

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ⊠ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) □							
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
FA	CILITY: City of St. Petersburg, Stor	DISTRICT:					
DB	A/Site Name: Cement Storage Sil	Southwest					
ADDRESS: 1635 3rd Avenue North			CONTACT PHONE:				
	St. Petersburg, FL		727-892-5662				
AR	MS NO:	PERMIT NO:	<b>Expiration Date:</b> 7/13/2013				
	1030107 001	1030107-003-AG	Renewal Date: 6/13/2013				
				3/19/2000			
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Concrete Batch Plant: 1 Belgrade Steel 270 BBL cement silo controlled by a Belgrade Steel baghouse							
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	eck 🗆 only one box)				
7	/27/12		iance;   Significant Nor	n-Compliance			
		PART I: General Review:					
1.	Permit File Review		_	Yes No			
2.	Introduction and Entry			Yes No			
	1 1	rmed in order to determine if this facility has b ity worker) was present during the facility insp					
3.	Is the Authorized Representative st			Yes No			
	Comments: Mr. Blahut stills the Authorized Representative						
	The e-mail address is:		N-7	1 x			
4.	Is the facility contact still: Jossie Est Comments: Ms. Espinosa stills the facility of the fa	•	K	Yes No			
	The e-mail address is:	icuity contact.					
5.							
	[62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
	PART II: T	ESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.4	14. F.A.C.				
	(check □ appropriate bo	x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in					
1.		uipment– (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.4					
	Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?						
	2. Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust						
	collector exhaust point within 365 days	(annually thereafter) of the previous visible em	issions				
	compliance test?	Test Reports		⊠ Yes ∐ No			
3.	3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit? 🖂 Yes 🔲 No						
	the last visible emission test, conducted of the conducte	on $3/18/11$ resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}\%$ for the $C.1$	e nignest				
		days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.	C.]	⊠ Yes □ No			
5.		ne department as soon as practical, but no later		⊠ Yes □ No			
6.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s)	conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-2	97.401(9)(c), F.A.C]	⊠ Yes □ No			
	at a rate that is representative of the nor	dust collector exhaust points was the loading of mal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum	n 25 tons per hour rate,				
	unless such rate is unachievable in prac	tice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]		∑ Yes ☐ No			

PART II: TESTING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.					
(check $\square$ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
8. Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer					
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9.) \[ Yes \] No					
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.] $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No					
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and					
duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.] $\square$ Yes $\square$ No					
If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from					
the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching					
at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.] $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No					
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? 🗌 Yes 🔯 No					
a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $n/a$ % for the highest six minute average.					
b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No					
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C. (check   appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
Is this facility: 1) a $\boxtimes$ stationary; 2) a $\square$ relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, $\square$ stationary and relocatable					
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check $\square$ only one box.)					
For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching plants					
or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:					
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]					
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages					
listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]					
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons 2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons					
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet					
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons					
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals % of all fuels					
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to					
account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and					
are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?					
[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]					
<u>Relocation Notification</u> - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)					
Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or					
stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)					
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location? Yes No					
b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6))					
to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation? Yes No					
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below					
Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at					
least five (5) business days prior to relocation? Yes No					
PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)					
(check $\square$ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control					
unconfined emissions					
Which of the following methods are used: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:					
1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ N					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control					
emissions? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ N					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to					
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ N 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of					
particulate matter from stock piles? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ N					

PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)				
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	□ No			

PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions  (about appropriate bay(as) if a shaded bay is cheeked, this would indicate page appropriate bay(as) if a shaded bay is cheeked, this would indicate page appropriate bay(as) if a shaded bay is cheeked, this would indicate page appropriate bay(as).				
(check   appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility  2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]				
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]  1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?	ĭ Yes ☐ No			
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?	Yes No			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership				
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2]  a) installation of any new process equipment?  b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?  d) Change in ownership	☐ Yes ⊠ No ☐ Yes ⊠ No ☐ Yes ⊠ No			
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]  1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any colimitation of the air general permit?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ is expected to			
PART VI: Comments				
O&M Plan				
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to:  (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device; (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant; (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:  a. When maintenance and observations were performed; b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and c. Who performed said maintenance and observations. d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.  [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]				
Comments: Reviewed records for the months of 7/15/11 through 7/27/12, the records were in compliance. See attack	hed copies of			
the operation and maintenance checklist record. The O&M Plan is been review pending approval.				
I was not able to perform a visible emissions test at the time, as no tankers were on site, and not batching at the time	of inspection.			

I asked Mr. Anderson to contact AQ Division office next time they plan to load silo.

xit Interview: During the closing conference, I told M	Mr. Anderson this emission unit appears to be in compliance.
Mike Ojo Thomas	7/27/12
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06