

$\frac{\text{NON-METALLIC }\underline{\text{MINERAL}}}{\underline{\text{PLANTS}}} \\ \underline{\text{PLANTS}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	` ' ' _	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	· / -
AIRS ID#: 1030147 002 FACILITY NAME: Sonny G	 	RRIVE: <u>11:45am</u>	DEPART: 1:00pm
FACILITY LOCATION:	3741 126th Avenue North Clearwater, FL		
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL:	Justin Strecker	PHONE:	727-573-1110
CONTACT NAME: Justin S	trecker?	PHONE:	727-573-1110
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITLEME	ENT PERIOD: (effective da	/ 10/15/2012 (te) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COM ☑ IN COMPLIANCE	MPLIANCE STATUS (check E	•	Non-COMPLIANCE
(check ☑ only one box) ☑ FOR FACILTIES SUBJI (If you have checked ☑ the subject Facilities: (applied)		opart OOO, §60.670(a)(1)) ons INCLUDING those wi	th **.) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket and station, crushers & grinding mills at hot
mix asphalt facilities that r facilities up to, but not incl		minerals embedded in recycl n.)	ed asphalt pavement & subsequent affected
	his category, answer <u>all</u> question		
grinding mills; facilities no sand & gravel plants, & cru	ot subject to subparts F (Portland ushed stone plants w/capacities of apacities of 136 megagrams/hr	I Cement Plants) or I (Hot Most 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons	ening operations at plants w/o crushers or lix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; <u>fixed</u> s/hr) or less; <u>portable</u> sand & gravel plants, on clay plants, and pumice plants

PART III: EMISSION STANDARDS – Chapter 62-210.300(4)(c)5., F.A.C.	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,	
Appendix A)?	⊠ No
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on	
belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other	
affected emission point:	
**a) exceed <u>7</u> % percent opacity?	
**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of <u>0.05</u> grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?	⊠ No
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage	
bin exceed 7% percent opacity?	⊠ No
Visible Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,	
Appendix A)?	⊠ No
**2. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%	
percent opacity? \Box Yes	⊠ No
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?	
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding,	_
screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin,	
enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point NOT subject to 40 CFR Part 60,	
Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than 20% percent opacity?	⊠ No
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.80	0, F.A.C.
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging	
operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed	
in a building? (If answer to question #4 is YES, then proceed to #4.a))	⊠ No
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (<i>If</i>	
	⊠ No
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is	
1) the particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?	⊠ No
2) the opacity greater than 7/2% percent?	
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed 7% percent opacity?	∐ No
**5. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%	✓ Ma
percent opacity?	
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:	M No
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening	
operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to	
	⊠ No
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors	∠ 1,0
in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket	
elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin	
in the production line? \BYes	No No

PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)	
1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as	- -
	∐Yes ☐ No
Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)	
2. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of	
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:	7 -
	∐Yes ☐ No
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification	7 -
form submittal date?	∐Yes ∐ No
<u>Compliance</u> <u>Existing</u> <u>Facilities</u> – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)	
3. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of	
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:	7
	∐Yes ☐ No
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification	7
	Yes ☐ No
Test Methods and Procedures – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A adopt	ted and
incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.	7
4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9?	
5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22?	
6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17?	_Yes ⊠ No
D	
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and	
40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]	
Essility and/an Essinment Danlasament	
Facility and/or Equipment Replacement **7. Did the asympton or appreture submit to the Administrator, the following information shout the replacement of an	rictina facility
**7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of ex and/or equipment:	asting facility
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading	Station
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated	<u>Station</u> ,
capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?	Jvas ⊠ No
**b) for a Screening Operation,	les M No
**1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total	
surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?	
**c) for a Conveyor Belt,	∃ies ⊠ No
**1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt?	Jvas ⊠ No
**d) for a Storage Bin,	les M No
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?	Jvas ⊠ No
Performance/Compliance Testing	
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change	
	∃Yes ⊠ No
**9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to	_168 ⊠ 100
the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid	
flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance	
test?	
**a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar	_1c2 M 140
quarters?	Tyes M No
quartors:	7 1 c2 M 140

PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(e))?	ith ⊠Yes □ No
Process Changes	
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (<i>If your</i>	
answer to this question is <u>YES</u> , then answer <u>either</u> a)1) <u>or</u> a)2) below.)	⊠Yes ☐ No
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:	M168 L
**1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (<i>Note: The unsaturated</i>	
material handling processes would now be subject to the 10% opacity limit in 40 CFR 60.672(b)	
and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart OOO.)	□Yes ⊠ No
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? (<i>Note: The saturated</i>	[] 1 00 KA 1.0
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672((h))
(If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)	\square Yes \square No
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the	☐ 1 CS ☑ 110
change?	□Yes ⊠ No
Notification Requirements	☐ 1 C2 区 140
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities	
submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?	□Yes ⊠ No
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial	LIES M 110
	$\square_{\mathbf{V}_{22}} \bowtie \mathbf{N}_{0}$
number of the equipment, if available?**h) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the patification of actual date of initial start up also	□Yes ⊠ No
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also	TT NI
include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	□Yes ⊠ No
PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☐ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility a: 1) relocatable : 2) stationary ⊠; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box above.) (NOTE: If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer to place table and stationary go to questions 1.a) 1	e box for
relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.)	
a) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a	
	□Yes ⊠ No
b) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> , is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from o	
	□Yes ⊠ No
1) Does the owner or operator of this relocatable facility have a water suppression system with spray	
bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the	_
	⊠Yes ☐ No
c) If this is a stationary facility, does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water	
suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s),	
the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?	⊠Yes □ No

PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Cont	inued)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
**2. Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OC)O
adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to	
questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.)	□Yes ⊠ No
**a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:	_
**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	□Yes ⊠ No
**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	□Yes ⊠ No
**b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the	
manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	□Yes ⊠ No
**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?	□Yes ⊠ No
**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	□Yes ⊠ No
3. Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with a stationary concrete batching plant using	an
individual concrete batching plant air general permit at the same location? (If your answer to this question	
is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 3.a), thru 3.d),) below. If <u>NO</u> , proceed to question #4.)	☐Yes ⊠ No
a) Is there more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant in operation at this location?	☐Yes ⊠ No
b) If there is more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant at this location, do they all operate under	Dv. Dv.
a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit?	☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No
c) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?d) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No
4. Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with one or more relocatable concrete	☐ Ies ☐ No
batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this	
question is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 4.a), thru 4.b) below. If <u>NO</u> , then proceed to question 5.)	□Yes ⊠ No
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	☐Yes ⊠ No
b) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	☐Yes ⊠ No
5. Does the owner or operator of this facility operate multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing	
plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits at this location?	X Yes No
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	⊠Yes ☐ No
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
calendar year?	⊠Yes □ No
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	⊠Yes □ No
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	⊠Yes ☐ No
6. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	⊠Yes ☐ No
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	⊠Yes ☐ No
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	⊠Yes ☐ No
7. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (no	t
a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt	
plant?	☐Yes ⊠ No
a) If <u>YES</u> , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for the	
operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?	□Yes ⊠ No
8. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as	□Vos ⊠ No
destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (not a Title V source)?a) If YES , does it operate under the authority of its air general permit?	☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No
a, if <u>1100</u> , does it operate under the authority of its all general permit:	

DADENI. DEAGONADI E DDECAUTIONG/EMICCION CO	NEDOL METCHDEG & RECHNOLOGY D. L. (4
PART VI: REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CO	ONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-
210.300(4)(c)5.d.(i) and (ii), F.A.C.	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)	
1. Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing the control of the control of the control of the nonmetallic mineral processing the control of	essing plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined
emissions by:	
a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars loc	cated at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the
crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor dr	
	yards, which shall include one or more of the following:
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, s	
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust	
emissions?	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pa	
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas t	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of w	
particulate matter from stock piles?	
5) landscaping and/or the planting of vegetation?	
6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equipme	ent to contain, capture and/or vent particulate
matter?	
7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems?	$\overline{\square}$ Yes $\overline{\boxtimes}$ No
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without re c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different notification form?	eplacement? \Box Yes \boxtimes No fferent than that noted on the most
d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the o	owner submit a new and complete
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.09	
local program office?	
1 6	_ _
Shea Jackson	
Silve buolisti	April 19, 2011
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
inspector 5 rame (1 lease 1 lint)	Dute of inspection
	next year
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
mopetion o organice	- approximate 2 are of 1 tone inspection
COMMENTS: See the attached Pinellas County inspection repo	

