

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ⊠ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) □						
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
FA	CILITY: City of St. Petersburg, Stor	DISTRICT:				
DB	A/Site Name: Cement Storage Sil	Southwest				
ADDRESS: 1650 3rd Avenue North			CONTACT PHONE:			
St. Petersburg, FL			727-892-5662			
ARMS NO:		PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 4/25/18			
1030107 001		1030107-004-AG	Renewal Date: 3/26 Test Date:	5/18 3/19/00		
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Concrete Batch Plant: 1 Belgrade Steel 270 BBL cement silo controlled by a Belgrade Steel baghouse						
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	eck 🗆 only one box)			
7	7-17-13	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compl	•	on-Compliance		
		PART I: General Review:		-		
1.	Permit File Review			⊠Yes ☐ No		
2.	Introduction and Entry			⊠Yes ☐ No		
	Comments: This inspection was performed in order to determine if this facility has been operating within applicable regulations. Mrs. Jossie Rodriguez (Manager) was present during the facility inspection of the emission unit. He stated Mr. Carl Blahut has retired from City of St. Petersburg. According to Mrs. Rodriquez the Authorized Representative had been changed to Jerry E. Fortney.					
3.						
	Comments: Authorized Representative had been changed to Jerry E. Fortney. The e-mail address is: carl.blahut@stpete.org					
4.	1 0			⊠Yes ☐ No		
	Comments:					
	The e-mail address is: jossie.rodrigu	uez@stpete.org				
5.	5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Yes No [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]					
PART II: TESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.						
		x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in)		
Compliance Demonstration 1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?						
	computance test:	Test Reports		- Mres Mo		
		lemonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opac		⊠ Yes □ No		
2	The last visible emission test, conducted o	on 3/19/13 resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> 9		·		
4.	six minute average. [62-296.414(1) F.A Was the department notified at least 15	.C.] days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.	C.]	⊠ Yes □ No		
5.		he department as soon as practical, but no later		⊠ Yes □ No		
6.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s)	conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-2	97.401(9)(c), F.A.C]	⊠ Yes □ No		
<i>7</i> .	During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted					

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]				
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]				
DADT III. ODED ATING/DECODD/JEEDING DECUIDEMENTS D. 1. 62 210 210(5)(b) E A C				
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
1. Is this facility: 1) a \boxtimes stationary; 2) a \square relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, \square stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check \square only one box.)				
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]				
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]				
Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.) 1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)				
2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation? Yes No				
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u> (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions				
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?				

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>				
(check \(\preceq\) appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of				
particulate matter from stock piles?				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?				
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions				
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative				
not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions				
units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility \(\Delta \) Yes \(\Delta \) No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] \(\Delta \) Yes \(\Delta \) No				
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]				
1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? 🖂 Yes 🔲 No				
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership				
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2]				
a) installation of any new process equipment?				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most				
recent notification form? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No				
d) Change in ownership				
If any of the answers to $1a$) – 1) d is \underline{Yes} , a new registration form and appropriate fee should have been submitted 30 days prior to the change				
<u>Noncompliance Notice:</u> - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]				
1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?				
If the answer is Yes , proceed to a) and b).				
a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?				
b) Did the notification include: 1. A description of and cause of noncompliance? No				
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to				
continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance? Yes No				
PART VI: Comments				
O&M Plan				
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M				
plan shall include, but is not limited to:				
(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;				
(2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;(3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;				
(4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the				
permit applicant;				
(5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:a. When maintenance and observations were performed;				
b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and				
c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.				
d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.				
[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]				
Comments: Reviewed records for the months of 8/1/12 through 7/17/13, the records were in compliance. See				

attached copies of the operation and maintenance checklist record. I was not able to perform a visible emissions test at the time, as no tankers were on site, and not batching at the time of inspection. I asked Mr. Berry to contact AQ Division office next time

they plan to load silo.	
Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I told Mrs.	Rodriquez this emission unit appears to be in compliance.
Mike Ojo Thomas	_ 7/17/2013
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air_Compliance\A	

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06