OWNERTIAL PROTECTION	
San Marton	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:								
AIRS ID#: 0050037 DATE: <u>3/4/2009</u> ARRIVE: <u>9:25am</u> DEPART: <u>10:15am</u>								
FACILITY NAME: PREFERRED MATERIALS-PANAMA CITY BEACH								
FACILITY LOCATION: 17750 HUTCHINSON RD								
PANAMA CITY BEACH 32402								
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DAVID GUILLAUME PHONE: (770)392-5300								
CONTACT NAME: Kevin Harrington PHONE: (850)872-3511								
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/15/2007 / 12/15/2012 (effective date) (end date)								
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE								
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?								

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (<i>continued</i>) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)						
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)						
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate:						
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? [Yes] No						
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No						
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? XYes No 						
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the						
test was completed? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No						
The VE test I observed occurred on March 4, 2009 and at the time of this report the test report has not yet been filed with the Department (less than 45 days has passed since the test occurred). Previous test reports have been submitted within the required 45 day time frame.						

F

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>)						
 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>), <i>below</i>.) □Yes □No a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? □Yes □No b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year? □Yes □No c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? □Yes □No d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less? □Yes □No 						
 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis?						

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes No
2	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
	emissions? Xes No
3	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
	re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Xes 🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
	particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
) u	use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

1. Since the last inspection has there been		
		🖂 No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	es	🛛 No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?	es	🖂 No
 d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or 		
local program office?	ſes	🗌 No

Gerald Sheehan

b

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

3/4/2009

Date of Inspection

Gerald Sheehan

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Mr. Kevin Harrington, Operations Manager, who provided me with requested records and who escorted me on my inspection of the facility met me upon my arrival. Mr. Kyan Peterson, a certified Visible Emissions tester, employed by Arlington Environmental Services Inc. was also on site to perform the annual VE testing for the facility. I, as part of my inspection, observed the VE testing, although not a certified VE tester I did not observe any visible emissions greater than 0% occurring during the VE test.

The facility was in operation at the time of this inspection, loading of the silo and batching operations were occurring.

Reasonable precautions for fugitive emissions are judged to be adequate. The facility waters the rock; the yard is not surfaced however a sprinkler system is utilized to wet the surface as needed. A vacuum shroud assembly is used to capture particulate emissions from the batching operation.

The facility maintains logs of weekly plant inspection, plant service & repairs, weekly inspections of fuel tanks and dikes, monthly retention pond inspections and dust maintenance operations.