F	\CI	LIT	Y: Sonny Glasbrenne	r, Inc.		PERMIT ID:	385
			Reclaimed Concrete	and Asphalt Processing Plant		DISTRICT:	Southwest
A	DDI	RES	S: 3741 126th Avenue N	Vorth		CONTACT PHON	TE:
			Clearwater, FL			727-573-11	
A	RM	S No	0:	PERMIT NO:		Expiration Date: Renewal Date:	10/15/2012 9/15/2012
		103	80147 002	1030147-009-AG	F	Test Date:	12/10/2000
			N UNIT DESCRIPTION: Coreening Operations, 5 Conveyo	oncrete and Asphalt Processing System: r Belt, and Truck Loading	Bohring		
			ION DATE:	ARMS INSPECTION TYPE:		PLIANCE STATUS	
			, 2011 f Inspection:	INS2 or INS	aint		SNC
	1 y	pe o	f Inspection:	Re-inspection Comple	amı	☐ Drive-by	Quarterly
			t. Ell. D	A. General Review:			7
2.	-		nit File Review Oduction and Entry				Yes No
		Con crus	nments: I met with the owner as her records.	entered the site, Mr. Pate Clemens. In	net on si	ite with Laura Ferrio	lo, and reviewed the
3.		Con	ne Authorized Representative nments: Mr. Stecker continues t ections	still: <u>Justin Strecker</u> ? o be the authorized representative, but a	loes not	_	☑ Yes ☐ No inspector during
4.			ne facility contact still: Justin S				Yes No
5.	Comments: He does not tour the facility yard. 5. Does the equipment on-site match the notification form [Rule 62-210.300] ☐ Yes ☐ No						
	Comments: There were seven points tested for the annual test.						
_	M	S					
I N	N C	N C		B. Specific Conditions			
\boxtimes			the Department by phone prior 62-210.900(6)) to the Departm F.A.C.] Comments: This facility \(\subseteq \) is	relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing to changing location and submit a Facility to changing location and submit a Facility to changing location and submit a Facility to changing location (1) business day for sor is not a relocatable nonmetallic le unit. It is positioned permanently at the substitute of the subs	ity Relo llowing mineral	cation Notification F relocation [62-210.3]	form (DEP Form No. 800(4)(c)5.b., the facility is not
			material from onsite natural de material, the owner or operator entrance and exit of the crushe	mineral processing plants, except those posits, and for all stationary nonmetallic r shall have a water suppression system or (s), the classifier screens, and the converses does does not operate a water suppressibserved in operation.	mineral with spra eyor drop	I processing plants pray bars located at the points [62-210.300]	feeder(s), the 0(4)(c)5.c., F.A.C.]
			precautions: (i) Unconfined emissions that a plant processing dry material s feeder(s), the entrance and exit (ii) Unconfined emissions that	mply with paragraph 62-296.320(4)(c), might be generated from various activition hall be controlled by using a water support of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, might be generated by vehicular traffic (ray bars) or effective dust suppressant(s)	es throug ression s and the or wind	ghout a nonmetallic r system with spray ba conveyor drop points shall be controlled by	mineral processing rs located at the s. y applying water (by

