

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐					
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
FACILITY: Florida Rock Industries, Inc.			DISTRICT:			
DBA/Site Name: South St. Pete. Batch Plant			Southwest			
AD	<b>DDRESS:</b> 1020 31st Street South	h	CONTACT PHONE:			
St. Petersburg, FL			813-962-3213			
AR	MS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 10/24/10			
	1030085 001	1030085-004-AG	Renewal Date: 9/24/10			
1030063 001		1030003-004-AG	<b>Test Date:</b> 2/25/00			
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Concrete Batch Plant: Truck loading and weigh hopper emissions controlled by Dust Control Systems, Inc., Model RA-1400 Central Dust Collector						
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)				
7	/22/09	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compliance; ☐ Significant Non-Compliance				
		PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review		⊠Yes □ No			
2.	Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No			
	Comments: This is inspection was performed in order to determine if this facility has been operating within applicable regulations. Mr. Paul Bivins (manager) was present during the facility inspection of the emission unit.					
3.	Is the Authorized Representative still Katherine R. Chumley?  Comments: Ms. Chumley stills the Authorized Representative.					
4.						
5.						
	[62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]					
		ESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would it				
Co	ompliance Demonstration	(x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would	ndicate noncomphance)			
$\frac{\underline{s}\underline{s}}{1}$ .	Compliance Demonstration  1. □ New Facilities / □ New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?□ Yes □ No					
2.	2.   Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point tested within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?  Yes □ No					
3.	Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?   Yes □ No The last visible emission test resulted in an opacity of0_% for the highest six minute average.  [62-296.414(1) F.A.C.]					
4.	Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A	A.C.] Yes  No			
5.	Was the required test report filed with test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)	the department as soon as practical, but no late	er than 45 days after the			
6.	6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes No					
7.	7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]				
	2,			
8. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answ to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9				
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate a duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]	C.] Yes  No			
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is september the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]	batching			
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according?	Yes 🛛 No			
b) Dia the lest thatcare the facility is operating in compitance with the 5% opacity standard:	Tes I 1vo			
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5 (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncon				
1. Is this facility: 1) a \( \subseteq \text{ stationary; 2) a } \subseteq \text{ relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, } \( \subseteq \text{ stationary and r concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check only one box.)} \)				
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:	ed batching plants			
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.] b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usage.	iges			
listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]	Yes No			
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet 4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons	_% of all fuels			
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) more are these records, available for Department inspection, for a period of at least				
five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]	☐ Yes ☐ No			
Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)  1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)				
<ul> <li>a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communicati at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900)</li> </ul>	Yes No			
to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?				
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below  2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) least five (5) business days prior to relocation?				
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncon  1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control	npliance)			
unconfined emissions				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes  No			
<ul><li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to conemissions?</li><li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/ope</li></ul>				
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?				

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)  4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of				
particulate matter from stock piles?				
b) use of spray but, chare, or partial enclosure to margare emissions at the arop point to the track.				
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions  (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes:				
1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative				
not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 🗌 Yes 🔀 No				
2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]  \Box Yes \Box No				
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]				
1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?				
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration? Yes No				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership				
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment?				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? 🗌 Yes 🔯 No				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No				
d) Change in ownership 🗌 Yes 🔯 No				
If the any of the answers to $1a$ ) – $1$ ) $d$ is $\underline{Yes}$ to any, a new registration form and appropriate fee should have been submitted 30 days prior to the change				
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]				
1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or				
limitation of the air general permit? Yes No				
If the answer is $\underline{Yes}$ , proceed to a) and b).  a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No				
b) Did the notification include:				
1. A description of and cause of noncompliance? Yes No  2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to				
continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance?    Yes   No				
PART VI: Comments				
O&M Plan				
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M				
plan shall include, but is not limited to:  (1) Operating percenture of the pollution control devices.				
<ul><li>(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;</li><li>(2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;</li></ul>				
(3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;				
(4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the				
permit applicant; (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:				
a. When maintenance and observations were performed;				
<ul><li>b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and</li><li>c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.</li></ul>				
d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.				
[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]				
Comments: Reviewed records for the months of 2/01/08 through 7/22/09, the records were in compliance.				
The state of the s				

Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I told M	r. Bivins this emission unit appears to be in compliance				
at this time. I was not able to perform a visible emissions test at the time, as no tanker was on site, and not batching at the time of					
the inspection. I asked Mr. Bivins to contact AQ Division of	office next time they plan to load silo.				
Mike Ojo Thomas	7/22/2009				
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection				
	_				
Inspector's Signature H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\10	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
11. \users\wpuocs\anquar\An_Compitance\AQ1\10	130063 001 07033. <b>u</b> 0C				

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06