

<u>HUMAN CREMATORY</u> COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



IN	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)						
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:							
FACILITY: Anderson-McQueen Funeral Home			DISTRICT:				
DB.	A/Site Name: E. James Reese Fui	Southwest					
AD	DRESS: 6767 Seminole Blvd.		CONTACT PHON	E:			
	Seminole, FL		391-9954				
AR	MS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date:	7/27/2013			
	1030131 001	1030131-004-AG	Renewal Date: Test Date:	6/27/2013 8/12/2000			
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Incinerator, Human: Nortech Research and Engineering, Inc. (B&L systems, Inc.), Model N-20A (1400 degrees F). A Systems, Inc. Visible Opacity Monitor (VOM-1) set at 10% opacity is present on the unit.							
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (cl	neck \(\text{only one box} \)				
4,	/26/11	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Comp	iance; Significant	Non-Compliance			
		PART I: General Review:					
1.	Permit File Review			⊠Yes □ No			
2.	Introduction and Entry			⊠Yes □ No			
	Comments:						
2		the unit was shutdown in January due to its lim	ited use and the cost of	•			
3.	Is the Authorized Representative sti		⊠Yes □ No				
	Comments:						
4.	Is the facility contact still John T. M.	IcQueen?		⊠Yes □ No			
 4. 5. 	Is the facility contact still John T. M. Comments: If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the state of the sta	IcQueen? The facility provide an administrative update of the facility provide and th	within 30 days?				
	Is the facility contact still John T. M. Comments:		within 30 days?				
	Is the facility contact still John T. M. Comments: If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] PART II: TE	he facility provide an administrative update of the facility provide an administrative update of the facility of the facility provide an administrative update of the facility of the facility provide an administrative update of the facility provide and ad	01(5), F.A.C.	Yes No			
5.	Is the facility contact still John T. M. Comments: If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] PART II: TE (check □ appropriate bo	he facility provide an administrative update of the facility provide and the facility	01(5), F.A.C.	Yes No			
5. <u>Com</u> 1.	Is the facility contact still John T. M. Comments: If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] PART II: TE (check □ appropriate both poliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)] □ New Facility / □ New Process Equation [62-296.401(5)]	sting REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 40 x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in the control of the contro	01(5), F.A.C. ndicate noncomplian	Yes No			
5. <u>Com</u> 1.	Is the facility contact still John T. M. Comments: If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] PART II: TE (check □ appropriate both poliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)] □ New Facility / □ New Process Equal Did this facility demonstrate initial composition of the compo	he facility provide an administrative update of the facility provide and the facility p	01(5), F.A.C. ndicate noncomplian	Yes No			
5. <u>Com</u> 1. 2.	Is the facility contact still John T. M. Comments: If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] PART II: TE (check □ appropriate both poliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)] □ New Facility / □ New Process Equal Did this facility demonstrate initial complex Existing Facilities	sting REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 40 x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in the control of the contro	OI(5), F.A.C. ndicate noncompliant peration?	Yes No			
5. Con 1. 2. 1.	Is the facility contact still John T. M. Comments: If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] PART II: TE (check appropriate both poliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)]) New Facility New Process Equal Did this facility demonstrate initial complete Mass an annual visible emissions complete Test Reports Does the submitted visible emission test minute average, except that visible emission in test minutes in any one-hour period? [62-296.401]	sting requirements – Rule 62-296. 40 x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in the company of the compan	PI(5), F.A.C. ndicate noncompliant peration? each calendar year: ppacity, six- ed for up to	Yes			
5. Com 1. 2. 1. 2.	Is the facility contact still John T. M. Comments: If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] PART II: TE (check appropriate both poliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)] New Facility New Process Equal Did this facility demonstrate initial complete Market Service Was an annual visible emissions complitates Was an annual visible emission test minute average, except that visible emission test minute average, except that visible emission test minute average, except that visible emission test with the unit open was the test conducted with the unit open was the test conducted with the unit open was the submitted with the unit open was the test conducted with the unit open was the submitted with the unit open was the test conducted with the unit open was the submitted with the unit open was the test conducted with the unit open was the submitted with the unit ope	STING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 40 (cs), if a shaded box is checked, this would is (h), F.A.C.] ipment—pliance no later than 30 days after beginning of ance test conducted on each crematory unit for (s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent (s) ions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allow (2-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C.]——————————————————————————————————	peration?each calendar year: ppacity, six- ed for up to inute average. ver? [62-296.401(5)(g				
5. Com 1. 2. 1. 2.	Is the facility contact still John T. M. Comments: If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] PART II: TE (check appropriate both poliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)] New Facility New Process Equal Did this facility demonstrate initial complete Market Service Was an annual visible emissions complitates Was an annual visible emission test minute average, except that visible emission test minute average, except that visible emission test minute average, except that visible emission test with the unit open was the test conducted with the unit open was the test conducted with the unit open was the submitted with the unit open was the test conducted with the unit open was the submitted with the unit open was the test conducted with the unit open was the submitted with the unit open was the test conducted with the unit open was the submitted with the unit ope	STING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 40 x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would is (h), F.A.C.] ipment—pliance no later than 30 days after beginning of ance test conducted on each crematory unit for (s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent of sions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allow 2-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C.]——————————————————————————————————	peration?each calendar year: ppacity, six- ed for up to inute average. ver? [62-296.401(5)(g				
5. Com 1. 2. 1. 2. 3. 4.	Is the facility contact still John T. M. Comments: If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] PART II: TE (check appropriate both poliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)]) New Facility New Process Equal Did this facility demonstrate initial complete Mass an annual visible emissions complitates. Was an annual visible emissions complitates. Test Reports Does the submitted visible emission test minute average, except that visible emission test minute average, except that visible emission test minutes in any one-hour period? [62-296.401(5)] The last visible emission test resulted in a was the test conducted with the unit open was the department notified at least 15 was the required test report filed with the with the was the required test report filed with the with the was the required test report filed with the wind the was the required test report filed with the wind the was the required test report filed with the wind the wind the wind the was the required test report filed with the wind	STING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 40 (x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would is (h), F.A.C.] ipment— pliance no later than 30 days after beginning of ance test conducted on each crematory unit for (s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent (s) ions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allow (2-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C.]——————————————————————————————————	peration?each calendar year: ppacity, six-ed for up to inute average. ver? [62-296.401(5)(g				
5. Con 1. 2. 1. 2. 3. 4.	Is the facility contact still John T. M. Comments: If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] PART II: TE (check appropriate both political department of [62-296.401(5)] New Facility New Process Equal Did this facility demonstrate initial composite of the submitted visible emissions compliance and annual visible emissions compliance Demonstrate in the second political department of the submitted visible emission test minute average, except that visible emission test resulted in the last visible emission test visible emission test resulted in the last visible emission	STING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 40 (cs), if a shaded box is checked, this would is (h), F.A.C.] ipment— pliance no later than 30 days after beginning of ance test conducted on each crematory unit for (s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent (sions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allow 2-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C.]——————————————————————————————————	peration?each calendar year: ppacity, six- ed for up to inute average. ver? [62-296.401(5)(g C.]				

