

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INS	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)					
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
FACILITY: Davis Concrete, Inc.			DISTRICT:			
DB	A/Site Name: Davis Concrete, Inc	Southwest				
ADDRESS: 968 Douglas Avenue CO			CONTACT PHONE:			
Dunedin, FL			727-733-3141			
ARMS NO:		PERMIT NO:	<b>Expiration Date:</b> 11/30/13			
1030113 001		1030113-003-AG	Renewal Date: 10/31/13			
			<b>Test Date:</b> 2/6/00			
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Cement Storage Silo No. 1: 280 Barrel Capacity Silo (North), Emissions Controlled by a Stephens manufacturing Company, Model SV-170 Baghouse						
INS	PECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)				
03	3/30/09	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compliance; ☐ Significant Non-Compliance				
		PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review		⊠Yes □ No			
2.	Introduction and Entry		□Yes ⊠ No			
Comments: This facility has been shut down, the silos have been removed.						
3.	B. Is the Authorized Representative still L. Douglas Davis?  Comments:					
4.	4. Is the facility contact still L. Douglas Davis?  Comments:					
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]						
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)						
Compliance Demonstration  1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No						
2.   Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point tested within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?						
Test Reports  3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit? Yes □ No The last visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.  [62-296.414(1) F.A.C.]						
4.	4. Was the department notified at least 15 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C.]					
5.	5. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)					
6.	6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes No					
	7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]					
8. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9					
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.] Yes No b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]					
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]					
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according?					
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.					
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)  1. Is this facility: 1) a  stationary; 2) a  relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable					
1. Is this facility: 1) a ☐ stationary; 2) a ☐ relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, ☐ stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check only one box.)					
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concreted batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:					
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]					
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons	□ NO				
<ul> <li>2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals</li> <li>3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals</li> </ul>					
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals % of all fuels					
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records, available for Department inspection, for a period of at least					
five (5) years? $[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d.$ , $F.A.C.]$	No				
Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)  1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or					
stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)	No				
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?	No				
b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?	No				
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below 2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at					
least five (5) business days prior to relocation? Yes	No				
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>					
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)  1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control					
unconfined emissions	No No				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:  1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control  emissions?					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?					

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes No				
b) use of spray bar, chaire, or partial enclosure to miligate emissions at the arop point to the truck: 1es 1 No				
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes:				
1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative				
not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions				
units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility $\square$ Yes $\square$ No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] $\square$ Yes $\square$ No				
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]				
1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? Yes No				
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration?				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership				
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2]				
a) installation of any new process equipment? \(\text{Yes}\) No				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes No				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most				
recent notification form? Yes No				
d) Change in ownership Tyes No				
If the any of the answers to $1a(-1)d$ is <u>Yes</u> to any, a new registration form and appropriate fee should				
have been submitted 30 days prior to the change No				
<u>Noncompliance Notice:</u> - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]				
1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or				
limitation of the air general permit? Yes No				
If the answer is $\underline{Yes}$ , proceed to a) and b).				
a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?				
b) Did the notification include:				
1. A description of and cause of noncompliance? Yes No				
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to				

PART VI: Comments

O&M Plan	
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accord plan shall include, but is not limited to:  (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device; (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device; (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution permit applicant; (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:  a. When maintenance and observations were performed; b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and c. Who performed said maintenance and observations. d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]  **Reviewed records for the months of	ce as specified by the manufacturer; device sufficient to ensure proper operation; lution control device which are stored on the premises of the
Comments: This facility has been shut down, the silos have been rem confirms the statements made by Mr. Davis in a letter received by DEN	
Exit Interview:	
Chris Brodeur	03/30/09
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
	N/A Facility closed.
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06