

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐					
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
FACILITY: Florida Rock Industries, Ind	DISTRICT:				
DBA/Site Name: Oldsmar Plant Redi	Southwest				
ADDRESS: 170 Pine Avenue		CONTACT PHONE:			
Oldsmar, FL		813-962-3213			
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 6/21/2014			
1030078 001	1030078-004-AG	Renewal Date: 5/21/2014			
		Test Date: 2/25/2000			
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Concrete Batch Plant: Three (3) storage silos (Cement, Flyash, and Slag), Weigh and Loading Hoppers, and Batching Operation Controlled by a central Griffin Jet-Aire, Model D216, Central Baghouse					
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)				
5/20/10		liance; Significant Non-Compliance			
	PART I: General Review:				
1. Permit File Review		⊠Yes □ No			
2. Introduction and Entry		☐Yes ⊠ No			
Comments: This facility is in long term shutdown; no introduction or entry took place.					
3. Is the Authorized Representative still		⊠Yes □ No			
Comments: Ms. Chumley is the Rep for all Florida Rock facilities.					
4. Is the facility contact still Wayne Fo Comments: Unknown if he is the facility		☐Yes ☐ No			
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?					
[62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]	te facility provide an administrative aparte				
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
Compliance Demonstration 1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No					
2. Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?					
Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?					
4. Was the department notified at least 15					
5. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)					
6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] \boxtimes Yes \square No					
7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					

	DADE H. TECHNIC DECLUDEMENTS. D.L. (2.20/.414 E.A.C.		
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
	unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]	X Yes	\square N_{\circ}
,	uniess such rate is unachievable in practice? [02-290.414(3), F.A.C.]	🖂 Yes	∐ No
i	Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9.)	⊠ Yes	□ No
	a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.]		
	b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and	Z 105	
	duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]	⊠ Yes	\square No
9	If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from	ļ	
	the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching		
	at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]	Yes	\square No
10.	Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	⊠ No
	a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.		
	b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard?	Yes	\square No
	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A	C	
	(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
1.	Is this facility: 1) a \boxtimes stationary; 2) a \square relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, \square stationary and relocatable	ρ	
	concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check only one box.)		
2.	For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching	gplants	
	or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]	□ Yes	\square No
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages		
	listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]	Yes	\square No
	1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons		
	2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons		
	3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet		
	4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals % of all	fuels	
2		jucis	
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and		
	are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?		
	[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]	☐ Yes	\square No
	Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)		
1.	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or		
	stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)	Yes	\square No
	a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication		
	at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?	Yes	\square No
	b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?	□ Yes	\square N_0
		res	\square No
2	If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below		
2.	Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation?	□ Yes	\square No
	teasi five (5) business anys prior to retocution:	- <u></u> 1es	
	PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)		
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
1.	Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions	Yes	\bigcap No
	Which of the following methods are used:	1es	
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:			
	1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control		
	emissions?	<u>∐</u> Yes	\square No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	□ Yes	$\bigcap N_O$

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u> (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of				
particulate matter from stock piles?				
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes:				
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No 				
Permit Effective Period − [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? Yes No				
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership				
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2]				
a) installation of any new process equipment?				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?				
recent notification form? \square Yes \square No				
d) Change in ownership \square Yes \square No If any of the answers to $1a$) -1)d is Yes, a new registration form and appropriate fee should				
have been submitted 30 days prior to the change \square Yes \square No				
<u>Noncompliance Notice:</u> - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]				
1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?				
If the answer is \underline{Yes} , proceed to a) and b).				
a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?				
b) Did the notification include: 1. A description of and cause of noncompliance?				
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to				
continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance?				
PART VI: Comments				
O&M Plan				
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M				
plan shall include, but is not limited to: (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;				
(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;				
(3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;				
(4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant;				
(5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:				
a. When maintenance and observations were performed;b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and				
c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.				
d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. [Pinelles County Code, Subsection 58, 128]				
[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]				
Reviewed records for the months of <u>No review was completed</u>				
Comments:				

This facility is in long term shutdown. This was a drive-by inspection to verify shutdown. The gate was closed and padlocked. There was no evidence of any activity taking place on the premises.

The facility submitted a completed and acceptable annual vi	isible emissions test dated 2/25/10.
Parts III, IV, V, and VI of this report cannot be completed a shutdown.	at this time due to insufficient information as a result of the facility being
Exit Interview: No exit interview took place as this was a dr	rive-by inspection to verify shutdown.
Shannon Ransom	5/20/10
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
	~ 4/2011
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

 $H: \label{lem:lem:hamma:$

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06