

NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>INS</u>	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AII	RS ID#: 1030147 004	DATE: <u>10/27/2008</u>	ARRIVE: <u>2:00PM</u>	DEPART: <u>3;00PM</u>
FA	CILITY NAME: Sor	nny Glasbrenner, Inc.		
FA	CILITY LOCATION	N: 3741 126th Avenue No	rth	
		Clearwater, FL		
RE	SPONSIBLE OFFIC	IAL: <u>John Varrati</u>	PHONE:	: 727-573-1110
со	NTACT NAME: Jo	hn Varrati?	PHONE:	: 727-573-1110
RE	MITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITI	LEMENT PERIOD: (effective date)	/ 10/15/12 (end date)
	IN COMPLIANC	COMPLIANCE STATUS (c	•	Γ Non-COMPLIANCE
PA	RT II: <u>DETERMIN</u> (check ☑ only <u>one</u> be	ATION OF FACILITY TYPE ox)	Z/APPLICABILITY	
\boxtimes			0, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1)) questions <u>INCLUDING</u> those with	ith **.)
	elevator, belt convey mix asphalt facilities	or, bagging operation, storage l	bin, enclosed truck or railcar load talic minerals embedded in recyc	grinding mill, screening operation, bucket ling station, crushers & grinding mills at hot led asphalt pavement & subsequent affected
	FOR FACILITIES	NOT SUBJECT TO: (40 CFF d ☑ this category, answer <u>all</u> (Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(questions <u>EXCEPT</u> those with *	(a)(2), (b), (c), and (d)) *.)
	grinding mills; facilit sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plan	ies not subject to subparts F (Po & crushed stone plants w/capa	ortland Cement Plants) or I (Hot M cities of 23 megagrams/hr (25 ton ms/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; comm	eening operations at plants w/o crushers or Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; <u>fixed</u> as/hr) or less; <u>portable</u> sand & gravel plants, non clay plants, and pumice plants

H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030147 004 66979.doc

PART III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.300(4)(c)5., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C. **1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)?	
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point:	
**a) exceed $\underline{7}$ % percent opacity?	
**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)? [Yes X No	
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage bin exceed <u>7</u> % percent opacity? [Yes No	
Visible Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)? [Yes X No	
**2. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity?	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed $\underline{15}$ % opacity?	
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding,	
screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin,	
enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point NOT subject to 40 CFR Part 60,	
Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than 20% percent opacity?	
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging	
operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed in a building? (<i>If answer to question #4 is <u>YES</u>, then proceed to #4.a</i>))	
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (<i>If</i>	
answer to this question is <u>NO</u> , then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If <u>YES</u> skip to #4.c).) [Yes] No	
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is:	
1) the particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter ($g/dscm$)? \Box Yes \Box No	
2) the opacity greater than $\underline{7}\%$ percent?	
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed $\underline{7}$ % percent opacity? \Box Yes \Box No	
**5. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed $\nabla X = \nabla A$	
<u>10</u> % percent opacity? \Box Yes \boxtimes No **b) crusher without a capture system, exceed <u>15</u> % opacity?	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed <u>15</u> % opacity?	
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening	
operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to	
the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin?	
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors	
in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket	
elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin	
in the production line? 🗌 Yes 🕅 No	

PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
 <u>Compliance Demonstration</u> – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.) 1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.) <u>Compliance New Facilities</u> – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.) 2. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of 	⊠Yes 🗌 No

Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:
a) initial compliance prior to beginning commercial operation?
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification
form submittal date? \square Yes \square No
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)
3. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:
a) compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form? XYes No
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification
form submittal date? Xes D No
Test Methods and Procedures – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A adopted and
incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.
4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22? [Yes] No
6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17?
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and
40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]
<u>Facility and/or Equipment Replacement</u>
**7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facility
and/or equipment:
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station,
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated
capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?
**b) for a Screening Operation,
**1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total
surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation? [Yes No
**c) <u>for a Conveyor Belt</u> ,
**1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt? \Box Yes \boxtimes No
**d) <u>for a Storage Bin</u> ,
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated
capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins? [Yes] No
Performance/Compliance Testing
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change
in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate? \Box Yes $oxtimes$ No
**9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to
the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid
flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance
test? 🗌 Yes 🖾 No
**a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar
quarters? 🗌 Yes 🕅 No

PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es)