I	M N	S N	
N	C	C	B. Specific Conditions work-yards where this nonmetallic mineral processing plant is located; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.d., F.A.C.]
			Comments: The facility operates water suppression systems for the rock crushers. There was a water truck on-site in operation. The grounds were being wetted at the time of inspection. The roadway out of the facility up to 34 th street had also been watered. I observed the spray bars on the crushers for control of unconfined emissions during the crushers operation. (See photos)
			Stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not contain particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm) nor exceed 7% opacity, unless the stack emissions are discharged from a wet scrubbing control device. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(i), F.A.C.] **Comments: An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit; Yes, or No, or NA The results of the various points were: There is no stack connected to the operations of this emission unit.
\boxtimes			Stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage bin subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not exceed 7% opacity. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(ii), F.A.C.] **Comments: The facility \infty does \infty does not operate an enclosed storage bin with a baghouse.
			Visible emissions from any grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not exceed 10% opacity; and visible emissions from any crusher without a capture system subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, shall not exceed 15% opacity. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(iii), F.A.C.] **Comments: An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit; Yes, or No, or NA The results of the various points were: There were 3 drop points observed off the conveyors. The crusher was not in operation. The result of the Method 9 visible emissions test was O% Opacity for the seven points observed. (See VE test in file)
			Truck dumping of nonmetallic minerals into any screening operation, feed hopper, or crusher subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., is exempt from the emissions standards of sub-subparagraph 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(vi), F.A.C.] Comments: The screening unit was not in operation at the time of the inspection. (See photo)
			The owner or operator shall ensure that wet screening operations and subsequent screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to the next crusher, grinding mill or storage bin and are subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., do not discharge any visible emissions. The owner or operator shall also ensure that screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin in the production line and are subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., do not discharge any visible emissions; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.f., F.A.C.] **Comments: The facility was not operating this unit.**
			The owner or operator of a nonmetallic mineral processing plant subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., and using a wet scrubber to control emissions shall comply with the monitoring requirements of 40 CFR 60.674, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.g., F.A.C.] §60.674 Monitoring of operations. The owner or operator of any affected facility subject to the provisions of this subpart which uses a wet scrubber to control emissions shall install, calibrate, maintain and operate the following monitoring devices: (a) A device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber. The

_	M	S	
I N	N C	N C	B. Specific Conditions
			monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within ±250 pascals ±1 inch water gauge pressure and must be calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. (b) A device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber. The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate and must be calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. *Comments: The facility \subseteq does not operate a wet scrubber.*
			The owner or operator of any existing facility shall demonstrate compliance with the emission standards of subsubparagraph 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C., within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form and shall demonstrate renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification form submittal date. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.] **Comments: The test for renewal should be completed within 60 days prior to 7/13/2012. This is not applicable at this time.
			The owner or operator shall meet all applicable reporting and recordkeeping requirements of Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and 40 CFR 60.676, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.i., F.A.C.] \$60.676 Reporting and recordkeeping. (a) Each owner or operator seeking to comply with \$60.670(d) shall submit to the Administrator the following information about the existing facility being replaced and the replacement piece of equipment. (1) For a crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, bagging operation, or enclosed truck or railcar loading station: (i) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and (ii) The rated capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment. (2) For a screening operation: (i) The total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and (ii) The twidth of the existing belt being replaced and (ii) The width of the existing belt being replaced and (ii) The width of the replacement conveyor belt. (4) For a storage bin: (i) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and (ii) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins. (c) During the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, and daily thereafter, the owner or operator shall record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate. (d) After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, the owner or operator shall submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber propers equired under paragraph (d) shall be postmarked within 30 days following end of the second and fourth calendar quarters. (e) The reports required under paragraph (d) shall be postmarked within 30 days following end of the second and fourth calendar quarters. (f) The owner or operator of any affected facility shall submit written reports of this change within 30

