

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐						
	RE-INSPECTIO	ON (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
FA	CILITY: Pinellas Memorial Gardens	DISTRICT:				
DB	BA/Site Name: Pinellas Park	Southwest				
ΑI	DDRESS: 6500 86th Avenue No	orth	CONTACT PHONE:			
	Pinellas Park, FL	'	727 544-1051			
AR	RMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 6/12/2014			
	1030129 003	1030129-006-AG	Renewal Date: 5/13/2014			
	1030127 003	1030127-000-AG	Test Date: 7/7/2000			
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Animal Crematory: B&L Systems, Inc., Model BLP 500. Maximum Batch load is 500 lbs. Afterburner must operate at min. 1600 degrees F. 1 second residence time is determined at 18.6 feet. Equipped with an opacity monitor to automatically control combustion						
IN	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS ((check one box)			
3	3/18/11	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compl	liance; Significant Non-Compliance			
		PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review		⊠Yes □ No			
2.	Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No			
	Comments: Wayne Martin & I met with Travis Frost who provided all requested documents and answered our questions.					
3.	Is the Authorized Representative stil	Il <u>Dorothy Foster</u> ?	⊠Yes □ No			
	Comments:					
4.	<u> </u>					
	Comments:					
5.	5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]					
		STING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.40	1 7 7			
	(check □ appropriate boy	x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in	ndicate noncompliance)			
Compliance Demonstration [62-296.401(6)(h), F.A.C.] 1.						
2.	☐ Existing Facilities Was an annual visible emissions compliance test conducted on each crematory unit for each calendar year? ☐ Yes ☐ No					
	Test Reports Does the submitted visible emission test(s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity, sixminute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour period? [62-296.401(6)(b)1., F.A.C.]					
2.	Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations and is not greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? $[62-296.401(6)(g)]$ \boxtimes Yes \square No					
3.	Was the department notified at least 15 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C.] Yes No					
4.	Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b) ⊠ Yes					

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401(6), F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
5.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? $[62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C]$		□ No	
٦.				
6.	a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity ofN/A% for the highest six minute average.			
	b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the opacity standard?	<u> </u> Yes	☐ No	
7.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?		⊠ No	
	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS			
	(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance	:)		
1	Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	$\prod V_{as}$	∇N_0	
1.	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Downwind odor level detected- N/A ; Wind direction – N/A Upwind odor level detected- N/A (1-10)	I Tes	<u> </u>	
2.	Continuous Monitoring System $-[62-296.401(6)(i), F.A.C.]$			
	a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the			
	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	X Yes	\square No	
	b) Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence			
	time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1$ $\square 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	🛛 Yes	\square No	
	c) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the			
	recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	- - I		
	1) All temperature measurements	⊠ Yes	\square No	
	2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;			
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations		$\bigcup_{N_0} N_0$	
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on <u>(6/25/10</u>)	$\neg \boxtimes Ies \mid$	\square No No	
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Tes	$\begin{array}{c} \square & No \\ \square & No \end{array}$	
	6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices		\square No	
	7) Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of			
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	X Yes	\bigcap No	
	8) Are all the above records available for at least 2 years?	🖾 Yes	\square No	
	a) Date range for records reviewed: From: 8/4/10 To: 3/18/11			
	9) Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If yes, go to 9) a) – c)	X Yes	☐ No	
	a) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically			
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	🗵 Yes	☐ No	
	b) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity?	🛛 Yes	☐ No	
	c) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in			
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	🗵 Yes	\square No	
	1 – Application received on or after 8/30/89; 2 – Application received prior to 8/30/89			
3.	Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(6)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one □ box) a) □ <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5) b) □ <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5)			
1				
4.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F ?	$\Box v_{as}$	\square No	
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	L Tes [
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	\bigcap No	
	c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature			
	is equal to or greater than $1400^{\circ}F$?		☐ No	
5.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:			
	a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time			
	@ 1800° F?	⊠ Yes	\square No	
	b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	[] TT [3.7	
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation	⊠ Yes	\square No	
	of secondary endineer combustion cone temperature equal to or greater than 1000 1 before the cremation			

2 of 3 Revised 05/08

	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS				
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
	process begins in the primary chamber?	🛛 Yes 🔲 No			
6.	Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet?	⊠ Yes □ No			
	are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of their use and for at least two years after their use?	🖂 Yes 🔲 No			
	incinerated at this location?	Yes No			
	PART IV: Equipment Maintenance (check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance	e)			
E	quipment Maintenance: – [62-296.401(6)(e), F.A.C.]	,			
E	<u>quipment Maintenance:</u> – [02-290.401(0)(e), F.A.C.]				
1.	Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	🛛 Yes 🔲 No			
2.	Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years?	🛛 Yes 🔲 No			
3.					
٥.	shutdown and malfunction?	🛛 Yes 🔲 No			
4.	Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	🛛 Yes 🔲 No			
	If yes go to $a(1) - b(1)$ a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift?	🛛 Yes 🔲 No			
	b) Was the flame adjusted when necessary?	$ \boxtimes Yes \square No$			
DADTV. Co. 1-1 Co. 142 co. And Done of Long					
	PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures				
	PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance	e)			
1.	(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliant dministrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility	🗌 Yes 🔀 No			
1. 2. Pe	(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance dministrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] **ermit Effective Period* − [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]				
1. 2. Pe	(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance dministrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Permit Effective Period — [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?				
1. 2. Pe	(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance dministrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]				
1. 2. Pe 1. 2.	(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance dministrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Permit Effective Period — [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?				
1. 2. Pe 1. 2. No	(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance deministrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Permit Effective Period − [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration?				
1. 2. Pe 1. 2. No	(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance dministrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Permit Effective Period − [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?				
1. 2. Pe 1. 2. No C.	(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance dministrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] **ermit Effective Period − [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?				
1. 2. Pe 1. 2. No. C.	dministrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] wermit Effective Period − [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?				
1. 2. Pe 1. 2. No. C.	(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance dministrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] **ermit Effective Period − [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?				

3 of 3 Revised 05/08

PART V	I: Comments			
There was no visible emissions test performed during the inspect	tion as there was no cremation in progress.			
r. Frost did not have a copy of the annual VE test onsite. He contacted the main office and had a copy faxed to us while we were asite.				
The Startup & Shutdown documents were lacking a Shutdown and manual and located such sections to be in compliance. He provide	v i			
The calibration was performed on 6/25/10. Mr. Frost provided a files.	copy of the temperature chart demonstrating the calibration for our			
Exit Interview: Wayne Martin and I informed Mr. Frost that even	rything appeared to be in compliance at this time.			
Shannon Ransom Inspector's Name				
Inspector's Signature	~ 3/2012 Approximate Date of Next Inspection			

4 of 3 Revised 05/08