**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance w 40 CFR Part 60.672(e))?	ith ⊠Yes ∏ No
Process Changes	
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (If your	
answer to this question is <u>YES</u> , then answer <u>either</u> a)1) or a)2) below.)	Xes No
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:	
**1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (<i>Note: The unsaturated</i>	
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>10% opacity limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(b)	
and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart OOO.)	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? (Note: The saturated	
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672	(h).)
(If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)	Yes No
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the	
change?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
Notification Requirements	
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities	
submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial	
number of the equipment, if available?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also	
include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No

PART V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

1.	Is this facility a: 1) relocatable ; 2) stationary ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Zonly one box above.</i>) (<u>NOTE</u> : If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the box for
	stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box $\#3$, both, stationary and relocatable then answer all
	relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.)
	a) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a
	Facility Relocation Notification form submitted within 1 business day following the relocation? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No
	b) If this is a relocatable facility , is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from onsite
	deposits? (If your answer to this question is <u>NO</u> , please proceed to question 1) below.) [Yes No
	1) Does the owner or operator of this relocatable facility have a water suppression system with spray
	bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the
	conveyor drop points? Xes D No
	c) If this is a stationary facility, does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water
	suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s),
	the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No

PART V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (*Continued*) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

**2. Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart O adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed</i>)	
questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.)	\square V ₂₂ \square N ₂
**a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:	
	🗌 Yes 🖂 No
**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	
**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
**b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the	
manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	\square Yes \square No
**1) ± 250 pascals ± 1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?	\square Yes \square No
**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
3. Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with a stationary concrete batching plant using	g an
individual concrete batching plant air general permit at the same location? (If your answer to this question	
is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 3.a), thru 3.d),) below. If <u>NO</u> , proceed to question #4.)	Yes No
a) Is there more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant in operation at this location?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
b) If there is more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant at this location, do they all operate under	
a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit?	□Yes 🛛 No
c) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
d) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
4. Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with one or more relocatable concrete	
batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this	
question is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 4.a), thru 4.b) below. If <u>NO</u> , then proceed to question 5.)	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
b) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
5. Does the owner or operator of this facility operate multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing	
plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits at this location?	🗌 Yes 🔯 No
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
calendar year?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
6. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
7. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a routine function of a facility (n	ot
a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt	
plant?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
a) If <u>YES</u> , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for the	
operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
8. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as	
destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (not a Title V source)?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
a) If <u>YES</u> , does it operate under the authority of its air general permit?	Yes 🗍 No

PART VI: <u>REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.d.(i) and (ii), F.A.C.

10.300(4)((C)5. u .(I)	anu (II),	г.А.
(abaal I	annronri	into hov((20)

(check \blacksquare appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

1.	Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined
	emissions by:

use of a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the		
crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points?	🛛 Yes 🗌	No
management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the fo	llowing:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes 🗌	No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to contro	1	
emissions?	Yes 🗌	No
removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	1	
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	Yes 🗌	No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles?	🗌 Yes 🔀	No
5) landscaping and/or the planting of vegetation?	\Box Yes \boxtimes	No
6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equipment to contain, capture and/or vent particulate		
matter?	Yes 🖂	No
	 crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points?	 removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Yes 5) landscaping and/or the planting of vegetation? Yes 6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equipment to contain, capture and/or vent particulate

	matter?	🗌 Yes 🖂
7)	the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems?	🗌 Yes 🖂

PART VII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment

1.		the last inspection has there been		
	a)	installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	⊠No
	b)	alteration of existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	⊠No
	c)	replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
		recent notification form?	Yes	⊠No
	d)	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
		notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
		local program office?	Yes	⊠No

Shea Jackson

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

October 27, 2008

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

2009

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

No

COMMENTS: See the attached Pinellas County inspection report form for additional information