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C.						
-	(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance		M.			
6.	Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9?a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.	<i>Tes</i>	∑ No			
	b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the opacity standard?	$\Box v_{ac}$	$\bigcup M_{\Omega}$			
7.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?		⊠ No			
	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS					
	(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance	e)				
1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?			\boxtimes No			
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:					
	Downwind odor level detected- 0; Wind direction - calm Upwind odor level detected- 0 (1-10)					
2.	Continuous Monitoring System - [62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.]					
	a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the					
	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		\square No			
	b) Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence					
	time at $\Box 1,800^1 \ \Box 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	🛛 Yes [No			
	c) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the					
	recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports and records?		_			
	1) All temperature measurements	Yes	<i>No</i>			
	2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;	∇ v [) A7 -			
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on <u>(1/29/10</u>)		$\begin{array}{c} No \\ No \end{array}$			
	4) Adjustments	Tes Yes	$\exists N_{o}$			
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices		$\exists No$			
	6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	X Yes	$\exists N_o$			
	7) Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of		_			
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	🛛 Yes [☐ No			
	8) Are all the above records available for at least 2 years?					
	a) Date range for records reviewed: From: <u>May 2010</u> To: <u>April 2011</u> 9) Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If yes, go to 9) a) – c)		<u> </u>			
		∐ Yes [\bowtie No			
	a) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically	□ v [
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	<i>Tes</i>	☐ No			
	exceeds 15% opacity?	Yes	\neg No			
	c) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in					
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	\[\text{Yes [}	\square No			
	1 – Application received on or after 8/30/89; 2 – Application received prior to 8/30/89					
2						
٥.	Was this crematory unit application to construct: $[62-296.401(5)(c), F.A.C.]$ (check only one \square box) a) \boxtimes BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5)					
	b) \square ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5)					
4.	J 11		37			
	a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ $1600^{\circ}F$?b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1400^{\circ}F$	🖂 Yes [No			
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	\ Yos [$\supset N_{O}$			
	c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	M 1es [
	is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	X Yes [☐ No			
5	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:					
٥.	a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time					
	@ 1800° F?		$\bigcap No$			
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	L				
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		No			
	c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation					
	process begins in the primary chamber?		No			

