

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐				
RE-INSPECTIO	N (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
FACILITY: Hercules of Florida, Inc.	· / _=	DISTRICT:		
DBA/Site Name:		Southwest		
ADDRESS: 1609 North Hercules Avenue		CONTACT PHONE:		
Clearwater, FL		446-7385		
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 11/26/11		
1030088 001	1030088-003-AG	Renewal Date: 10/27/11		
1030088 001	1030000-003-AG	Test Date: 10/27/00		
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Concrete Tile Plant: Cement Storage Silo controlled by a Stephens Manufacturing Company, Inc., Model SV-170 Baghouse				
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (c)	heck only one box)		
01/28/09	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Comp	liance; Significant Non-Compliance		
	PART I: General Review:			
1. Permit File Review		⊠Yes □ No		
2. Introduction and Entry		☐Yes ☐ No		
Comments: Facility is closed, silos have been removed. Storage bins are still on site but all other equipment, including office, has been removed.				
3. Is the Authorized Representative stil Comments:	☐Yes ☐ No			
4. Is the facility contact still Lewis Howell? Comments:		☐Yes ☐ No		
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]				
	ESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. x(es). if a shaded box is checked, this would			
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) Compliance Demonstration 1. □ New Facilities / □ New Process Equipment – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?□ Yes □ No				
2. Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point tested within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?				
Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?				
4. Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A	A.C.] Yes No		
	the department as soon as practical, but no late			
6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s	s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-	$-297.401(9)(c)$, F.A.C] Yes \square No		
7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,				

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]			
2. And amissions from the weigh hopper (hatcher) operation controlled by the sile dust collector? (If answer			
8. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9 Yes			
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.] Yes No. b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]			
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]			
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according?			
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.			
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary; 2) a relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable			
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check only one box.)			
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concreted batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:			
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]			
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons			
2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons 3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet			
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons			
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals% of all fuels			
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records, available for Department inspection, for a period of at least			
five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.] \square Yes \square No			
Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)			
1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)			
a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication			
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?			
to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation? Yes No			
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below			
2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation?			
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u> (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control			
unconfined emissions Yes No Which of the following methods are used:			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:			
1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?			
emissions? Yes No			
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?			

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u> (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of			
particulate matter from stock piles?			
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) Administrative Changes:			
1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No			
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?			
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration?			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership			
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment?			
<u>Noncompliance Notice:</u> - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]			
1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?			
 a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?			
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance?			
PART VI: Comments O&M Plan			
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to: (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device; (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant; (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum: a. When maintenance and observations were performed; b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and c. Who performed said maintenance and observations. d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128] **Reviewed records for the months of			
Comments:			

Exit Interview:		
Chris Brodeur	01/28/09	
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection	
	Facility closed	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

 $H: \label{lem:hamma} We have $$H: \ar_Compliance \AQI \1030088\ 001\ 67052. doc$

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06