

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ⊠ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) □						
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
FACILITY: City of St. Petersburg, Stormwater, Pavement and Traffic Operations			DISTRICT:			
DBA/Site Name: Cement Storage Silo			Southwest			
ADDRESS: 1650 3rd Avenue North			CONTACT PHONE:			
	St. Petersburg, FL		727-892-5662			
AR	MS NO:	PERMIT NO:	<b>Expiration Date:</b> 4/25/18			
	1030107 001	1030107-004-AG	Renewal Date: 3/26/18			
			<b>Test Date:</b> 3/19/00			
	<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Concrete Batch Plant: 1 Belgrade Steel 270 BBL cement silo controlled by a Belgrade Steel baghouse					
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	$eck \square only one box)$			
7	-9-14		iance; Significant Non-Compliance			
		PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review		⊠Yes ☐ No			
2.	Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No			
	Comments: This inspection was performed in order to determine if this facility has been operating within applicable regulations. Ms. Jossie Espinosa (City worker) was present during the facility inspection of the emission unit.					
3.	Is the Authorized Representative st		\(\times \text{Yes}  \text{No} \)			
٥.	Comments: Mr. Fortney stills the Aut	<del></del>	∑103 <u> </u>			
	The e-mail address is: jerry.fortney	•				
4.	Is the facility contact still: Jossie R	Č	⊠Yes ☐ No			
	Comments: Ms. Espinosa stills the fa					
	The e-mail address is: jossie.rodriguez@stpete.org					
5.	5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Yes No [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]					
	PART II: T	ESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.4	14, F.A.C.			
	(check □ appropriate bo	x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in				
	npliance Demonstration					
1.		<b>uipment</b> — (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.4				
	Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No  2. <b>Existing Facilities</b> – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits)					
		nce, was an annual visible emissions test condu				
		(annually thereafter) of the previous visible em				
	compliance test.	Test Reports	Z 163			
	3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit? Yes No  The last visible emission test, conducted on 3/13/14 resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest					
	ix minute average. [62-296.414(1) F.A.					
		days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.				
		ne department as soon as practical, but no later				
6.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s)	conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-2	$97.401(9)(c)$ , F.A.C] $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No			
	7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]					

PART II: TESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.					
(check $\square$ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
8. Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer					
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9.) Yes No					
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.]					
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and					
duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]	\[ \] Yes \[ \] No				
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate	v				
the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batch					
at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.] $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No					
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9?   Yes   No					
<ul> <li>a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.</li> <li>b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard?</li></ul>	\( \text{Yes} \) \( \text{No} \)				
b) Du me lesi maicule me jacuny is operaning in compliance win me 570 opacny sianaara:	Tes 110				
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b)	), F.A.C.				
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompli					
1. Is this facility: 1) a ⊠ stationary; 2) a ☐ relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, ☐ stationary and reloc	atable				
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check $\Box$ only one box.)					
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete bat	ching plants				
or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:					
<ul> <li>a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]</li> <li>b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages</li> </ul>	<u>  Yes   No</u>				
listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]	Yes No				
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons					
2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons					
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas — usage equals cubic feet 4) 1.3 million gallons of propane — usage equals gallons					
<ul> <li>4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons</li> <li>5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals %</li> </ul>	of all fuels				
	of air jucis				
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months,	and				
are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?	arta				
[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]	☐ Yes ☐ No				
<b>Relocation Notification</b> - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)					
1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or					
stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)					
a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?	$\square V_{as} \square N_{a}$				
b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6))	Tes INO				
to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?	Yes No				
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below					
2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at	D., D.,				
least five (5) business days prior to relocation?					
PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)					
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompl	iance)				
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control					
unconfined emissions					
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following	llowing:				
1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control					
emissions?					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operat re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?					
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	<u>Ies I 140</u>				
particulate matter from stock piles?					

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>	
(check ☐ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncomplian	nce)
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠ Yes □ No

PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions			
(check $\square$ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No  2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No			
Permit Effective Period − [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]  1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?			
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership			
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2]  a) installation of any new process equipment?			
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]  1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?			
PART VI: Comments			
O&M Plan			
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to:  (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device; (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant; (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:  a. When maintenance and observations were performed; b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and c. Who performed said maintenance and observations. d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.  [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]			
Comments: Reviewed records for the months of 8/1/13 through 7/9/14, the records were in compliance. See attached copies of			
the operation and maintenance checklist record. I was not able to perform a visible emissions test at the time, as no tankers were			
on site, and not batching at the time of inspection. I asked Ms. Espinosa to contact AQ Division office next time they plan to load			
silo.			

Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I told	Ms. Espinosa this emission unit appears to be in compliance.
Mike Ojo Thomas	_ 7/9/14
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air_Complianc	e\AQI\1030107 001 88718.doc

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06