

## HUMAN CREMATORY COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



IN	SPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS)	1, INS2) 🛛 COMPLAINT/DISCOVER		Compliance
<u>11 v</u>	<u>SPECTION TYPE</u> : ANNUAL (INST RE-INSPECTIO		. ,	
FA	CILITY: Curlew Hills Memory Gard		DISTRICT:	
	A/Site Name: Curlew Hills Memor		Southwest	
	<b>DRESS:</b> 1750 Curlew Rd.	Curucity	CONTACT PHONE	E:
	Palm Harbor, FL		7727-87-7890	
AR	RMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date:	9/10/2017
	1030096 002	1030096-005-AG	Renewal Date:	8/11/2017
<u> </u>	1050090 002	1030070-003-243	Test Date:	12/17/2000
	<i>MISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION</i> : Hu 0 lb maximum batch. Secondary Cha	uman Crematory: B&L Systems, Inc., Mode amber 1,600 degrees minimum	el Phoenix II, Serial N	No. 418-201-98,
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	ieck 🗆 only one box)	
1	-31-13	🖾 In Compliance; 🔲 Minor Non-Compl	liance; 🗌 Significant l	Non-Compliance
		PART I: General Review:		
1.	Permit File Review			Yes No
2.	Introduction and Entry		_	⊠Yes □No
	crematory manager, Mr. Dave Se emission unit.	s inspected to determine the annual comp Schramel the incinerator operator for the		cility and
3.	Is the Authorized Representative sti	ill: Keenan L. Knopke?		Yes No
	<i>Comments:</i> The e-mail address is: kknopke@cu	-lawhill com		
4.	Is the facility contact still: David Sc			Yes No
	Comments:	silianet.		
	The e-mail address is: dsc@curlewh	nill.com		
5.	If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did th [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]	he facility provide an administrative update v	within 30 days?	Yes No
		STING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 40 x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in		xe)
1.	npliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)( New Facility / New Process Equip Did this facility demonstrate initial comp		peration?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
2.	<b>Existing Facilities</b> Was an annual visible emissions complie	iance test conducted on each crematory unit for	each calendar year:	🛛 Yes 🔲 No
1.	minute average, except that visible emissis six minutes in any one-hour period? [62]	(s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent of signs not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allow $2-296.401(5)(b)1$ , F.A.C.]	ved for up to	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
2.	Was the test conducted with the unit ope	erating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cada	wer? [62-296.401(5)(g)	)] 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
3.	Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.	. <i>C.</i> ]	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
		he department as soon as practical, but no later		🛛 Yes 🗌 No

	PART II: TESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C.			
	(check  appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance			
5.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C]	🔀 Yes	s 🛄 .	No
6.	Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\n/a\\%$ for the highest six minute average.	🗌 Yes	s 🛛 .	No
	b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the opacity standard?	🗌 Yes	s 🔲 .	No
7.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?	🗌 Yes	s 🖂 .	No
	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS			
	(check $\Box$ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance	.)		
1.	Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	- 🗌 Yes	s 🖂 .	No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:		-	
	Downwind odor level detected- $0_{;}$ Wind direction - $N_{,}$ Upwind odor level detected- (1-10)			
2.	Continuous Monitoring System – [62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.]			
	a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	$\nabla V_{a}$		No
	b) Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence		, <u> </u>	100
	time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1 \square 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	- 🛛 Yes	s 🔲 .	No
	c) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the			
	recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports and records? 1) All temperature measurements	$\nabla V_{a}$	s 🔲 .	No
	2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;		» <u> </u>	110
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations			No
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on <u>(1-30-12)</u>			No
	<ul> <li>4) Adjustments</li> <li>5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices</li> </ul>			No No
	6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	$-\boxtimes Ie$	° H .	No
	7) Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of			
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	🔀 Yes	s 🔲 .	No
	8) Are all the above records available for at least 2 years?	- 🛛 Yes	s 🛄 .	No
	a) Date range for records reviewed: From:2/1/12 To:1/31/13 9) Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If yes, go to 9) a) – c)	🗌 Ye	s 🖂 .	No
	a) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically			
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?			
	<i>b) Is the</i> system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity ?	$\Box V_{\alpha}$		Mo
	c) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in		,	100
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	🗌 Yes	s 🔲 .	No
	1 - Application received on or after 8/30/89; $2 - $ Application received prior to 8/30/89			
3.	Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(5)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one □ box) a) □ <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5) b) ☑ <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5)			
4.	If the application to construct was <b><u>BEFORE</u></b> August 30, 1989 is the:			
	a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	🗌 Yes	s 🔲 .	No
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1400^{\circ}F$			3.7
	<ul><li>throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li><li>c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature</li></ul>		s 🔲 .	NO
	is equal to or greater than $1400^{\circ}F$ ?	🗌 Ye	s 🔲 .	No
5.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:			
	a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	_		
	(a) $1800^{\circ} F?$	🛛 Yes	s 🔲 .	No
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1600°F</b> throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	🕅 Va	۰	No
	c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation		, 🛄 .	110

	PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> (check  appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)	)	
	process begins in the primary chamber?	- 🛛 Yes 🗌 No	
6.	<ul> <li>Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet?[62-296.401(5)(d), F.A.C.]</li> <li>a) If the answer to question 6 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of</li> </ul>	Yes 🗌 No	
	<ul> <li>their use and for at least two years after their use?</li> <li>b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at this location?</li> </ul>		

## PART IV: <u>Equipment Maintenance</u>

(check [] appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)

Equipment Maintenance: – [62-296.401(5)(e), F.A.C.]

1.	Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? 🖂 Yes 🔲 No
2.	Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years? 🖂 Yes 🔲 No
3.	Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? X Yes No Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?
4.	Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No If yes go to a) – b)
	<ul> <li>a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? X Yes No</li> <li>b) Was the flame adjusted when necessary? X Yes No</li> </ul>

## **PART V:** Special Conditions And Procedures (check $\Box$ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)

## **Administrative Changes:**

<ol> <li>Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No</li> <li>If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No</li> </ol>
Permit Effective Period       - [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]         1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration? 🗌 Yes 🔲 No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership - [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C]
C Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?
<ul> <li>Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]</li> <li>1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? □ Yes □ No If the answer is Yes, proceed to a) and b).</li> <li>a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department? □ Yes □ No b) Did the notification include:</li> </ul>

PAR	RT VI: <u>Comments</u>
o visible emissions test was performed during this inspec	tion.
Reviewed temperature charts for the months of 2/1/2012 th	hrough 1/31/2013 indicated emission unit in compliance.
The emission unit was calibrated on 1-30-2012. See attack	hed copies of the emission unit calibration sheet.
Certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they	y are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastic was kept
onsite.	
Exit Interview: During the closing conference. I informed	Mr. David Schramel. facility appears to be in compliance at this t ime
Exit Interview: <b>During the closing conference, I informed</b>	Mr. David Schramel, facility appears to be in compliance at this t ime
Exit Interview: <b>During the closing conference, I informed</b>	Mr. David Schramel, facility appears to be in compliance at this t ime
Exit Interview: <b>During the closing conference, I informed</b>	<i>Mr. David Schramel, facility appears to be in compliance at this t ime</i> .
Exit Interview: <b>During the closing conference, I informed</b>	<i>Mr. David Schramel, facility appears to be in compliance at this t ime</i>
Exit Interview: <b>During the closing conference, I informed</b>	<i>Mr. David Schramel, facility appears to be in compliance at this t ime</i>
Exit Interview: <b>During the closing conference, I informed</b>	<i>Mr. David Schramel, facility appears to be in compliance at this t ime</i>
Mike Ojo Thomas	
Mike Ojo Thomas	

1. A description of and cause of noncompliance?----- 🗌 Yes 🗌 No

and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance? ------ Yes 🗌 No

2. Dates and times of noncompliance; or if not corrected, the anticipated time noncompliance is expected to continue