

## <u>HUMAN CREMATORY</u> COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



IN	SPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS	1, INS2) 🛛 COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	RY (CI) Complianc			
	RE-INSPECTIC	ON (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
FA	CILITY: Curlew Hills Memory Gard	` /	DISTRICT:			
	BA/Site Name: Curlew Hills Memo	ry Gardens	Southwest			
ΑĽ	<b>DDRESS:</b> 1750 Curlew Rd.		CONTACT PHONE:			
	Palm Harbor, FL		7727-87-7890			
AR	RMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 9/10/17			
	1030096 002	1030096-005-AG	Renewal Date:         8/11/17           Test Date:         12/17/00			
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Human Crematory: B&L Systems, Inc., Model Phoenix II, Serial No. 418-201-98, 350 lb maximum batch. Secondary Chamber 1,600 degrees minimum						
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	$heck \Box only one box)$			
3	3-27-14	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compl	liance; Significant Non-Compliance			
		PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review		∑Yes □ No			
2.	Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No			
3.	Comments: This emission unit was inspected to determine the annual compliance status. I met with the crematory manager, Mr. Dave Schramel the incinerator operator for the inspection of the facility and emission unit.  3. Is the Authorized Representative still: Keenan L. Knopke?  Comments: Mr. Keenan L. Knopke stills the Authorized Representative.  The e-mail address is: kknopke@curlewhill.com					
4.	Is the facility contact still: David So		⊠Yes □ No			
	Comments: Mr. David Tremland still	ls the facility contact.				
_	The e-mail address is: dsc@curlewh					
5.	If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]	he facility provide an administrative update v	within 30 days? Yes No			
		ESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 40 ox(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in				
Compliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)(h), F.A.C.]  1. □ New Facility / □ New Process Equipment—  Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?   Yes □ No						
2.	⊠ Existing Facilities  Was an annual visible emissions compliance test conducted on each crematory unit for each calendar year: ⊠ Yes □ No					
	Test Reports  1. Does the submitted visible emission test(s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity, sixminute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour period? [62-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C.]					
2.	Was the test conducted with the unit ope	erating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cada	$(5)(g) \  \   \  \   \  \   \   \   \  $			
3.	Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297,310(4)(a)9, F.A.	.C.] \(\times Yes \) No			
1	•	and prior to the test [92 25, 1616(1)(a)51111	.c.j \( \sigma \) Ies \( \sigma \) No			

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
5.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C]		□ No	
٥.				
6.	a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity ofn/a% for the highest six minute average.			
	b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the opacity standard?	<u> </u> Yes	$\square$ No	
7.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?		⊠ No	
	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	`		
	(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance	e)		
1.		Yes	$\bowtie$ No	
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:  Downwind odor level detected(1-10)			
2.	Continuous Monitoring System – [62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.]			
	a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	🛛 Yes	☐ No	
	b) Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence	N 11		
	time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1$ $\square 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	🖂 Yes	☐ No	
	1) All temperature measurements	X Yes	$\bigcap$ No	
	2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;			
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations		$\square$ No	
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on (3-10-14)	X Yes	$\bigcup_{N} No$	
	4) Adjustments5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices		$\square$ No $\square$ No	
	6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices		$\square$ No	
	7) Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of			
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings		$\square$ No	
	8) Are all the above records available for at least 2 years?		$\square$ No	
	a) Date range for records reviewed: From:3/1/13 To:3/27/20149) Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If yes, go to 9) a) - c)		⊠ No	
	a) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically	<u> </u> Ies	M NO	
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	X Yes	$\bigcap$ No	
	b) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity	<del></del>		
	exceeds 15% opacity?	X Yes	$\square$ No	
	c) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in	<b>□</b> 17		
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	🖂 res	$\square$ No	
3.	1 – Application received on or after 8/30/89; 2 – Application received prior to 8/30/89  Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(5)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one □ box)			
ς.	a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5) b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5)			
1				
4.	a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ $1600^{\circ}F$ ?	TYes	$\bigcap$ No	
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	105		
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	\[ \text{Yes}	$\square$ No	
	c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature			
	is equal to or greater than $1400^{\circ}F$ ?	<u> </u> Yes	$\square$ No	
5.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:			
	a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	_		
	@ 1800° F?	X Yes	$\square$ No	
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	<b>₩</b>	_ <b>\</b> \/ -	
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	🖂 res		
	c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1600 F</b> before the cremation			

2 of 3 Revised 05/08

NA PROME ARRIVA PROGRAMMENTAL DEGLINE MENTO				
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS  (check - appropriate boy(as) if a shaded boy is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)  process begins in the primary chamber?				
process begins in the primary chamber:	· M les 110			
6. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet?[62-296.401(5)(d), F.A.C.]	- ⊠ Yes □ No			
<ul> <li>a) If the answer to question 6 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of their use and for at least two years after their use?</li></ul>				
PART IV: Equipment Maintenance				
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
<b>Equipment Maintenance:</b> – [62-296.401(5)(e), F.A.C.]				
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	- ⊠ Yes □ No			
2. Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years?				
3. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	$\nabla V_{20} \square N_0$			
4. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	- 🖂 Yes 🔲 No - 🖂 Yes 🔲 No			
If yes go to a) – b) a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift?b) Was the flame adjusted when necessary?				
DADT V. Special Conditions And Procedures				
PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No  2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No				
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]  1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?	- ⊠ Yes □ No			
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?	- Yes No			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership - [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C]				
C Since the last registration form submittal has there been  a) Installation of any new process equipment?	- ☐ Yes ☒ No - ☐ Yes ☒ No - ☐ Yes ☒ No			
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]  1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any colimitation of the air general permit?	ondition or - □ Yes ⊠ No			

3 of 3 Revised 05/08

<ol> <li>A description of and cause of noncompliance?</li> <li>Dates and times of noncompliance; or if not corrected, the and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reduced.</li> </ol>				
PART VI: Comments  No visible emissions test was performed during this inspection. Reviewed temperature charts for the months of 3/1/13 through				
kept onsite. See attached copies of the emission unit calibration	sheet.			
Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I informed Mr. David Schramel, facility appears to be in compliance at this t ime.				
Mike Ojo Thomas	3/27/14			
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection			
Inspector's Signature H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030090	Approximate Date of Next Inspection 6 002 88615.doc			

4 of 3 Revised 05/08