FLORIDA		<u>NCRETE BATCHIN</u> ANCE INSPECTIO		Environment Compliance	
INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		NT/DISCOVERY (C MPLAINT NO:	,	
AIRS ID#: 1030120 002	2 DATE: <u>7/28/200</u>	6 ARRIVE	: <u>11:00 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>1:00 F</u>	PM
FACILITY NAME: Cit	ity of Clearwater, Public Wor	rks Department			
FACILITY LOCATIO	N: 1650 North Arcturas Ave	enue			
	Clearwater, FL				
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	CIAL: Donald W. Filmon?		PHONE: 727	-462-6585	
CONTACT NAME: D	Oonald W. Filmon?		PHONE: 727	-462-6585	
REMITTANCE YEAR	: N/A ENTITL	EMENT PERIOD:	12/8/2004 (effective date)	/ 07/20/08 (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION	N COMPLIANCE STATU:		box) SIGNIFICANT Non	-COMPLIANCE	
(check ☑ appropria <u>Stack Emissions</u>					
62-297, F.A.C.)?]Yes 🛛 No
controlled to the	om silos, weigh hoppers (bat extent necessary to limit visi nissions tests of the silo dust	ble emissions to 5 pe	rcent opacity?		Yes 🗌 No
at a rate that is re unless such rate i 4. Are emissions fro	presentative of the normal si is unachievable in practice? om the weigh hopper (batche s "Yes", then continue on to	lo loading rate, or at er) operation controlle	least at the minimum d by the silo dust coll	25 tons per hour rate	s, ⊴Yes □ No
skip 4.a) and 4.b) a) Was the batch b) During the vis) and continue on to question ning operation in operation du sible emissions test, was the	5.) uring the visible emis batching rate represer	sions test? ntative of the normal l	batching rate and]Yes 🗌 No
	n the weigh honner (hatcher)				Yes No

5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?------
Yes No

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)				
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)				
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)				
2. Did this facility demonstrate:				
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?				
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? Yes No				
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)				
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? XYes No				
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)				
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the				
test was completed? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No				

ART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check 🗹 appropriate box(es))					
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable [concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>)					
	Yes 🛛 No				
 a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per]Yes 🛛 No				
calendar year?	Yes 🗌 No				
·/ ··· ·······························	_Yes				
]Yes ☐ No]Yes ☐ No]Yes ☐ No				

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:
 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?------ X Yes Xes

2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	L	
	emissions?	⊠Yes	No No

3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?----- ⊠Yes □ No

4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?----- ⊠Yes □ No

b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?----- [Yes] No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?	_	_
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?	Yes	🗌 No

Mike Ojo Thomas

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: The emission unit was not in operation (No pneumatic loading of silo at the time). I reviewed operation and maintenance records for the months of 1/2/05 through 7/28/06, the records were in compliance.

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT

FA	ACII	LITY	Y: City of Clearwater, Public	c Works Department Per_ID: 975	DISTRICT:	
Arcturas Avenue Facility			Arcturas Avenue Facility	Southwest		
ADDRESS: 1650 North Arcturas Avenue		CONTACT: Von Watson				
Clearwater, FL			Clearwater, FL	Phone No: 727-462-6585		
41	RMS	No		PERMIT NO.:	EXPIRATION DATE:	
				1030120-003-AG	07/20/08	
				ncrete Batch Plant: Belgrade Steel Tank grade Steel Company, Model BST-150 E	Company 225 Barrel Cement Silo and Meter-mix Baghouse	
IN	SPE	ECT	ION DATE:	ARMS INSPECTION TYPE:	COMPLIANCE STATUS:	
	7/28	/06		\square INS2 or \square INS	⊠IN □MNC □SNC	
	Ty	pe o	f Inspection:	Re-inspection Complai	nt Drive-by Quarterly	
				A. General Review:		
1	. []	Perr	nit File Review	A. Othera Keylew.	Yes No	
2		Intro	oduction and Entry		Yes No	
		Con	monts. This inspection was no	rformed in order to determine if this fac	ility has been operating within applicable	
				ker II) was present during the facility in		
3		<i>I</i> s tl	he Authorized Representative	still: Donald W. Filmon?	Yes No	
			iments:			
4			he facility contact still: Donald	W. Filmon?	\boxtimes Yes \square No	
		Con	nments:			
Ŧ	M	S				
I N	N C	N C		B. Specific Conditions		
				relocatable concrete batching plant propo	sing to change location shall submit a Facility	
					epartment at least 30 days prior to relocation;	
			[62-210.300(4)(c)2.c., F.A.C.]	1		
			Comments: This facility \square is	or $\sqrt{\Box}$ is not a relocatable concrete bat	ch plant.	
\boxtimes					air general permit may operate, or allow the	
					plants using individual air general permits at the	
	same location as the concrete batching plant provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units, the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the					
	material processed is less than 10 million tons per calendar year, and the fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%,					
				ator of the concrete batching plant shall in cessed on a monthly basis. Fuel supplier		
	consumption and material processed on a monthly basis. Fuel supplier certifications shall be maintained to account for the sulfur content of the fuel being bummed. [62-210.300(4)(c)2.e., F.A.C.]					
			the sulfur content of the fuel t	being bummed. [62-210.300(4)(c)2.e., F.	A.C.]	
			Comments: The facility \Box do	es \sqrt{does} not operate operated a nonmet	tallic mineral processing plant on-site under	
			Comments: The facility \Box do general permit NoN/A The maximum 12 month totals	es √does not operate operated a nonmer Reviewed the records for the month ofN/Agallons/year of fuel and	tallic mineral processing plant on-site under s ofN/A,N/A, andN/A _N/A tons/year of material processed.	
			<i>Comments:</i> The facility □ do general permit NoN/A The maximum 12 month totals Pressure relief pop-up value	es √does not operate operated a nonmer Reviewed the records for the month ofN/Agallons/year of fuel and	tallic mineral processing plant on-site under s of $\N/A\$, $\N/A\$, and $\N/A\$. $\N/A\$ tons/year of material processed. a around the pop-off valves? \Box Yes \boxtimes No	

