

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>IN</u>	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐						
	RE-INSPECTIO	ON (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
FA	ACILITY: Pet Angel World Services (DISTRICT:					
	BA/Site Name: Pet Angel World Me	Southwest					
ΑI	DDRESS: 6225 72nd Avenue No	orth	CONTACT PHONE:				
Pinellas Park, FL			727-548-1456				
AF	RMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date:	7/23/2014			
	1030136 001	1030136-009-AG	Renewal Date:	6/23/2009			
			Test Date:	11/13/2000			
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Crawford Equipment & Engineering Company, Model C500P Animal Crematory. Fired on Natural Gas with a Maximum Heat Input of 1 MMBtu/hr. Maximum Batch Load Rate of 150 Pounds with a secondary chamber temperature of 1,400 degrees F.							
IN	SPECTION DATE:	only?INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATE	US (check one box)				
8	8-6-10	☐ In? Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compl	iance;?	ant? Non-Compliance			
		PART I: General Review:					
1.	Permit File Review			⊠Yes □ No			
2.	Introduction and Entry			⊠Yes □ No			
	Comments: This inspection was performed in order to determine if this facility has been operating within applicable regulations. Ms. Sharon Martinache (Manager) and Mr. Andrew Martinache the operator were present during the facility inspection of the emission unit.						
3.		Is the Authorized Representative still Sharon Martinache?					
4.	Is the facility contact still Sharon Martinache?						
5.	If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]						
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401(6), F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)							
	Compliance Demonstration [62-296.401(6)(h), F.A.C.] 1. New Facility / New Process Equipment— Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No 2. Existing Facilities						
	Was an annual visible emissions compliance test conducted on each crematory unit for each calendar year? 🛛 Yes 🔲 No Test Reports						
2.	Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations and is not greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? $[62-296.401(6)(g)]$ \boxtimes Yes \square No						
3.	Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.	C.]	🛛 Yes 🔲 No			
1	Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the			2			

	PART II: TESTING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.401(6), F.A.C.					
	(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance					
	test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)	🛛 Yes 🔲 No				
5.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C]	⊠ Yes □ No				
6.	Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according?					
<i>7</i> .	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?					
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS						
	(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance	e)				
1.	Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	☐ Yes ⊠ No				
1.	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Downwind odor level detected- 0; Wind direction - W_Upwind odor level detected-0(1-10)	163 💟 110				
2.						
	a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the	▽ □ →				
	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠ Yes ∐ No				
	b) Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at $\Box 1,800^1 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	⊠ Yes □ No				
	time at ☐ 1,000 ☐ 1,000 degrees was determined: c) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the	Mies Ino				
	recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports and records?					
	1) All temperature measurements	⊠ Yes □ No				
	2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;					
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	X Yes No				
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on <u>(6/24/10</u>)	$\neg \square Yes \square No$				
	4) Adjustments					
	6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices					
	7) Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of	7100				
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	⊠ Yes □ No				
	8) Are all the above records available for at least 2 years?	🖾 Yes 🔲 No				
	a) Date range for records reviewed: From: 1-1-10 To: 8-6-10					
	9) Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If yes, go to 9) a) – c)	∐ Yes ⊠ No				
	a) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically	$\nabla V_{2a} \square V_{0}$				
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	X Yes I IVO				
	exceeds 15% opacity?	⊠ Yes □ No				
	c) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in	700				
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	🛛 Yes 🔲 No				
	1 – Application received on or after 8/30/89; 2 – Application received prior to 8/30/89					
3.	Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(6)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one box) a) \(\sum \frac{BEFORE}{ON} \) August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5) b) \(\sum \frac{ON}{ON} \) or \(\frac{AFTER}{ON} \) August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5)					
4.						
4.	a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ $1600^{\circ}F$?	X Yes No				
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1400^{\circ}F$	163 110				
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠ Yes □ No				
	c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature					
	is equal to or greater than $1400^{\circ}F$?	⊠ Yes □ No				
5.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:					
	a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time					
	@ 1800° F?	🗌 Yes 🔲 No				
	b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1600^{\circ}F$					

2 of 3 Revised 05/08

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? Yes No				
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than $1600^{\circ}F$ before the cremation				
process begins in the primary chamber? \square Yes \square No				
6. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated				
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet? X Yes No				
[62-296.401(6)(d), F.A.C.] a) If the answer to question 6 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they				
a) If the answer to question 6 above is 1ES, is certifying accumentation from the manufacturer that they are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of				
their use and for at least two years after their use? No				
b) Are there any other materials, other than bedding, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC)				
incinerated at this location? 🗌 Yes 🖂 No				
PART IV: Equipment Maintenance				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Equipment Maintenance: – [62-296.401(6)(e), F.A.C.]				
<u> </u>				
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? 🖂 Yes 🔲 No				
2. Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years? 🖂 Yes 🔲 No				
3. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Yes No				
•				
4. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? ✓ Yes ✓ No				
If yes go to $a = b$) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each energing shift? Yes \square We				
a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? 🖂 Yes 🗌 No b) Was the flame adjusted when necessary?				
Tes 110				
PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes:				
1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative				
not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions				
units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No				
2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] \[Yes \] No				
<u>Permit Effective Period</u> – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]				
1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? 🖂 Yes 🔲 No				
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration?				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownershipt				
C Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C a) Installation of any new process equipment?				
b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes No				
c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? \square Yes \boxtimes No				
d) A change in ownership? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No				
If the any of the answers to $1a - 1 d$ is <u>Yes</u> to any, a new registration form and appropriate fee should				
have been submitted 30 days prior to the change No				
<u>Noncompliance Notice:</u> - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]				
1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or				
limitation of the air general permit? Yes No				
If the answer is <u>Yes</u> , proceed to a) and b). a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?				

b) Did the notification include:	
	ot corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to
continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent r	recurrence of the noncompliance? 🗌 Yes 🔲 No
PART VI:	Comments
A visible emissions test performed during this inspection resulted	
Reviewed records for the months of 1/1/10 through 8/6/10 indic	ted emission unit in compliance.
The emission unit was last calibrated in 6/24/10. See attached of	calibration chart record.
Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I informed Ms. M	artinache the emission unit is deemed to be in compliance.
Mika Oio Thomas	8/6/2010
Mike Ojo Thomas	8/6/2010
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\103013	
	0 001 / 1102.00

4 of 3 Revised 05/08