

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ⊠ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) □				
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
FACILITY: Cemex Construction Materials Florida, LLC		DISTRICT:		
DBA/Site Name: Saint Petersburg North RM Facility		Southwest		
ADDRESS: 1700 22nd Street North		CONTACT PHONE:		
St. Petersburg, FL		813-269-1240		
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 8/16/18		
1030116 001	1030116-006-AG	Renewal Date: 8/17/18 Test Date: 4/15/00		
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Concrete Batch Plant: Double Compartment Cement Storage Silo, Fly Ash Silo and Truck Loadout Operation, Controlled by a Griffin Environmental, Inc., C&W Model CP900 Central Dust Collector				
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	eck 🗆 only one box)		
9/10/13		iance; Significant Non-Compliance		
	PART I: General Review:			
1. Permit File Review		⊠Yes □ No		
2. Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No		
Comments: Drive by inspection was conducted. There was no activity onsite. The facility building yard had over growing vegetation on it. The facility entrance was padlocked. Mr. There was no one onsite. Mr. Jones sends a letter to the AQ Division office regarding the long term SHUTDOWN.				
3. Is the Authorized Representative st		☐Yes ☐ No		
Comments: Drive by inspection was conducted. There was no activity onsite. The facility entrance was padlocked. The e-mail address is: jasonp.jones@cemex.com				
4. Is the facility contact still: Jason Jones?				
Comments: Drive by inspection was conducted. There was no activity onsite. The facility entrance was padlocked.				
The e-mail address is: jasonp.jones@cemex.com				
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Yes No [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]				
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check — appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Compliance Demonstration 1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?————————————————————————————————————				
six minute average. $[62-296.414(1) F.A.C.]$ 4. Was the department notified at least 15 days prior to the test? $[62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C.]$ \square Yes \square No				
5. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)				
6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes No				
7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,				

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.			
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]	Yes No		
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then	· ·		
skip to question 9.) \square Yes \square No a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.] \square Yes \square No			
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]			
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from			
the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.] \square Yes \square No			
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? Yes No			
 a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard? 	Yes No		
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C	·•		
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
1. Is this facility: 1) a ☐ stationary; 2) a ☐ relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, ☐ stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☐ only one box.)			
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:	lants		
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.] b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages	☐ Yes ☐ No		
listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]	☐ Yes ☐ No		
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons 2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons			
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet			
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons	7		
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals% of all fue	els		
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?			
	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)			
1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)	☐ Yes ☐ No		
a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below			
2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation?	Yes No		
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u> (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions			
Which of the following methods are used:			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes No			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?			
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	\Box Yes \Box No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of			

PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)		
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) particulate matter from stock piles? Yes □ No		
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes No		
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions		
(check ☐ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No		
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? Yes No		
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration? 🗌 Yes 🔲 No		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership		
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2]		
a) installation of any new process equipment?		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
recent notification form? Yes No		
If any of the answers to $1a$) -1) d is \underline{Yes} , a new registration form and appropriate fee should have been submitted 30 days prior to the change		
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?		
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance? Yes No		
PART VI: Comments		
O&M Plan		
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to: (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device; (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the		
permit applicant;		
(5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:a. When maintenance and observations were performed;		
b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and		
c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.		
d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]		
Comments: Drive by inspection was conducted. There was no activity onsite. The facility building yard had over growing		
vegetation on it. The facility entrance was padlocked. Mr. There was no one onsite. Mr. Jones sends a letter to the AQ		
Division office regarding the long term SHUTDOWN.		

Exit Interview:	
Mike Ojo Thomas	9/10/13
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Inspection H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030116 001 87516.doc	

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06