	M	S	
I N	N C	N C	B. Specific Conditions
			 Unconfined Emissions. The owner or operator shall take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions from hoppers, storage and conveying equipment, conveyor drop points, truck loading and unloading, roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards as required by Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C. For concrete batching plants the following shall constitute reasonable precautions: (a) Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1. Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, and yards. 2. Application of water or environmentally safe dust- suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions. 3. Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner or operator to mitigate re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter. 4. Reduction of stock pile height or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles. (b) Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck. [62-296.414(2)] Comments: The yard area was free of dust. There was no unconfined material onsite.
			Visible emissions tests of silo dust collector exhaust points shall be conducted while loading the silo at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate. The minimum loading rate shall be 25 tons per hour unless such rate is unachievable in practice. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are also controlled by the silo dust collector, the batching operation shall be in operation during the visible emissions test. The batching rate during the emissions test shall be representative of the normal batching rate and duration. Each test report shall state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing and, if applicable, whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing. [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]
\boxtimes			If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is separate from the silo dust collector, visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector exhaust point shall be conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration. Each test report shall state the actual batching rate during emissions testing. [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.] Comments: Emissions from the weigh hopper \Box are \Box are not controlled by a separate dust collector. A separate test \Box was not conducted at the appropriate rate.
\boxtimes			Each dust collector exhaust point shall be tested annually. New facilities permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits, shall demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation, and annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date. Existing facilities permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits, shall demonstrate compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form and within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date. [62-296.414(4), F.A.C.] Comments: The test should be completed between 4/18/06 and 6/18/06. The last test was conducted on 5/8/06, and the test results were submitted on 5/9/2006.
			Test Reports The required test report shall be filed with the PCDEM as soon as practical but no later than 45 days after the test is completed. [Rules 62-213.440 and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.] <i>Comments: The last test was conducted on 5/8/06, and the test results were submitted on 5/9/2006.</i>

Ι	M N	S N			
N	C	C	B. Specific Conditions		
\square			The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M)		
			plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to:(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;		
			(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device,(2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;		
			(3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;		
			(4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the		
			premises of the permit applicant;		
			(5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:a. When maintenance and observations were performed;		
			b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and		
			c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.		
			d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.		
			[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]		
			Comments: Reviewed records for the months of 1/2/05 through 7/28/06, the records were in compliance.		
			C. General Procedure Requirements and Conditions		
\square			Administrative Corrections. Within 30 days of any changes requiring corrections to information contained in the notification form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Such changes shall include:		
			a. Any change in the name of the authorized representative or facility address or phone number; or		
			b. Any other similar minor administrative change at the facility or emissions unit.		
			[62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]		
			Comments: This is non applicable at this time.		
\boxtimes			Equipment Changes. In case of the installation of new process equipment, alteration of existing process equipment		
			without replacement, or the replacement of existing process equipment with equipment substantially different than that		
			noted on the most recent notification form, the owner or operator shall submit a new and complete general permit notification form with the appropriate fee pursuant to Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C., to the Department.		
			[62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.]		
			Comments: No facility changes.		
\square			A permittee's use of a general permit is limited to five years. No later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the		
			filing of intent to use the general permit, the owner or operator shall submit a new notice of intent which shall contain all current information regarding the facility or emissions unit. Eligibility to use the general permit is not transferable		
			and does not follow a change in ownership of the facility or emissions unit. Prior to any sale, other change of		
			ownership, or permanent shutdown of the facility, the owner or operator is encouraged to notify the Department of the		
			pending action. The owner shall remain liable for corrective actions that may be required as a result of any violations		
			occurring in the time after the sale or legal transfer of the facility or emissions unit, but before a new owner is entitled to use an air general permit.		
			[General Conditions - 62-210.300(4)(e)1., F.A.C.]		
			<i>Comments:</i> The permit expires on 07/20/08. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than 05/21/08.		
			D. Other:		
Clo	osing	g Co	nference XYes No		
			s: During the closing conference, I told Mr. Watson, the emission unit is deemed to be compliance.		
			ments: I was not able to perform a visible emissions test at the time, as no tankers were on site, and not batching at the inspection. Reviewed records for the months of 1/2/05 through 7/28/06, the records were in compliance.		
		tor(s			
Sig	gnat	ure(Date: 8/10/2006		
<u> </u>					
╞──					

D. Other:				
Closing Conference	Yes No			
Comments: During the closing conference, I told Mr. Watson, the emission unit is deemed to be compliance.				
Other Comments: I was not able to perform a visible emissions test at the time, as no tankers were on site, and not batching at the time of the inspection. Reviewed records for the months of 1/2/05 through 7/28/06, the records were in compliance.				
Inspector(s): Mike Ojo Thomas, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division				
Signature(s) Date: 8/10/200)6			
CONTACT LOG? Yes ACCESS? Yes ARMs? Yes				

CONTACT LOG? __Yes_, ACCESS? __Yes_, ARMs? __Yes_ H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030120 002 52441.doc