

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (C ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 1030124 001	DATE: <u>7/28/06</u>	ARRIVE: <u>2:00 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>3:00 PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: Hetr	-		
FACILITY LOCATION:	: 1455 North McMullen Booth R	load	
	Clearwater, FL		
RESPONSIBLE OFFICI	AL: <u>David Schaffer</u> ?	PHONE: 727	-725-3233
CONTACT NAME: Day	vid Schaffer?	PHONE: 727	-725-3233
REMITTANCE YEAR:	N/A ENTITLEME	NT PERIOD: 3/9/06 / (effective date)	03/09/11 (end date)
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u>	COMPLIANCE STATUS (che	ck 🗹 only one box)	
IN COMPLIANCI	E MINOR Non-COMPL	IANCE SIGNIFICANT Non	1-COMPLIANCE
PART II: <u>TESTING/REC</u> (check ☑ appropriate		<u>ENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.	
 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the ex 3. During visible emiss at a rate that is reprunless such rate is to this question from to this question is "skip 4.a) and 4.b) a a) Was the batchin b) During the visib duration? 	n silos, weigh hoppers (batchers) atent necessary to limit visible en ssions tests of the silo dust collec resentative of the normal silo load unachievable in practice?	site visit according to EPA Method 9 , and other enclosed storage and con nissions to 5 percent opacity? etor exhaust points was the loading o ding rate, or at least at the minimum ration controlled by the silo dust col ons 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is the visible emissions test?	

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check I appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)
1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the
annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) Xerrore Serrore Ser
New Eacilities (normitted purement to Bula 62 210 200(4) E A C Air Constal Dermite)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? [Yes] No
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? Yes No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to
the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xes No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the
test was completed? XYes No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>)	le 🗌
 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below.</i>)	☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No
 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)? 	□Yes □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (*continued*) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

1.	Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine	ed
	emissions by:	

	······································	1 •	1	1 1.	which shall include one o	$C_{1} = C_{1} = C_{1$
- 3 1	management of roads	narking areas	STOCK DILES	and varde	which shall include one of	r more of the following:
a	management of foads.	Darking areas.	STOCK DIES.	, and varus.	which shan merude one o	

1)	paving an	d maintenanc	e of roads	, parking area	s, stock piles	, and yards?	 ⊠Yes	🗌 No
•		C		11 0 1			1	

-2)) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control		
	emissions?	⊠Yes	🗌 No

- 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?----- Xes No
 - 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?------
 ∑Yes □ No

b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?----- [Yes] No

Mike Ojo Thomas

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

___7/28/2006_____ Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: The emission unit was not in operation (No pneumatic loading of silo at the time). I reviewed operation and maintenance records for the months of 1/2/05 through 7/28/06, the records were in compliance.

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT

F ₂	ACII	LIT	Y: Hetro Corporation	Per_ID: 103	DISTRICT:				
			Hetro Corporation - U Ca	Southwest					
A	DDR	ESS	S: 1455 North McMullen Booth	n Road	CONTACT: Dave Schaffer				
			Clearwater, FL		Phone No: 727-725-3233				
A	RMS	S No	•	PERMIT NO.:	EXPIRATION DATE:				
			 4 001	1030124-003-AG	03/09/11				
Bel		le St	eel Company, Model BST-100		200 barrel cement storage silo, controlled by a issions from the batching operation are vented				
IN	SPI	ECT	ION DATE:	ARMS INSPECTION TYPE:	COMPLIANCE STATUS:				
	7/28	8/06		\square INS2 or \square INS	⊠IN □MNC □SNC				
	Ty	pe o	f Inspection:	Re-inspection Complai	int Drive-by Quarterly				
				A. General Review:					
1		Peri	nit File Review	A. General Review:	Xyes No				
2			oduction and Entry		Yes No				
		C							
				rformea in order to determine if this fac owner) was present during the facility in	cility has been operating within applicable espection of the emission unit.				
3			he Authorized Representative	still: <u>David Schaffer</u> ?	Yes No				
			nments:						
4			he facility contact still: David S nments:	Schaffer?	Yes No				
		con							
<u> </u>		7							
Ι	M N	S N							
Ν	C	C		B. Specific Conditions					
			The owner or operator of any relocatable concrete batching plant proposing to change location shall submit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP Form No.62-21 0.900(6)) to the Department at least 30 days prior to relocation; [62-210.300(4)(c)2.c., F.A.C.] <i>Comments: This facility is not a relocatable concrete batch plant.</i>						
		 The owner or operator of a stationary concrete batching plant using an air general permit may operate, or allow the operation of, one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location as the concrete batching plant provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units, the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the material processed is less than 10 million tons per calendar year, and the fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%, by weight. The owner or operator of the concrete batching plant shall maintain a log book to account for fuel consumption and material processed on a monthly basis. Fuel supplier certifications shall be maintained to account for the sulfur content of the fuel being bummed. [62-210.300(4)(c)2.e., F.A.C.] <i>Comments: The facility does not operate operated a nonmetallic mineral processing plant on-site under general permit</i> NoN/A Reviewed the records for the months ofN/A,N/A, andN/A The maximum 12 month totals ofN/A_gallons/year of fuel andN/A_ tons/year of material processed. Pressure relief pop-up valve(s) – is there a accumulation of cement around the pop-off valves? □ Yes ⊠ No Silo housings & duct work – are there any apparent leaks? □ Yes ⊠ No 							