I N	M N C	S N C	B. Specific Conditions
			single notification of startup may be submitted by the owner or operator to the Administrator. The notification shall be postmarked within 15 days after such date and shall include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial number of the equipment, if available. (2) For portable aggregate processing plants, the notification of the actual date of initial startup shall include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant. (j) The requirements of this section remain in force until and unless the Agency, in delegating enforcement authority to a State under section 111(c) of the Act, approves reporting requirements or an alternative means of compliance surveillance adopted by such States. In that event, affected facilities within the State will be relieved of the obligation to comply with the reporting requirements of this section, provided that they comply with requirements established by the State. *Comment: The most recent performance testing Method 9 performed on 12/10/2010, showed the unit process rate to be 150 Tons in 30 minutes, with 0% opacity. There were no additional equipment changes to the crushers at this time, no additional emission points added.
			The owner or operator of a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant using an air general permit may operate a stationary concrete batching plant using an air general permit at the same location provided all nonmetallic mineral processing plant units operate under a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit, all concrete batching plant units operate under a single concrete batching plant air general permit, and the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units and would not be a Title V source; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.j., F.A.C.] **Comments: The crushing operation is located **\sum independently or *\sum with a stationary concrete batch plant. The operations *\sum are \sum are not considered a Title V source. (See below)
			The owner or operator of a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant using an air general permit may operate, or allow the operation of, one or more relocatable concrete batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location as the nonmetallic mineral processing plant provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units and would not be a Title V source; $[62-210.300(4)(c)5.k., F.A.C.]$ Comments: The crushing operation is located \boxtimes independently or \square with relocatable concrete batch plant(s). The operations \square are \boxtimes are not considered a Title V source. (See below)
			The owner or operator of multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits may operate more than one such plant at the same location provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units, the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the material processed is less than 10 million tons per calendar year, and the fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%, by weight. The owner or operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plants shall maintain a log book to account for fuel consumption and material processed on a monthly basis. Fuel supplier certifications shall be maintained to account for the sulfur content of the fuel being burned; and [62-210.300(4)(c)5.1., F.A.C.] **Comments:** The facility **\subseteq* does** not operate multiple nonmetallic mineral processing plants using an individual air general permit. Records are required, *\subseteq* yes *\subseteq* no. The records for all crusher units was reviewed from April 1, 2010 Through April 19, 2011. See Photos attached. The records showed the Bohehringer Model RC crusher has a fuel usage average of *\subseteq* 12 gallons / hour for the diesel generator, with a monthly average *\subseteq* 30 gallons per month. The 12 month fuel usage total was 4005.5 gallons. I requested a copy of the fuel analysis; the facility personnel Melissa French email a copy to our office. The fuel analysis showed the sulfur content to be \$\subseteq\$ 0.00 % The amount of crushed material was 1500 - 2000 tons per month, and does not exceed the above limitations. (See record copy). The crusher operates on average at \$100 - 200 tons per month and does not exceed the above limitations. (See record copy). The crusher operates on average at \$100 - 200 tons per hour, according to most recent test performed on 12/10/10. WW
\boxtimes			If a relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant is used to perform a routine function of a facility subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant, it shall not operate under the authority of an air general permit. In such case, the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) must provide for operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit. If a relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant is used at a regularly permitted facility for a non-routine activity, such as destruction of a building, it

	NI	C	
•	M	S	
I	N	N	D. Casaida Caralitions
N	C	C	B. Specific Conditions
			may do so under the authority of its air general permit. In either case, the resultant facility shall not be a Title V source.
			[62-210.300(4)(c)5.m., F.A.C.]
			C . The second of the second o
			Comments: The crushing operation \boxtimes is \square is not operating at a regularly permitted facility, for the purposes of \boxtimes a
			routine function non-routine activity (This unit crushes concrete into aggregate referred to as 5/8, pipe bedding
			and #57 rock for reuse of material). It was not in operation at the time of inspection, and records indicated had been
			shut down for most of April 2011. The hours for the month were 26.5 with 1890 tons. Operations are during the hours of 7:30am – 3:00pm.
			6] 7.30am — 3.00pm.
_	M	S	
I	N	N	C. Calcated Consuel Conditions and Duscadunes
N	C	C	C. Selected General Conditions and Procedures
\boxtimes	Ш	L	Administrative Corrections. Within 30 days of any changes requiring corrections to information contained in the
			notification form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Such changes shall include:
			a. Any change in the name of the authorized representative or facility address or phone number; or
			b. Any other similar minor administrative change at the facility or emissions unit.
			[62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]
			Comments: The facility authorized representative has not changed during year, and no other changes which require
			administrative correction were found.
\boxtimes			Equipment Changes. In case of the installation of new process equipment, alteration of existing process equipment
			without replacement, or the replacement of existing process equipment with equipment substantially different than that
			noted on the most recent notification form, the owner or operator shall submit a new and complete general permit
			notification form with the appropriate fee pursuant to Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C., to the appropriate Department of
			Environmental Protection district office or local air pollution control program office to which the Department has
			delegated its permitting authority. [62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]
			[02-210.300(4)(u)3., F.A.C.]
			Comments: The water suppression is the only control used for this emission unit, and has not been circumvented.
			comments. The water suppression is the only control used for this emission unit, and has not been excumivemed.
	П	Т	If, for any reason, the owner or operator of any facility operating under an air general permit pursuant to Rule 62-
	Ч		210.300(4)(a), F.A.C., does not comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the permit,
			the permittee shall immediately provide the Department with the following information:
			1. A description of and cause of noncompliance; and
			2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the
			noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the
			noncompliance. The permittee shall be responsible for any and all damages which may result.
			[62-210.300(4)(e)13., F.A.C.]
			Comments: There were no periods of noncompliance issues since the last inspection and none found during the
			inspection.
<u> </u>			
\boxtimes	Ш	L	Valid Permit
			Throughout the term of the general permit:
			a. The facility operates no emissions units other than a unit described in an air general permit and emissions units
			which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of Rule 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C.; b. The facility is not a Title V source as defined in Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.
			b. The facility is not a Title V source as defined in Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C. [62-210.300(4)(c), F.A.C.
			[02-210.300(1)(c), 1.A.C.
			Comments: The permit expires on 10/15/12. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than 9/15/12.
			,,

