

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
FACILITY: Cemex Construction Materials Florida, LLC		DISTRICT:	
DBA/Site Name: Saint Petersburg North RM Facility		Southwest	
ADDRESS: 1700 22nd Street North		CONTACT PHONE:	
		813-269-1240	
St. Petersburg, FL ARMS NO: PERMIT NO:		Expiration Date: 10/12/13	
	1030116-005-AG	Renewal Date: 9/12/13	
1030116 001		Test Date: 4/15/00	
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Concrete Batch Plant: Double Compartment Cement Storage Silo, Fly Ash Silo and Truck Loadout Operation, Controlled by a Griffin Environmental, Inc., C&W Model CP900 Central Dust Collector			
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (cl	heck only one box)	
5/15/2009	🛛 In Compliance; 🔲 Minor Non-Comp		
	PART I: General Review:		
1. Permit File Review		Yes No	
2. Introduction and Entry		Yes No	
Comments: Drive by inspection was conducted. There was no activity onsite. The facility entrance was padlocked. Mr. Jones the facility manager stated that the facility is in long term SHUTDOWN. He stated he will send a letter to the AQ Division office regarding the long term SHUTDOWN at later date.			
3. <i>Is</i> the Authorized Representative still <u>Jason Jones</u> ? <i>Comments: Drive by inspection was conducted. There was no activity onsite. The facility entrance was padlocked</i>			
4. Is the facility contact still Jason Jones? Yes No			
Comments: Drive by inspection was conducted. There was no activity onsite. The facility entrance was padlocked			
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Yes No [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes Yes Yes			
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
Compliance Demonstration 1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No			
 2. Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point tested within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test? Yes No 			
Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit? Yes No The last visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. [62-296.414(1) F.A.C.]			
4. Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A	A.C.] Yes 🗌 No	
	the department as soon as practical, but no late		
6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes 🗌 No			
7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,			

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.] Yes No			
 8. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9			
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]			
 10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according? Yes No a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard? Yes No 			
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.			
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary; 2) a relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable			
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check only one box.)			
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concreted batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:			
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.] Yes No b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.] Yes No			
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons 2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons 3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet 4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals % of all fuels			
 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and <i>are</i> these records, available for Department inspection, for a period of at least five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.] 			
Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.) 1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)			
 If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below 2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation? Yes No 			
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>			
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control			
unconfined emissions Yes No Which of the following methods are used:			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?			
<i>emissions? Yes No</i> 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?			

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u> (sheek			
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of			
<i>a)</i> reduction of stock pile height, or installation of while breaks to mitigate while entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?			
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions			
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
Administrative Changes:			
1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative			
not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ∇			
units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No			
<u>Permit Effective Period</u> – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]			
1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?			
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration? Yes No			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership			
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2]			
a) installation of any new process equipment? Ves 🗌 No			
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? \Box Yes \Box No			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? \Box Yes \Box No			
recent notification form? Yes No d) Change in ownership Yes No			
If the any of the answers to $1a - 1d$ is <u>Yes</u> to any, a new registration form and appropriate fee should			
have been submitted 30 days prior to the change			
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]			
1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or			
limitation of the air general permit? \Box Yes \Box No			
If the answer is <u>Yes</u> , proceed to a) and b).			
a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?			
b) Did the notification include: $1 \leq A$ description of and enumeration of a and a we have $a \leq A$ and $a \leq A$.			
<i>1.</i> A description of and cause of noncompliance? Yes No 2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to			
<i>2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance? Section No.</i>			
PART VI: <u>Comments</u>			
<u>O&M Plan</u>			
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M			
plan shall include, but is not limited to:			
(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;			
 (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; (2) Time table for routine periodic characteristics of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure properties. 			
 (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the 			
(4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant;			
(5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:			
a. When maintenance and observations were performed;			
b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and			
c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.			
d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.			
[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]			

Reviewed records for the months of _____

Comments:

Drive by inspection was conducted. There was no activity onsite. The facility entrance was padlocked

The facility entrance was padlocked. Mr. Jones the facility manager stated that the facility is in long term SHUTDOWN.

 Inspector's Signature
 Approximate Date of Next Inspection

 H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030116 001 67007.doc