

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐					
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
FACILITY: City of Clearwater			DISTRICT:			
DBA/Site Name: Arcturas Avenue Facility			Southwest			
ADDRESS: 1650 North Arcturas Avenue			CONTACT PHONE:			
Clearwater, FL			727-462-6585			
AR	MS NO:	PERMIT NO:	<b>Expiration Date:</b> 7/31/2013			
1030120 002		1030120-004-AG	Renewal Date: 7/1/2013			
1030120 002			<b>Test Date:</b> 5/16/2000			
	<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Concrete Batch Plant: Belgrade Steel Tank Company 225 Barrel Cement Silo and Meter-mix Truck Loading Auger, Controlled by a Bellgrade Steel Company, Model BST-150 Baghouse					
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check □ only one box)				
7.	/21/11		iance; Significant Non-Compliance			
		PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review		⊠Yes □ No			
2.	Introduction and Entry		☐Yes ☐ No			
	Comments: This co-inspection with Mr. Martin the Program Manager was performed in order to determine if this facility has been operating within applicable regulations. Mr. Fon Watson (Manger) was present during the facility inspection of the emission unit.					
3.	Is the Authorized Representative still Donald W. Filmon?  Comments: Donald Filmon stills the Authorized Representative.					
4.						
5.	If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?					
	[62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]					
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)						
<u>Co</u> 1.	Compliance Demonstration  1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No					
2.	2. \( \subseteq \textbf{Existing Facilities} - \) (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?\sum Yes \( \subseteq \) No					
3.	Test Reports  3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?					
4.	Was the department notified at least 15	5 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A	A.C.]			
5.	Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b) ≼ Yes  No					
6.	Was the facility visible emissions test(	s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-	$297.401(9)(c)$ , F.A.C] $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No			
7.	7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					

	DADE II. DESCRING DEGLIDEMENTES. D.L. (A 20/ A14 E A C				
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☐ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
	unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]	X Yes	☐ No		
	Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9.)	□ Yes	⊠ No		
	a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.]b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]	Yes	☐ No		
	If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]	ı	⊠ No		
	. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9?				
	a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity ofn/a_% for the highest six minute average.				
	b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard?	Yes	$\square$ No		
	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A	.C.			
	(check  appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
	Is this facility: 1) a $\boxtimes$ stationary; 2) a $\square$ relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, $\square$ stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check</i> $\square$ <i>only one box.</i> )				
2.	For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:				
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]		No No No		
	listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]	L Tes	□ No		
	<ul> <li>2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons</li> <li>3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet</li> </ul>				
	4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons				
	5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals% of all	fuels			
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?				
	[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]	☐ Yes	☐ No		
1.	<u>Relocation Notification</u> - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)  Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or				
1.	stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)	Yes	⊠ No		
	at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?	Yes	☐ No		
	b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?	Yes	☐ No		
2.	If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below  Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at				
	least five (5) business days prior to relocation?	Yes	$\square$ No		
	PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>				
	(check $\square$ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
1.	Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions		☐ No		
	Which of the following methods are used: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following	g:_			
	1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	⊠ Yes	□ No		
	emissions?	⊠ Yes	□ No		
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	X Yes	□ No		

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>				
(check $\square$ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of				
particulate matter from stock piles?				
o) use of spray but, chaic, or partial enclosure to margare chassions at the drop point to the track.				
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions				
(check $\square$ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes:				
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions				
units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility \sum Yes \subseteq No				
2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No				
<b>Permit Effective Period</b> – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]				
1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? \(\Sigma\) Yes \(\D\) No				
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership				
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2]				
a) installation of any new process equipment? $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most				
recent notification form? Yes No				
d) Change in ownership $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No If any of the answers to $1a$ ) $-1$ )d is Yes, a new registration form and appropriate fee should				
have been submitted 30 days prior to the change \square No				
<u>Noncompliance Notice:</u> - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]				
1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or				
limitation of the air general permit? Yes 🖂 No				
If the answer is $\underline{Yes}$ , proceed to a) and b).				
a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department? Yes No				
b) Did the notification include: 1. A description of and cause of noncompliance?				
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to				
continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance? Yes No				
PART VI: Comments				
O&M Plan				
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to:				
(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;				
(2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;				
(3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;				
(4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the				
permit applicant; (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:				
a. When maintenance and observations were performed;				
b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and				
c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.				
d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]				
[1 menas County Code, Subsection 30-120]				
Comments: Reviewed records for the months of 8/1/10 through 7/21/11, the records were in compliance.				
I was not able to perform a visible emissions test at the time, as no tankers were on site, and not batching at the time of				

The O&M Plan is been review pending approval.

xit Interview: During the closing conference, I told Mr.	. Watson, the emission unit is deemed to be compliance.
Mike Ojo Thomas	
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
•	•
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\	

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06