	M	S	
I	N	N	
N	C	C	C. Selected General Conditions and Procedures
			A permittee's use of a general permit is limited to five years. No later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the filing of intent to use the general permit, the owner or operator shall submit a new notice of intent which shall contain all current information regarding the facility or emissions unit. Eligibility to use the general permit is not transferable and does not follow a change in ownership of the facility or emissions unit. Prior to any sale, other change of ownership, or permanent shutdown of the facility, the owner or operator is encouraged to notify the Department of the pending action. The owner shall remain liable for corrective actions that may be required as a result of any violations occurring in the time after the sale or legal transfer of the facility or emissions unit, but before a new owner is entitled to use an air general permit. [General Conditions - 62-210.300(4)(e)1., F.A.C.] Comments: The permit expires on 10/15/2012. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than 9/15/2012.
			No person shall circumvent any air pollution control device or allow the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices. [62-210.300(4)(e)12., F.A.C.] Comments: The facilities only control in the use of water for the water suppression system, and it was in operation, and would not be circumvented.
			D. Other:
Clo	sing	Co	nference : Yes \square No
Otl	ner C	om	ments:
I in	forn	ıed .	Ms. Ferriolo and Mr. Clemmens owner, the facility appears to be in compliance .
Ins	pect	or(s): Shea Jackson, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division
_	nati	_	S) Date: Apil 21,2011

 $H: \label{localization} H: \label{localization} USERS \label{localization} WPDOCS \label{localization} A ir \label{localization} A ir \label{localization} Localization \label{localization} A ir \lab$

3741 126th Avenue North, Clearwater



Project Id: <u>75736</u> **Permit No:** 1030147-009-AG **Arms Number:** <u>0147 002</u>

Inspector: Shea Jackson **Inspection Date / Time:** 4/19/2011 / _____

Source (EU): Concrete and Asphalt Processing System: Bohringer Model RC14 Jow Crusher,

Grizzly Feeder, 2 Screening Operations, 5 Conveyor Belt, and Truck Loading

Description: [The facility entrance and yard were being wetted to prevent unconfined emissions]

3741 126th Avenue North, Clearwater



Project Id: 75736 **Permit No:** 1030147-009-AG **Arms Number:** 0147 002

Inspector: Shea Jackson **Inspection Date / Time:** 4/19/2011 /

Source (EU): Concrete and Asphalt Processing System: Bohringer Model RC14 Jow Crusher,

Grizzly Feeder, 2 Screening Operations, 5 Conveyor Belt, and Truck Loading

Description: [This generator and crusher unit were not in operation on the day of inspection]

3741 126th Avenue North, Clearwater



Project Id: <u>75736</u> **Permit No:** 1030147-009-AG **Arms Number:** <u>0147 002</u>


Inspector: Shea Jackson **Inspection Date / Time:** 4/19/2011 / _____

Source (EU): Concrete and Asphalt Processing System: Bohringer Model RC14 Jow Crusher,

Grizzly Feeder, 2 Screening Operations, 5 Conveyor Belt, and Truck Loading

Description: [The yard was being wetted, there was only one crusher in operation.]

3741 126th Avenue North, Clearwater



Project Id: <u>75736</u> **Permit No:** 1030147-009-AG **Arms Number:** <u>0147 002</u>

Inspector: Shea Jackson **Inspection Date / Time:** 4/19/2011 / _____

Source (EU): Concrete and Asphalt Processing System: Bohringer Model RC14 Jow Crusher,

Grizzly Feeder, 2 Screening Operations, 5 Conveyor Belt, and Truck Loading

Description: [The facility records for the crusher shows the unit has been shut down fo most of this month.]