

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

> Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389

Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard, Jr. Secretary

February 02, 2011

<u>BY ELECTRONIC MAIL</u> hank.belcher@preferredmaterials.com

Mr. Hank Belcher Preferred Materials, Inc. 900 Ashwood Parkway, Suite 700 Panama City, Florida 30338

Dear Mr. Belcher:

On January 14 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Preferred Materials Panama City Beach Concrete Batch Plant ID 0050037. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or *mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us*.

Sincerely,

) Sels VI

Sally M. Cooey Panama City Branch Administrator

SMC/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>)
 Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>)
 Mr. Kevin Harrington, Preferred Materials (<u>kharrington@preferredmaterials.com</u>)

đ	BEAL MOTECTION	
different state	Yer	
FLC	RIDA	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0050037 DATE: <u>1/14/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:23 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:34PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: PREFERRED MATERIALS-PAN	AMA CITY BEACH			
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 17750 HUTCHINSON	RD			
PANAMA CITY BEAC	СН 32402			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:       HENRY "HANK" BELCHER       PHONE:       (813)384-3025         Email:       Hank.Belcher@preferredmaterials.com       Mobile:       (352)279-0404         CONTACT NAME:       Kevin Harrington       PHONE:       (850)625-6591         Email:       kharrington@preferredmaterials.com       Mobile:         ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:       12/15/2007 /       12/15/2012         (effective date)       (end date)				
Facility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check  I only one box)         □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING				
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Kevin Harrington	0 <u>n</u>	(check $\square$ only one box for each question)		
Brief Notes: I met with Kevin Harrington and was gi	iven access to all areas of this faci	lity and all recquired records.		
<ol> <li>Is the Authorized Representative still HENRY "HANI If no, who is?: <u>N/A</u></li> </ol>	K" BELCHER?	YesNo		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative u 3. Is the facility contact still Kevin Harrington? If no, who is?: <u>N/A</u>	update within 30 days?	Yes XN/A YesN/A YesNo		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's i		Yes 🖾No		

## **Emissions Unit Section**

<u>1 -CEMENT CONCRETE BATCH PLANT -</u>	CEMENT SILO w/ Baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

Īī

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>		
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>1/14/2010</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> <li>Note: Part I 2 a,b,c are not applicable for this facility at this time.</li> </ol>	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
<u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		🗌 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?		_
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	res	∐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🕅 Ves	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles?	🖄 Yes	No No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No
Note: Part II 2. is not applicable for this facility at this time.		

## **Emissions Unit Section** <u>3 –FLY ASH SILO WITH BAGHOUSE subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>1/14/2010</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by:</li> </ol>	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	following	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		No No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to		
control emissions?	🛛 Yes	No No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🕅 Ves	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	<u></u>	_
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	· 🛛 Yes	∐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	- 🗌 Yes	□ No
<ul> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> </ul>	- Yes	
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		
Note: Part II 2. is not applicable for this facility at this time.		

## Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(shaala 🔽	
	(check $\mathbf{\nabla}$ box for each	
	box for each	question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	V V	
<ul><li>a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?</li><li>b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?</li></ul>	$\bowtie$ Yes $\bowtie$ Yes	∐ No □ No
c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	$\boxtimes$ Tes	$\square$ No
e roo tons per year of more of any other regulated an ponduant.		
2. Does this facility include:		
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception	of	
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or	_	
Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	- Yes	🛛 No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? $N/A$		
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene	ral	
permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		🖂 No
If YES, what other general permit units or activities? $N/A$		
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:		
a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		∐ No □ No
c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?		$\square$ No
d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?		
e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		
gal diesel/yr +gal gasoline/yr +MM SCF nat. gas/yr +MM gal propa		)?
275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propar	le/yr	
4. Here the sum on / an entering of a sublicity of the interpretion with units and the form of the form		
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		□ No
for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years:		
Note: Permit Eligibility Part 3. (a)(b)(c)(d)(e) and Part 4 are not applicable for this facility at thi	s time.	

<u>G</u> ]	ENERAL CONDITIONS		only one question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	🖂 No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		
3	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	🗌 No
5.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:         1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following the following stationary) of the following stationary of the following statin	(check ☑ box for each <i>ng question 2.</i> )	question)	
<ol> <li>Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?</li></ol>	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900]</li> </ul>		🗌 No	
<ul> <li>to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation?</li> <li>c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900( to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?</li> </ul>		□ No	
<ul> <li>3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pe and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:</li> <li>a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag If YES, what was the purpose?</li> </ul>	rmit,	D No	
<ul> <li>b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was</li> <li>co-located at the permitted facility?</li> <li>If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?</li> </ul>		□ No □ No	
Note: Relocatable Plant Part 2. (a)(b)(c) and Part 3. (a)(b) are not applicable for this facility at this time.			
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check 🗹 box for each	•	
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or			

1.	were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility of authorized representative not	
	associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or	
	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [] Yes	No No
Ne	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been	
	a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes	🛛 No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes	🛛 No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? [] Yes	🛛 No
	d. A change in ownership? Yes	🛛 No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted	
	30 days prior to the change? [] Yes	🗌 No
	Note: Changes Part 2 and 4 are not applicable for this facility at this time.	

C. Mark Sumner

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Mark San

Inspector's Signature

1/14/2011

Date of Inspection

January 2012

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** Department personnel conducted an air program compliance inspection on January 14, 2011.

The annual VE test was performed 3/15/2010 by Arlington Environmental Services for both the Cement and Fly ash silos.

Records of the air permit, VE tests, amount of material used, and weekly plant maintenance/inspection check list are maintained and available for review.

The facility does not need to maintain records on fuel sulfur content or amounts as plant is powered by off site generated electricity.

An observation of the site revealed that each silo is equipped with a bag house, the batcher is equipped with a spray bar and curtains, the aggregate piles are managed and kept wet, and the majority of the site has been paved with the dust levels managed with frequent wash downs.

A review of the facility records from January 2010 to December 2010 revealed that this plant has batched about 5289 yards of concrete from January to December 2010. The month with the most yards batched was December 2010 with 627 yards of concrete batched.