

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

February 9, 2012

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL hank.belcher@preferredmaterials.com

Mr. Hank Belcher Preferred Materials, Inc. 900 Ashwood Parkway, Suite 700 Panama City, Florida 30338

Dear Mr. Belcher:

On February 1, 2012, Department representatives with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Preferred Materials Panama City Beach Concrete Batch Plant ID 0050037. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or by email at mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Clifford D. Wilson III, P.E.

Panama City Branch Administrator

CDW/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>)

Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us)

Mr. Kevin Harrington, Preferred Materials (<u>kharrington@preferredmaterials.com</u>)



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2 RE-INSPECTION (FUI		· / —		
AIRS ID#: 0050037 DATE: <u>2/1/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:30</u>	DEPART: <u>11:30</u>		
FACILITY NAME: PREFERRED MATERIALS	S-PANAMA CITY BEACH			
FACILITY LOCATION: 17750 HUTCHIN	NSON RD			
PANAMA CITY	BEACH 32402-			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: HENRY "HANK" BELCHER PHONE: (813)384-3025 Email: Hank.Belcher@preferredmaterials.com Mobile: (352)279-0404 CONTACT NAME: Kevin Harrington PHONE: (850)625-6591 Email: kharrington@preferredmaterials.com Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/15/2007 / 12/15/2012 (effective date) (end date)				
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
MINOR NOR	COMPENSIVE SIGNIFICA	INT NOR COM ENANCE		
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETI 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Kevin Ha		(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
Brief Notes: I met with Kevin Harrington and	was given access to all areas of this fa	acility and all recquired records.		
2. Is the Authorized Representative still HENRY ' If no, who is?: NA	'HANK" BELCHER?			
If different, did the facility provide an administration 3. Is the facility contact still MIKE BIAGINI? If no, who is?: NA				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during too If yes, was the compliance authority notified at				

Emissions Unit Section 1 -CEMENT CONCRETE BATCH PLANT - CEMENT SILO w/ Baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

	1 - CEMENT CONCRETE BATCH PLANT - CEMENT SILO w/ Baghouse subject to Reason	nable Preca	<u>utions</u>
PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
	Date of last inspection: $1/14/2011$ Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: (NA)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? NA		☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
Ur	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. aconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Daveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ed	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	particulate matter?	Yes	□ No
2.	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	☐ No☐ No☐ No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? NA

Emissions Unit Section 3 –FLY ASH SILO WITH BAGHOUSE subject to Reasonable Precautions

5-FET ASH SILO WITH BAGHOUSE subject to Reasonable Freeauth	.0113	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 1/14/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? B. N/A b. If tested: (NA)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? NA		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon emissions by:	nfined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the stock piles and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	X Yes	☐ No
control emissions?		☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No
particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b. If tested: (<u>NA</u>)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? <u>NA</u>		☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	∑ Yes∑ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? NA		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared)?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption -	☐ No
	Note: Permit Eligibility Part 3. (a)(b)(c)(d)(e) and Part 4 are not applicable for this facility at this	s time.	
<u>GI</u>	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- X Yes	□ No
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- 🛛 Yes	☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ✓ only one box for each question)
 Is the facility: stationary ∑; relocatable ☐; or consisting of concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plan 	both stationary and relocatable
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cemensoil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c bel	Yes No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departme e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busines b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N	ent or Local Air Program by telephone, ss day prior to changing location? Yes No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at lea	business days following a relocation? Yes No otification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a sep and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission	arate air construction or air operation permit,
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routing of YES, what was the purpose?b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how leading to the control of the purpose.	ne purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No
co-located at the permitted facility?	
Note: Relocatable Plant Part 2. (a)(b)(c) and Part 3. (a)(b) are not applicable for this facility at this time.
CHANGES Administrative Changes	(check \square only one box for each question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numbers.	per of the facility or authorized representative not
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	r administrative change at the facility? Yes No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	
 a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is d. A change in ownership?	ment? Yes No No s substantially different? Yes No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new reg 30 days prior to the change?	
C. Mark Sumner	2/1/2012
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
Mark Sen	February 2013
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Department peronnel conducted an air program compliance inspection on February 1, 2012.

The annual VE test was performed 3/16/2011 by Arlington Environmental Services for both the Cement and Fly ash silos. A VE was not performed for the weighhopper or batching as there are no emission control devices except the enclosure and spray bar.

Records of the air permit, VE tests, amount of material used, and weekly plant maintenance/inspection check list are maintained and avaliable for review.

The facility does not need to maintain records on fuel sulfur content or amounts as plant is powered by off site generated electricity.

An observation of the site revealed that each silo is equipped with a bag house, the batcher is equiped with a spray bar and curtains, the aggregate piles are managed and kept wet, and the majority of the site has been paved with the dust levels managed with frequent wash downs.

A review of the facility records from January 2011 to December 2011 revealed that this plant has batched about 6000 yards of concrete from January to December 2011.