

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
FACILITY: Pet Angel World Services (Florida), LLC DISTRICT:			
DBA/Site Name: Pet Angel World Me		Southwest	
ADDRESS: 6225 72nd Avenue No	orth	CONTACT PHONE	E:
Pinellas Park, FL		727-548-1456	
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date:	7/23/2014
1030136 002	1030136-009-AG	Renewal Date: Test Date:	6/23/2009
Instantial Equipment & Engineering Company, Model Power-Pak II, Animal Crematory: Serial # 0691201. Primary chamber dwell temp >1200 degrees F, Dwell time >1 second, Afterburner temp >1600 degrees F with a maximum batch load of 350 pounds			
INSPECTION DATE:	only?INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STAT	US (check one box)	
8/5/10	In? Compliance; Minor Non-Comp		nt? Non-Compliance
	PART I: General Review:		*
1. Permit File Review			Yes No
2. Introduction and Entry			Yes No
Comments: This inspection was performed in order to determine if this facility has been operating within applicable regulations. Ms. Sharon Martinache (Manager) and Mr. Gilbert the operator were present during the facility inspection of the emission unit. 3. Is the Authorized Representative still Sharon Martinache?			
Comments: Ms. Sharon Martinache is			
4. Is the facility contact still Sharon Martinache? Image: Wessign of the facility contact. Comments: Ms. Sharon Martinache is still the facility contact. Image: Wessign of the facility contact.			
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Yes No [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes Yes Yes			
	STING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.4 x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would		ee)
Compliance Demonstration [62-296.401(6)] 1. New Facility / New Process Equil Did this facility demonstrate initial comp		peration?	🗌 Yes 🔲 No
2. Existing Facilities Was an annual visible emissions complie	ance test conducted on each crematory unit for	• each calendar year?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
 <i>Test Reports</i> 1. Does the submitted visible emission test(s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity, sixminute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour period. limit? [62-296.401(6)(b)1., F.A.C.]			
2. <i>Was the test conducted with the unit operating at</i> a capacity that is representative of normal operations and is not greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? [62-296.401(6)(g)]			
3. Was the department notified at least 15 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C.] 🖾 Yes 🔲 No			
II IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII			

PART II: TESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401(6), F.A.C.			
	(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncomplianc		
	test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)	🛛 Yes	No
5.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C]	🛛 Yes	No No
6.	Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according?	🗌 Yes	No No
	b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the opacity standard?		
7.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?	🗌 Yes	No No
	PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u>		
	(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncomplianc	e)	
1.	Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	TYes	No No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Downwind odor level detected- 0; Wind direction - N Upwind odor level detected-0 (1-10)		
2.			
	a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	🖂 Yes	\Box No
	b) Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence		
	time at \Box 1,800 ¹ \boxtimes 1,600 ² degrees was determined?	🖄 Yes	∐ No
	recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports and records? 1) All temperature measurements	Ves	No
	2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;		
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	$ \sim X $ Yes	$\square No \\ \square No$
	4) Adjustments	$ \boxtimes Yes$	\square No
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	$\cdots \boxtimes Yes$	\square No
	 6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices 7) Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of 	🖂 Yes	∐ No
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	Xes	No
	8) Are all the above records available for at least 2 years?	Xes	No No
	a) Date range for records reviewed: From: <u>8/1/09</u> To: <u>8/5/10</u> 9) Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If yes, go to 9) a) – c)	Ves	\bigtriangledown No
	a) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically		
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	Xes	No
	<i>b) Is the</i> system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity ?	🖂 Yes	\Box No
	c) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in		
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	🖂 Yes	∐ No
2	1 - Application received on or after 8/30/89; $2 - $ Application received prior to 8/30/89 Was this summatory unit application to construct [62, 206 401(6)(a) EAC 1 (check only one - how)		
3.	 Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(6)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one box) a) □ <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5) b) ○ <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5) 		
4.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:		
	 a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F? b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F 	🗌 Yes	No
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	No
	c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature is equal to or greater than $1400^{\circ}F$?	\[] Yes	□ No
5	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:		
5.	a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		
	@ 1800° F?	Xes	No No
	 @ 1800° F?	🛛 Yes	No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? Xerona Yecondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber? Xerona Yecondary Chamber? Yecondary chamber?	
 Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet? X Ye [62-296.401(6)(d), F.A.C.] a) If the answer to question 6 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of their use and for at least two years after their use?	s 🗌 No s 🗌 No s 🖂 No

PART IV: <u>Equipment Maintenance</u>	
(check	appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)

Equipment Maintenance: – [62-296.401(6)(e), F.A.C.]

1.	Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? 🖂 Yes 🔲 No
2.	Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years? 🖂 Yes 🔲 No
3.	Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
4.	Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? Set [No If yes go to a) – b) a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b) Was the flame adjusted when necessary? Set [No

PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)

Administrative Changes:

 Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No 		
Permit Effective Period - [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?		
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownershipt		
C Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C a) Installation of any new process equipment? □ Yes □ No b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? □ Yes □ No c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? □ Yes □ No d) A change in ownership? □ Yes □ No If the any of the answers to 1a) – 1)d is <u>Yes</u> to any, a new registration form and appropriate fee should have been submitted 30 days prior to the change □ Yes □ No		
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?		

 b) Did the notification include: 1. A description of and cause of noncompliance? Yes No 2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance? Yes No 		
	VI: <u>Comments</u>	
The emission unit was not in operation at the time; therefore	VE test was not observed. Reviewed records for the months of 8/1/09	
through 8/5/10 indicted emission unit in compliance. The em	tission unit was last calibrated in 6/24/10.	
See an attached calibrated chart record.		
Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I informed Ms. Martinache the emission unit is deemed to be in compliance.		
Mike Ojo Thomas	8-5-2010	
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection	

Inspector's Name

 Inspector's Signature
 Approxima

 H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030136 002 71013.doc
 Approximate Date of Next Inspection