

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS	1, INS2) \square COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)
RE-INSPECTIO	N (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
FACILITY: Pet Angel World Services,	LLC	DISTRICT:
DBA/Site Name: Pet Angel World Me	emorial Center- Pinellas Park	Southwest
ADDRESS: 6225 72nd Avenue N	orth	CONTACT PHONE:
Pinellas Park, FL		727-548-1456
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 2/16/18
1030136 001	1030136-012-AG	Renewal Date: 1/17/18
		Test Date: 12/6/00
	awford Equipment & Engineering Compar as with a Maximum Heat Input of 1 MMBt emperature of 1,400 degrees F.	
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check one box)
9-16-13	🛛 In Compliance; 🔲 Minor Non-Comp	liance; 🗌 Significant Non-Compliance
	PART I: General Review:	
1. Permit File Review		Yes No
2. Introduction and Entry		Yes No
	erformed in order to determine if this fac on Martinache the Director was present	
3. <i>Is</i> the Authorized Representative sti		Yes 🗌 No
Comments: Ms. Sharon Martinache is	*	
	urtinache@petangelworldservices.com	Yes No
4. <i>Is the facility contact still <u>Sharon M</u></i> <i>Comments: Ms. Sharon Martinache is</i>		Yes No
	artinache@petangelworldservices.com	
	he facility provide an administrative update	within 30 days? Xes No
	STING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.40 x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would i	
Compliance Demonstration [62-296.401(6) 1. New Facility / New Process Equ Did this facility demonstrate initial com	(h), F.A.C.] i pment – pliance no later than 30 days after beginning o	peration? 🗌 Yes 🔲 No
2. Existing Facilities Was an annual visible emissions compli	ance test conducted on each crematory unit for	each calendar year? 🛛 Yes 🔲 No
minute average, except that visible emis six minutes in any one-hour period? [6.	(s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent sions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allow 2-296.401(6)(b)1., F.A.C.]	$\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ved} \operatorname{for up to} \qquad \qquad$
2. <i>Was the test conducted with the unit ope</i> and is not greater than the manufacturer	<i>erating at</i> a capacity that is representative of no second capacity? $[62-296.401(6)(g)]$	rmal operations

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401(6), F.A.C. (check [] appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)	
3. Was the department notified at least 15 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C.]	XYes 🗌 No
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)	X Yes 🗌 No
5. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C]	X Yes 🗌 No
 6. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to Method 9? [a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity ofn/a% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the opacity standard? [7. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards? [Yes No
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> (check	
 Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Downwind odor level detected- 0; Wind direction - <u>E</u> Upwind odor level detected-0 (1-10) 	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
 2. Continuous Monitoring System - [62-296.401(6)(i), F.A.C.] a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	X Yes 🗌 No

	b)	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at \Box 1,800 ¹ \boxtimes 1,600 ² degrees was determined?	
		Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the	🛛 Yes 🔝 No
	c)	recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	
		1) All temperature measurements	\bigvee Var \Box No
		 2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; 	
		<i>an communication monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements, monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations</i>	$\sim Xes \square No$
		 3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on <u>(12/4/12)</u>	\sim
		<i>4) Adjustments</i>	
		5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	\sim Yes \square No
		6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	res [] NO
		7) Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of	
		when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	
		8) Are all the above records available for at least 2 years?	X Yes I NO
		 a) Date range for records reviewed: From:10/1/12 To:9/16/13 9) Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If yes, go to 9) a) - c) 	$\square V = \square N$
		9) was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/0/2$ if yes, go to 9) a) - c)	L res L No
		a) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically	
		control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	res [] NO
		b) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity	
		exceeds 15% opacity ?	K Yes K NO
		c) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in	
		accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	res [] No
		1 – Application received on or after $8/30/89$; 2 – Application received prior to $8/30/89$	
3.	Wa	s this crematory unit application to construct: $[62-296.401(6)(c), F.A.C.]$ (check only one \Box box)	
		BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5)	
	, –	ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5)	
1			
4.	· .	he application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	$\nabla V_{in} \nabla V_{in}$
	a	secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time ($@ 1600^{\circ}F$?	Ies INO
	b)	actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1400^{\circ}F$	$\nabla V_{22} \nabla V_{2}$
		throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Ies No
	c)	cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature is equal to an exact when $1400^{\circ}F^{2}$	\bigvee Vac \bigcap M
		is equal to or greater than $1400^{\circ}F$?	🛛 Yes 🔝 No
5.		e application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	
	1		

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u>	
(check 🗆 appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncomplianc	
@ 1800° F?	🗌 Yes 📃 No
 b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber? 	
 6. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet?[62-296.401(6)(d), F.A.C.] a) If the answer to question 6 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of their use and for at least two years after their use?	
b) Are there any other materials, other than bedding, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at this location?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
PART IV: <u>Equipment Maintenance</u> (check [] appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance	0)
(Check) appropriate box(cs), it a shaded box is checked, this would indicate honcompliance	e)
Equipment Maintenance: – [62-296.401(6)(e), F.A.C.]	
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
2. Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
3. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	Xes No
 4. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? If yes go to a) – b) 	$\cdots \boxtimes Yes \square No$

<i>a</i>)	Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift?	\boxtimes	Yes	No
<i>b</i>)	Was the flame adjusted when necessary?	\boxtimes	Yes	No

PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)

Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility □ Yes □ No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] □ Yes □ No
Permit Effective Period - [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownershipt
C Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C a) Installation of any new process equipment? □ Yes □ No b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? □ Yes □ No c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? □ Yes □ No d) A change in ownership? □ Yes □ No If the any of the answers to 1a) – 1)d is <u>Yes</u> to any, a new registration form and appropriate fee should have been submitted 30 days prior to the change □ Yes □ No
 <u>Noncompliance Notice:</u> - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? □ Yes □ No

If the answer is Yes , proceed to a) and b).
a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department? Yes No
b) Did the notification include:
1. A description of and cause of noncompliance? Yes No
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to
continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance? 🗌 Yes 🔲 No
PART VI: <u>Comments</u>
The emission unit was not in operation at the time; therefore VE test was not observed. Reviewed records for the months of
10/1/12 through 9/16/13 indicted emission unit in compliance. The emission unit was last calibrated in 12/4/12. See an attached
copies of the calibration temperature chart and record log.
Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I informed Ms. Sharon Martinache the emission unit is deemed to be in
compliance.
Mike Ojo Thomas 9-16-13
Inspector's Name Date of Inspection

 Inspector's Signature
 Approximate Date of Next Inspection

 H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030136 001 84778.doc