FACI	LIT	Y: Sonny Glasbrenne	r, Inc.	F	PERMIT ID:	2682
Reclaimed Concrete and Asphalt Processing Plant					DISTRICT:	Southwest
ADDR	RES	S: 3741 126th Avenue N	North	(CONTACT PHO	NE:
Clearwater, FL					727-573-11	110
ARMS	S NO):	PERMIT NO:		Expiration Date	
1	103	0147 004	1030147-009-AG		Renewal Date:	9/15/12
					Test Date:	11/29/00
		N UNIT DESCRIPTION: As orizontal shaft impactor (crushe	sphalt and concrete crusher: 350 ton/hr E r)	Eagle 1400)-45 Rock Crusher	r, hopper/feeder, cross
INSPE	ECT	ION DATE:	ARMS INSPECTION TYPE:	СОМР	LIANCE STATUS	5:
Octo	ober	27, 2008	⊠ INS2 orINS	🖂 IN	MNC	SNC
Тур	pe of	Inspection: 🛛 Initial	□ Re-inspection □ Compla	aint	Drive-by	Quarterly
			A. General Review:			
		nit File Review				Yes No
2.	Intro	oduction and Entry				🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	I m	et on site with Johh Varrati				
3.	J s tł :	e Authorized Representative	still: <u>John Varrati</u> ?			🛛 Yes 🗌 No
4	<i>I</i> s tł	he facility contact still: John V	arrati?			🛛 Yes 🗌 No
5.	Doe	s the equipment on-site match	the notification form [Rule 62-210.300]]		🛛 Yes 🗌 No
I N	S N					
N C	C		B. Specific Conditions			
	*				Form (DEP Form No.	
		<i>Comments: This facility</i> \Box <i>i</i>	s or 🔀 is not a relocatable nonmetallic i	mineral p	rocessing plant.	
	For all relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants, except those located at mines or quarries and processing only material from onsite natural deposits, and for all stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plants processing dry material, the owner or operator shall have a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points [62-210.300(4)(c)5.c., F.A.C.] <i>Comments:</i> The facility \boxtimes does \square does not operate a water suppression system, and the system \boxtimes is \square is not functional.					
		precautions: (i) Unconfined emissions that plant processing dry material s feeder(s), the entrance and exi (ii) Unconfined emissions that water trucks equipped with spi	might be generated from various activities shall be controlled by using a water support of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, might be generated by vehicular traffic of ray bars) or effective dust suppressant(s) allic mineral processing plant is located;	es through ression sy and the co or wind sh on a regu	nout a nonmetallic stem with spray ba proveyor drop poin nall be controlled b	mineral processing ars located at the ts. by applying water (by

Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants, Subpart OOO– General Permits

I N	M N C	S N C	B. Specific Conditions			
			Comments : The facility operates a water suppression system and has a water truck on-site. The grounds were being wetted at the time of inspection. Mr. Varrati stated they have added additional spray bars to the crushers to improve control of unconfined emissions. (See photos)			
			Stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not contain particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm) nor exceed 7% opacity, unless the stack emissions are discharged from a wet scrubbing control device. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(i), F.A.C.]			
			<i>Comments:</i> An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit; Yes \Box , or No \Box , or NA \boxtimes .			
			Stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage bin subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not exceed 7% opacity. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(ii), F.A.C.]			
			<i>Comments</i> : The facility \Box does \boxtimes does not operate an enclosed storage bin with a baghouse.			
			Visible emissions from any grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not exceed <u>10% opacity</u> : and visible emissions from any crusher without a capture system subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, shall not exceed 15% opacity. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(iii), F.A.C.] <i>Comments:</i> An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit; Yes □, or No □, or NA □			
			The facility was wetting materials and water suppression bars on the emission points of the crusher, no visible emissions were observed.			
			Truck dumping of nonmetallic minerals into any screening operation, feed hopper, or crusher subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., is exempt from the emissions standards of sub-subparagraph 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(vi), F.A.C.]			
			<i>Comment:</i> The facility has a screening operation, but was observed not to be in operation at the time of the inspection (See Photo)			
			The owner or operator shall ensure that wet screening operations and subsequent screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to the next crusher, grinding mill or storage bin and are subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., do not discharge any visible emissions. The owner or operator shall also ensure that screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin in the production line and are subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., do not discharge any visible emissions; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.f., F.A.C.]			
			<i>Comment:</i> The screener operation was not in operation at the time of inspection.			
			The owner or operator of a nonmetallic mineral processing plant subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., and using a wet scrubber to control emissions shall comply with the monitoring requirements of 40 CFR 60.674, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.g., F.A.C.] §60.674 Monitoring of operations. The owner or operator of any affected facility subject to the provisions of this subpart which uses a wet scrubber to control emissions shall install, calibrate, maintain and operate the following monitoring devices: (a) A device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber. The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within ±250 pascals ±1 inch water gauge			
			pressure and must be calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.			

Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants, Subpart OOO– General Permits

Ŧ	M	S				
I N	N C	N C	B. Specific Conditions			
	-		(b) A device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber. The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate and must be calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.			
			<i>Comments:</i> The facility \Box does \boxtimes does not operate a wet scrubber.			
			The owner or operator of any existing facility shall demonstrate compliance with the emission standards of sub- subparagraph 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C., within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form and shall demonstrate renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification form submittal date. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.] Comments: The test for renewal should be completed within 60 days prior to 7/5/12.			
			The owner or operator shall meet all applicable reporting and recordkeeping requirements of Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and 40 CFR 60.676, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.i., F.A.C.]			
			 \$60.676 Reporting and recordkeeping. (a) Each owner or operator seeking to comply with \$60.670(d) shall submit to the Administrator the following information about the existing failing being replaced and the replacement piece of equipment. (b) For a crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, bagging operation, or enclosed truck or railcar loading station: (c) For a crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, bagging operation, or enclosed truck or railcar loading station: (c) The trade capacity in tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and (d) The total surface area of the top screen of the existing gacity being replaced and (e) The width of the existing belt being replaced and (f) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bins. (f) For a storage bin: (f) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bins. (f) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bins. (f) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bins. (f) During the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, and daily thereafter, the owner or operator shall record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and daily thereafter, the owner or operator and an ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the mount cetts of a wet scrubber and daily threater, the owner or operator and and the change and ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the mount cetts of a wet scrubber. Adv similar within storage of observations using Method 22 to demonstrate compliance with § 60.672(b), (c), and (f), and reports of observations using Method 22 to demonstrate compliance with § 60.672(b), (c), and (f), and reports of observations using Method 22 to demonstrate compliance with § 60.672(b), (c), and (f), and reports of observation stating and is subject to \$60.672(b) and subsequently processes satura			
			300 Tons per hour, with 0% opacity. There were no equipment changes to the crushers at this time, no additional emission points added.			
\square			The owner or operator of a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant using an air general permit may operate a			

Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants, Subpart OOO– General Permits

Ι	M N	S N	
Ν	С	С	B. Specific Conditions
			stationary concrete batching plant using an air general permit at the same location provided all nonmetallic mineral processing plant units operate under a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit, all concrete batching plant units operate under a single concrete batching plant air general permit, and the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units and would not be a Title V source; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.j., F.A.C.] Comments: The crushing operation is located \boxtimes independently or \square with a stationary concrete batch plant. The operations \square are \boxtimes are not considered a Title V source. (See below)
			The owner or operator of a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant using an air general permit may operate, or allow the operation of, one or more relocatable concrete batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location as the nonmetallic mineral processing plant provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units and would not be a Title V source; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.k., F.A.C.] Comments: The crushing operation is located \boxtimes independently or \square with re-locatable concrete batch plant(s). The operations \square are \boxtimes are not considered a Title V source. (See below)
			The owner or operator of multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits may operate more than one such plant at the same location provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units, the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the material processed is less than 10 million tons per calendar year, and the fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%, by weight. The owner or operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plants shall maintain a log book to account for fuel consumption and material processed on a monthly basis. Fuel supplier certifications shall be maintained to account for the sulfur content of the fuel being burned; and [62-210.300(4)(c)5.1., F.A.C.] Comments: The facility \Box does \Box does not operate multiple nonmetallic mineral processing plants using an individual air general permit. Records are required, \Box yes \Box no. The records for all crusher units was reviewed from November 1, 2007 Through October 27, 2008. See copies attached. The records showed the Eagle crush fuel usage averages 11 gallons / hour for the diesel generator, with a monthly average range from 120 – 250 gallons per month. I requested Mr. Varrati to give me a copy of the fuel analysis, he stated he would have it faxed to me. I left him my card. The amounts of crusher operates on average at 200 tons per month, and does not exceed the above limitations. (See record copy). The crusher operates on average at 200 tons per hour during normal operations. The unit is allowed to operate at 300 tons per hour, according to most recent test performed on 11/29/2007. The fuel analysis showed the sulfur content to be $_{0.02\%}$
			If a relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant is used to perform a routine function of a facility subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant, it shall not operate under the authority of an air general permit. In such case, the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) must provide for operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit. If a relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant is used at a regularly permitted facility for a non-routine activity, such as destruction of a building, it may do so under the authority of its air general permit. In either case, the resultant facility shall not be a Title V source. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.m., F.A.C.]

