

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐						
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
FA	ACILITY: Pinellas Memorial Gardens	DISTRICT:				
DE	BA/Site Name: Pinellas Park	Southwest				
ΑI	DDRESS: 6500 86th Avenue No	orth	CONTACT PHONE:			
	Pinellas Park, FL	727 544-1051				
AF	RMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 6/12/2014			
	1030129 003	1030129-006-AG	Renewal Date: 5/13/2014			
	1030127 003	1030127-000-AG	Test Date: 7/1/2000			
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Animal Crematory: B&L Systems, Inc., Model BLP 500. Maximum Batch load is 500 lbs. Afterburner must operate at min. 1600 degrees F. 1 second residence time is determined at 18.6 feet. Equipped with an opacity monitor to automatically control combustion						
IN	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check one box)			
2	2/16/12	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compl	liance; Significant Non-Compliance			
		PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review		∑Yes □ No			
2.	Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No			
	Comments: I met with Travis Frost who provided me with the requested documents, answered questions and gave me a tour of the facility.					
3.	<u> </u>		Sessions and gave me a tour of the facility. Sessions and gave me a tour of the facility.			
	Is the Authorized Representative still Dorothy Foster?					
4.	Is the facility contact still Dorothy Foster?					
5.						
		STING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.40 x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would i				
Compliance Demonstration [62-296.401(6)(h), F.A.C.] 1.						
Test Reports 1. Does the submitted visible emission test(s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity, sixminute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour period? [62-296.401(6)(b)1., F.A.C.]						
2.		erating at a capacity that is representative of nor's recommended capacity? $[62-296.401(6)(g)]$				
3.	Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.	.C.] 🖂 Yes 🔲 No			
4.		he department as soon as practical, but no later				

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401(6), F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
5.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C]		□ No	
6.	Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to Method 9?a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.	<u> </u> Yes	⊠ No	,
	b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the opacity standard?	\(\text{Yes}	\square No	
	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?		_	
	DADT HI. ODED A TINC/DECORD/VEEDING DECHIDEMENTS			
	PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> (check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance	e)		
1.	Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	\[\text{Yes}	⊠ No	
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:			
	Downwind odor level detected- 0 ; Wind direction - S Upwind odor level detected- 0 (1-10)			
2.	Continuous Monitoring System $-[62-296.401(6)(i), F.A.C.]$			
	a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the	_	_	
	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	🖂 Yes	No	
	b) Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at $\Box 1,800^1 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	X Ves	\square No	
	c) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the	Z 105		1
	recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports and records?		_	
	1) All temperature measurements	X Yes	☐ No	
	2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	∇ Vas	□ No	
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on <u>(6/25/10</u>)	X Yes	\square No	
	4) Adjustments	🛛 Yes	☐ No	
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	X Yes	No	
	6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	🗵 Yes	\square No	
	7) Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	∇ Vas	□ No	
	8) Are all the above records available for at least 2 years?		_	
	a) Date range for records reviewed: From: <u>March 18, 2011</u> To: <u>Feb 15, 2012</u>	_		
	9) Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If yes, go to 9) a) – c)		⊠ No	1
	a) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically		□ λ 7	
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	\square res	<u> </u>	
	exceeds 15% opacity?	\square Yes	\square No	
	c) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in			
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	\[\textit{ Yes}	☐ No	
	1 – Application received on or after 8/30/89; 2 – Application received prior to 8/30/89			
3.	Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(6)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one □ box) a) □ <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5) b) ☑ <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5)			
4.	If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:			
	a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	Yes	☐ No	
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F			
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	<u> </u> Yes	<u></u> No	1
	c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature is equal to or greater than $1400^{\circ}F$?	\[Yes	☐ No	
5.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:			
	a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		_	
	@ 1800° F?	\(\times \text{ Yes}	☐ No	
	b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	\ Vac	\square N_{α}	
	c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600° F before the cremation	∠ 1es	<i>IVO</i>	1

2 of 3 Revised 05/08

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS					
(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
process begins in the primary chamber?					
 6. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet?					
incinerated at this location?	···- 🗌 Yes 🔀 No				
DADENY, E					
PART IV: Equipment Maintenance (check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance	ce)				
Equipment Maintenance: – [62-296.401(6)(e), F.A.C.]					
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	🛛 Yes 🔲 No				
2. Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years?	🛛 Yes 🔲 No				
3. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?					
4. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?					
If yes go to a) – b) a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b) Was the flame adjusted when necessary?					
PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures					
(check \Box appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance	ce)				
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]					
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?					
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration?					
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership					
C Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C a) Installation of any new process equipment?					
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or					
limitation of the air general permit? \square Yes \boxtimes No If the answer is Yes, proceed to a) and b).					
 a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department? b) Did the notification include: 	Yes No				

3 of 3 Revised 05/08

	; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to revent recurrence of the noncompliance? Yes No				
PART VI: Comments					
The temperature charts were all well documented. The annual visible emissions test was on file and in compliance. There were no instances of non-compliance noted in review of the records.					
Exit Interview: I informed Mr. Frost that the facility appear	rs to be in compliance at this time.				
Shannon Ransom	2/16/12				
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection				
	~3/2013				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				

4 of 3 Revised 05/08