

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS	1, INS2) 🛛 COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	XY (CI)				
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
FACILITY: City of Clearwater		DISTRICT:				
DBA/Site Name: Arcturas Avenue Factoria	acility	Southwest				
ADDRESS: 1650 North Arcturas		CONTACT PHONE:				
Clearwater, FL		727-462-6585				
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 7/31/2013				
1020120 002	1030120-004-AG	Renewal Date: 7/1/2013				
1030120 002	1050120-004-AG	Test Date: 5/14/2000				
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Concrete Batch Plant: Belgrade Steel Tank Company 225 Barrel Cement Silo and Meter-mix Truck Loading Auger, Controlled by a Bellgrade Steel Company, Model BST-150 Baghouse						
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ci	heck \sqcap only one box)				
9/4/12	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Comp	-				
	PART I: General Review:					
1. Permit File Review		Yes No				
2. Introduction and Entry		Yes No				
	ormed in order to determine if this facility has					
	er) was present during the facility inspection of					
	rwater. According to Mr. Barry the Authorized the had notified our office or Florida Departme.					
	is required to send a notification letter to the a					
	uirements of 62-210.300(4)(d)., F.A.C which s					
	y the Department within 30 days of any chang					
	needed to send a notification Letter to our off					
	end our office and DEP office a notification L					
3. Is the Authorized Representative s		Yes No				
Comments: Mr. Filmon no longer works for the City of Clearwater. Mr. Barry is the new Authorized						
Representative.						
The e-mail address is:	W/ E'19					
4. Is the facility contact still: Donald	w. Filmon: works for the City of Clearwater. Mr. Bar	$\Box Yes \boxtimes No$				
The e-mail address is:	works for the City of Clearwaler. Mr. Bar	ry is the new facility contact.				
	he facility provide an administrative update	within 30 days? Yes No				
[62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]						
PART II· 1	ESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.	414. F.A.C.				
(check \Box appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)						
Compliance Demonstration						
1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment- (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits)						
Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? \Box Yes \Box No						
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust 						
1	collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions					
	Test Reports					
3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No						
The last visible emission test, conducted six minute average. [62-296.414(1) F.A	on 5/16/11 resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> .C.]	% for the highest				

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.				
(check	Yes No			
5. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the				
	Yes 🗌 No			
6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C]	Yes 🗌 No			
 7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]] Yes 🔀 No] Yes 🗌 No			
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]				
 10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? [a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>n/a</u>% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard? [
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.	,			
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
<i>1. Is this facility:</i> 1) a ⊠ stationary; 2) a □ relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, □ stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check □ only one box.)				
 For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.] b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.] 1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons 2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons 3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals gallons 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals % of all fue] Yes 🖂 No] Yes 🔲 No			
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]] Yes 🗌 No			
 <u>Relocation Notification</u> - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.) 1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below) [a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one (1) business day prior to changing location? [b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation? [c]] Yes 🖂 No] Yes 🗌 No			
 If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation?] Yes 🗌 No			
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions				
	\times Yes No			

PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)					
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
Which of the following methods are used:					
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:					
1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control					
emissions? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to					
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?					
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of					
particulate matter from stock piles?					

	particulate matter from stock pites?		Ies	100
b)	use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🖂	Yes	No

PART V: <u>General Procedure Requirements and Conditions</u> (check [] appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)

(check \Box appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate honcomphance)				
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility □ Yes □ No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] □ Yes □ No				
Permit Effective Period - [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership				
 Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most c) recent notification form? 				
<i>d)</i> Change in ownership \Box Yes \boxtimes No <i>d)</i> Change in ownership \Box Yes \boxtimes No If any of the answers to $1a$) – 1) <i>d</i> is <u>Yes</u> , a new registration form and appropriate fee should have been submitted 30 days prior to the change				
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?				
PART VI: Comments				

The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to:

- (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;
- (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;
- (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;
- (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant;
- (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:
 - a. When maintenance and observations were performed;
 - b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and
 - c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.
 - d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.

[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]

Comments: Reviewed records for the months of 8/1/11 through 9/4/12, the records were in compliance. See

attached copies of the operation and maintenance checklist record. I was not able to perform a visible emissions test at the time,

as no tankers were on site, and not batching at the time of inspection. I asked Mr. Berry to contact AQ Division office next time

they plan to load silo.

Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I told Mr. Berry this emission unit appears to be in non-compliance. I told

him City of Clearwater failed to meet the rule requirements of 62-210.300(4)(d)., F.A.C which states any a change in the name of

the authorized representatives shall notify the Department within 30 days of any changes. I gave Mr. Barry verbal warning and

I told him the City of Clearwater required to send a notification Letter to our office or Florida Department of Environment

Department office. He promised to send our office and DEP office a notification Letter ASAP.

Mike Ojo Thomas

Inspector's Name

9/4/2012

Date of Inspection

 Inspector's Signature
 Approximate Date of Next Inspection

 H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030120
 002
 80820.doc