

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) 🛛 COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
FACILITY: Florida Rock Industries, Inc.		DISTRICT:	
DBA/Site Name: Oldsmar Plant Redi-mix Batch Plant		Southwest	
ADDRESS: 170 Pine Avenue		CONTACT PHONE:	
Oldsmar, FL		813-962-3213	
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 6/21/2014	
1030078 001	1030078-004-AG	Renewal Date: 5/21/2014	
Test Date:			
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Concrete Batch Plant: Three (3) storage silos (Cement, Flyash, and Slag), Weigh and Loading Hoppers, and Batching Operation Controlled by a central Griffin Jet-Aire, Model D216, Central Baghouse			
INSPECTION DATE: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (chec		neck 🗆 only one box)	
4/5/11		liance; 🔲 Significant Non-Compliance	
	PART I: General Review:		
1. Permit File Review			
2. Introduction and Entry Yes No			
<i>Comments:</i> Contacted Ms. Chumley to inquire if facility was still shutdown. She stated it is.			
		XYes No	
Comments:			
4. <i>Is</i> the facility contact still <u>Wayne Ford</u> ?		Yes No	
Comments: Unknown.			
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Yes No [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]			
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check [] appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
Compliance Demonstration			
1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No			
 2. Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test? Yes No 			
Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit? Yes No The last visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. [62-296.414(1) F.A.C.]			
4. Was the department notified at least 15 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C.]			
5. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)			
6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s	conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-	297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes No	
7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,			

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.		
(check \Box appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.] Yes No		
uniess such rate is unachievable in practice? [02-290.414(5), F.A.C.]		
 8. Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9.)		
<i>duration</i> ? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.] Yes No 9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]		
 10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? Yes No. a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard? Yes Yes No. 		
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.		
(check		
<i>concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check</i> \Box <i>only one box.)</i>		
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:		
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.] Yes No b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.] Yes No		
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons 2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons		
 3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet 4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals % of all fuels 		
 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.] Yes Yes		
<u>Relocation Notification</u> - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)		
1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)		
 a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?		
to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation? Yes 🗌 No		
 If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation? Yes No. 		
PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)		
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions Yes No Which of the following methods are used:		
 a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		
 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 		
<i>interstation in the second se</i>		

PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)			
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?			
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions			
(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
Administrative Changes:			
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No 			
Permit Effective Period - [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? Image: Second			
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration? 🗌 Yes 🔲 No			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership			
 Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment?			
have been submitted 30 days prior to the change			
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? 1. Masser is Yes, proceed to a) and b). a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department? b) Did the notification include: 1. A description of and cause of noncompliance?- 2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance?			
PART VI: Comments			
<u>O&M Plan</u>			

The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to:

- (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;
- (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;
- (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;
- (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant;
- (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:
 - a. When maintenance and observations were performed;
 - b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and
 - c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.
 - d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.

[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]

Reviewed records for the months of _____No review completed

Comments:

This facility is temporarily shutdown. I contacted Ms. Chumley via email and she stated the facility is still temporarily shutdown. A drive-by inspection was performed to verify shutdown. The gate was closed and padlocked. There was no evidence of any activity in the yard; it appeared vacant. There has not been a VE test submitted for this facility.

Exit Interview: No exit interview took place.

Shannon Ransom

Inspector's Name

4/5/11

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

~ 4/2012 Approximate Date of Next Inspection