2 of 3 Revised 05/08

	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS				
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
6.	Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet?				
	b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at this location?				
	PART IV: Equipment Maintenance (check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
Eq	uipment Maintenance: – [62-296.401(5)(e), F.A.C.]				
1.	Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?				
2.	Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years?	- X Yes No			
<i>3</i> . <i>4</i> .	Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	- X Yes No			
	If yes go to a) – b) a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b) Was the flame adjusted when necessary?	- Yes No			
<u> </u>					
PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures					
)			
1.	PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance Iministrative Changes: Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility	- □ Yes ⊠ No			
 1. 2. 	(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance Iministrative Changes: Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility	- □ Yes ⊠ No - □ Yes □ No			
 1. 2. 	(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance Iministrative Changes: Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] rmit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]	-			
1. 2. Per 1. 2.	(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance Iministrative Changes: Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility	-			
1. 2. Per 1. 2. Ne	(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance Iministrative Changes: Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] rmit Effective Period — [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?	-			
1. 2. Per 1. 2. Net C	(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance Iministrative Changes: Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] —— rmit Effective Period — [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? ————————————————————————————————————	-			
1. 2. Per 1. 2. Ne: C	Iministrative Changes: Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] rmit Effective Period − [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?	-			
1. 2. Per 1. 2. Ne: C	(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance Iministrative Changes: Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility ————————————————————————————————————	-			

3 of 3 Revised 05/08

PAF	RT VI: Comments	
no instances of non-compliance in this time period. I read a inspection stating the cremation unit will be shutdown as of	(0); there were only ~ 11 cremations since the last inspection. There we an internal email, dated in January, included with the records during a January and the gas will be turned off in the near future. Joe Finocch creafter, he removed all the fuses in the unit so it could not be turned o	my niarc
Exit Interview: I informed Joe that the facility appeared to be documentation regarding the unit shutdown.	pe in compliance at this time and I would contact him if we needed	
	4/06/11	
Shannon Ransom Inspector's Name		
	N/A	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

 $H: \label{lem:lem:lem:lem:hall} H: \label{lem:hall} WPDOCS \label{lem:hall} Air_Compliance \label{lem:hall} AQI \label{lem:hall} 1030131\ 001\ 75797. doc$

4 of 3 Revised 05/08