I	M N	S N				
N	C	C	C. Selected General Conditions and Procedures			
			Administrative Corrections. Within 30 days of any changes requiring corrections to information contained in the notification form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Such changes shall include: a. Any change in the name of the authorized representative or facility address or phone number; or b. Any other similar minor administrative change at the facility or emissions unit. [62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]			
			<i>Comments:</i> There have not been any changes that would require an administrative correction.			
			Equipment Changes. In case of the installation of new process equipment, alteration of existing process equipment without replacement, or the replacement of existing process equipment with equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form, the owner or operator shall submit a new and complete general permit notification form with the appropriate fee pursuant to Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C., to the appropriate Department of Environmental Protection district office or local air pollution control program office to which the Department has delegated its permitting authority. [62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]			
			<i>Comments</i> : There have not been any equipment changes. The crushers have not added additional conveyors or drop points.			
			 If, for any reason, the owner or operator of any facility operating under an air general permit pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4)(a), F.A.C., does not comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the permit, the permittee shall immediately provide the Department with the following information: A description of and cause of noncompliance; and The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance. The permittee shall be responsible for any and all damages which may result.[62-210.300(4)(e)13., F.A.C.] 			
			<i>Comments</i> : There were no periods of noncompliance issues since the last inspection.			
			 Valid Permit Throughout the term of the general permit: a. The facility operates no emissions units other than a unit described in an air general permit and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of Rule 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C.; b. The facility is not a Title V source as defined in Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C. [62-210.300(4)(c), F.A.C. <i>Comment: The permit is valid at this time.</i> 			
			A permittee's use of a general permit is limited to five years. No later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the filing of intent to use the general permit, the owner or operator shall submit a new notice of intent which shall contain all current information regarding the facility or emissions unit. Eligibility to use the general permit is not transferable and does not follow a change in ownership of the facility or emissions unit. Prior to any sale, other change of ownership, or permanent shutdown of the facility, the owner or operator is encouraged to notify the Department of the pending action. The owner shall remain liable for corrective actions that may be required as a result of any violations occurring in the time after the sale or legal transfer of the facility or emissions unit, but before a new owner is entitled to use an air general permit. [General Conditions - 62-210.300(4)(e)1., F.A.C.]			
\boxtimes			No person shall circumvent any air pollution control device or allow the emission of air pollutants without the proper			
			operation of all applicable air pollution control devices. [62-210.300(4)(e)12., F.A.C.]			
			<i>Comments</i> : There water suppression in the only control device used in regards to this emission unit, and has not been circumvented.			
	D. Other:					
Clo	Closing Conference: I informed John Varratti, the facility appears to be in compliance at this time. : Yes No					

Other Comments: I asked Mr. Varratti to send a fuel analysis for the generator. I informed him about the possible use of bio fuels for the pay loaders, and the P2 program and gave him the P2 pamphlet and Tammy Allen's name.

Inspector(s): Shea Jackson, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division

Signature(s)

Date: October 29, 2008

CONTACT LOG? ____YES____, ACCESS? ___YES____, ARMs? __YES

 $H:\label{eq:last_eq_$

Sonny Glasbrenner, Inc. Reclaimed Concrete and Asphalt Processing Plant

3741 126th Avenue North, Clearwater



Project Id:	<u>66979</u>	Permit No: 1030147-009-AG	Arms Number: <u>0147 004</u>
--------------------	--------------	---------------------------	------------------------------

Inspector: Shea Jackson Inspection Date: 10/27/08

Source (EU): Asphalt and concrete crusher: 350 ton/hr Eagle 1400-45 Rock Crusher, hopper/feeder, cross conveyor, horizontal shaft impactor (crusher)

Description: - This is the eagle crusher in operation at the time of the inspection. No visible emission, water suppression in operation. The facility yard was being wetted to control unconfined particulate matter.

Sonny Glasbrenner, Inc. Reclaimed Concrete and Asphalt Processing Plant

3741 126th Avenue North, Clearwater



Project Id:	<u>66979</u>	Permit No: 1030147-009-AG	Arms Number: <u>0147 004</u>
--------------------	--------------	---------------------------	-------------------------------------

Inspector: Shea Jackson Inspection Date: 10/27/08

Source (EU): Asphalt and concrete crusher: 350 ton/hr Eagle 1400-45 Rock Crusher, hopper/feeder, cross conveyor, horizontal shaft impactor (crusher)

Description: - This is the eagle crusher 's diesel generator in operation at the time of the inspection. The opacity observed was ~ 5 % and within allowable range below 20% opacity.