Ŧ	M		
I N	N C	N C	B. Specific Conditions
			 Unconfined Emissions. The owner or operator shall take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions from hoppers, storage and conveying equipment, conveyor drop points, truck loading and unloading, roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards as required by Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C. For concrete batching plants the following shall constitute reasonable precautions: (a) Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1. Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, and yards. 2. Application of water or environmentally safe dust- suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions. 3. Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner or operator to mitigate re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter. 4. Reduction of stock pile height or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles. (b) Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck. [62-296.414(2)] Comments: The yard area was free of dust. There was no unconfined material onsite.
			Visible emissions tests of silo dust collector exhaust points shall be conducted while loading the silo at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate. The minimum loading rate shall be 25 tons per hour unless such rate is unachievable in practice. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are also controlled by the silo dust collector, the batching operation shall be in operation during the visible emissions test. The batching rate during the emissions test shall be representative of the normal batching rate and duration. Each test report shall state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing and, if applicable, whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing. [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]
			If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is separate from the silo dust collector, visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector exhaust point shall be conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration. Each test report shall state the actual batching rate during emissions testing. [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.] Comments: Emissions from the weigh hopper are are not controlled by a separate dust collector. A separate test was not conducted at the appropriate rate.
			Each dust collector exhaust point shall be tested annually. New facilities permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits, shall demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation, and annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date. Existing facilities permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits, shall demonstrate compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form and within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general Permits, shall demonstrate compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form and within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date. [62-296.414(4), F.A.C.] Comments: The test should be completed between 11/18/05 and 1/18/06 . The last test was conducted on 1/5/06 , and the test results were submitted on 1/9/06 .
			Test Reports The required test report shall be filed with the PCDEM as soon as practical but no later than 45 days after the test is completed. [Rules 62-213.440 and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.] <i>Comments: The last test was conducted on 1/5/06, and the test results were submitted on 1/9/06.</i>

M N	S N	
C	С	B. Specific Conditions
		 The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to: Operating parameters of the pollution control device; Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant; A record log which will indicate, at a minimum: When maintenance and observations were performed; What maintenance and observations were performed; What maintenance and observations were performed; Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128] Comments: The O&M records were not available for inspection. Mr. Schaffer stated the operation and maintenance logs were left at home in Pasco County. He stated he took all the emission unit record logs home because his office was supposed to be clean. He stated he would make the O&M records for the months of 1/2/05 through 7/28/06, the records were in compliance. He stated would make sure records are kept onsite next time.
		recoras were in compliance. The stated would make sure records are kept onsue nextume.
		C. General Procedure Requirements and Conditions
		 Administrative Corrections. Within 30 days of any changes requiring corrections to information contained in the notification form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Such changes shall include: a. Any change in the name of the authorized representative or facility address or phone number; or b. Any other similar minor administrative change at the facility or emissions unit. [62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.] <i>Comments: This is non applicable at this time.</i>
		Equipment Changes. In case of the installation of new process equipment, alteration of existing process equipment without replacement, or the replacement of existing process equipment with equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form, the owner or operator shall submit a new and complete general permit notification form with the appropriate fee pursuant to Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C., to the Department. [62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.]
		A permittee's use of a general permit is limited to five years. No later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the filing of intent to use the general permit, the owner or operator shall submit a new notice of intent which shall contain all current information regarding the facility or emissions unit. Eligibility to use the general permit is not transferable and does not follow a change in ownership of the facility or emissions unit. Prior to any sale, other change of ownership, or permanent shutdown of the facility, the owner or operator is encouraged to notify the Department of the pending action. The owner shall remain liable for corrective actions that may be required as a result of any violations occurring in the time after the sale or legal transfer of the facility or emissions unit, but before a new owner is entitled to use an air general permit. [General Conditions - 62-210.300(4)(e)1., F.A.C.] Comments: The permit expires on 03/09/11. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than 01/08/11.
		D. Other:
-	g Co: nent:	nference

Other Comments:	I was n	ot able to perform	a visible emission	s test at the time,	as no tankers	were on site, a	and not batching at
the time of the insp	ection.	Reviewed records	for the months of	1/2/05 through 2	7/28/06, the red	cords were in c	compliance.

Inspector(s): Mike Ojo Thomas, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division						
Si	gnat	ure(s)	Date: 9/5/06			

CONTACT LOG? ___yes___, ACCESS? _yes___, ARMs? __yes___ H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030124 001 52443.doc