

<u>HUMAN CREMATORY</u> COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) 🖾 COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) 📋							
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:							
FA	ACILITY: Curlew Hills Memory Gard	DISTRICT:					
DI	BA/Site Name:	Southwest					
Al	DDRESS: 1750 Curlew Rd.	CONTACT PHONE	Σ:				
Palm Harbor, FL			787-7890				
Αŀ	RMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date:	12/8/2012			
	1030096 002	1030096-004-AG	Renewal Date:	11/8/2012			
	1030090 002	1000000 001110	Test Date:	12/20/2000			
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Human Crematory: B&L Systems, Inc., Model Phoenix II, Serial No. 418-201-98, 350 lb maximum batch. Secondary Chamber 1,600 degrees minimum							
IN	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check □ only one box)					
	1/20/2012	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compliance; ☐ Significant Non-Compliance					
		PART I: General Review:					
1.	Permit File Review			⊠Yes □ No			
2.	Introduction and Entry			⊠Yes □ No			
Comments: This emission unit was inspected to determine the annual compliance status. I met with the crematory manager, Mr. Dave Schramel the incinerator operator for the inspection of the facility and emission unit. 3. Is the Authorized Representative still Keenan L. Knopke?							
٥.	Comments: Mr. Keenan L. Knopke str						
4.	Is the facility contact still David Tro	*		⊠Yes □ No			
	Comments: Mr. David Tremland still.	s the facility contact.					
5.	5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]						
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C. (check ☐ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)							
Compliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)(h), F.A.C.] 1. New Facility / New Process Equipment— Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No							
2.	☐ Existing Facilities Was an annual visible emissions compliance test conducted on each crematory unit for each calendar year: ☒ Yes ☐ No						
1.	minute average, except that visible emis six minutes in any one-hour period? [6.	(s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent of sions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allow 2-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C.]an opacity of0_% for the highest six mi	ved for up to	🛚 Yes 🔲 No			
2.	Was the test conducted with the unit ope	erating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cada	wer? [62-296.401(5)(g)] 🛛 Yes 🔲 No			
3.	Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A	.C.]	⊠ Yes □ No			
4.		ne department as soon as practical, but no later		⊠ Yes □ No			
5.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s)	conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-2]	97.401(9)(c), F.A.C]	⊠ Yes □ No			

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
6. 7.		□ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No	
	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS			
	(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
1.	Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	- Yes	⊠ No	
2.	 a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	🛛 Yes	□ No	
	1) All temperature measurements	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	 No No No 	
	 4) Adjustments	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ <i>No</i> ☐ <i>No</i> ☐ <i>No</i>	
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings8) Are all the above records available for at least 2 years?	X Yes	☐ No ☐ No	
	a) Date range for records reviewed: From:4/1/11 To:1/20/12 9) Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If yes, go to 9) a) - c)			
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?		 No No	
	c) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?		☐ No	
	1 – Application received on or after 8/30/89; 2 – Application received prior to 8/30/89			
3.	Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(5)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one □ box) a) □ BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5) b) □ ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5)			
4.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F? b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	Yes	☐ No	
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?			
5.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1800° F?			
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	_		
	c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber?			

2 of 3 Revised 05/08

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS						
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)						
	,					
6. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet?	- ⊠ Yes □ No					
their use and for at least two years after their use?b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at this location?						
PART IV: Equipment Maintenance						
(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
Equipment Maintenance: – [62-296.401(5)(e), F.A.C.]						
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?						
2. Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years?	- ⊠ Yes ∐ No					
3. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	- 🛛 Yes 🔲 No					
4. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	- X Yes No					
 a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b) Was the flame adjusted when necessary? 	- X Yes No					
PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]						
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?	- ⊠ Yes □ No					
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?						
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership - [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C]						
C Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?	-					
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any configuration of the air general permit? If the answer is Yes, proceed to a) and b). a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department? b) Did the notification include: 1. A description of and cause of noncompliance?	- ☐ Yes ☐ No - ☐ Yes ☐ No					

3 of 3 Revised 05/08

and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurre	ence of the noncompliance? Yes No			
PART VI: <u>C</u> c	omments			
No visible emissions test was performed during this inspection.				
Reviewed temperature charts for the months of 4/1/11 through 1/20/	12.			
See attached copies of the emission unit calibration sheet.				
Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I informed Mr. David Schramel, facility appears to be in compliance at this t ime.				
Mike Ojo Thomas	_ 1/20/12			
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection			
Inspector's Signature H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030096 002 8073	Approximate Date of Next Inspection 0.doc			

4 of 3 Revised 05/08