 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of their use and for at least two years after their use?</li> <li>b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at this location?</li> </ul>		
	PART IV: Equipment Maintenance (check $\square$ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncomplian	ce)	
F			
1.	Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years?  Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	⊠ Yes □ No	
4.	Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	Yes No	
1			
	PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures (check   appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncomplian	ce)	
1.	dministrative Changes:	□ Yes ⊠ No	
	e <mark>rmit Effective Period</mark> – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?		
2.	Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?	Yes No	
Ne	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership - [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C]		
C.	. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d) A change in ownership?		
	Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any limitation of the air general permit?		

3 of 3 Revised 05/08

	VI: Comments			
Permit #1030217-004-AG expired on 9/28/12. The facility subn date (30 days prior to expiration per 62-210.310 (3)(a)) was 8/24	mitted a new re-registration form to FDEP on 9/24/12. The renewal 19/12. Permit # 1030217-005-AG became effective on 10/25/12.			
It appeared that equipment preventative maintenance has not occurred in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications per 62-296.401(5) (e). The manufacturer's manual describes semi-annual, annual, and quarterly maintenance procedures to be performed. No preventative maintenance checks are documented as been completed. Operator Dan Jackson communicated through Mrs. Tracey Scalisi that he is aware of certain checks that are overdue and need to be performed including flame detector and igniter maintenance Mr. Jackson then stated that he will immediately institute scheduling two time periods each year to perform the required maintenance				
describe procedures to clean and adjust the unit's pollution mon Dan Jackson responded through Tracey Scalisi that the pollution procedure. This was verified in the start-up procedure, however,	in-stack opacity). The manufacturer's maintenance specifications nitoring system. When asked about these particular checks, operator n monitoring system is checked automatically as a part of the start-up the cleaning and adjustment procedures described in the unit's [The emission unit is not required to have the pollution monitoring 401(5)(i)]			
The continuous temperature monitoring system was last calibrated 11/19/2009. Operator Jackson communicated that the accuracy of the temperature monitoring system is offset by approximately 40 degrees. Operation in this manner makes it difficult to demonstrate compliance with temperature requirements.				
(down to ~1550 deg) for ~ 3 minutes according to the chart's ter	3:20 PM) where it appears the temperature fell below 1600 degrees <i>F</i> emperature indicators. The operator documentation indicated that the degrees. No notification of instance of non-compliance was received the chart recorder temperature and did not consider the drop in			
	e reviewing copies of chart documentation after the onsite inspection, abustion commencement and ending do not exactly correspond to the lang compliance with temperature requirements.			
	liance issues observed during the inspection. I performed compliance d non-compliance notifications. Mrs. Scalisi documented my concerns			
Brennan Farrington	_ 7/24/13			
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection			
	~7/2014			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			

H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air\_Compliance\AQI\1030217 001 84798.doc

4 of 3 Revised 05/08